

HUNTERS POINT SHIPYARD
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES
26 October 2006

These minutes summarize the discussions and presentations from the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Thursday, October 26, 2006, in the Alex L. Pitcher, Jr. Room at the Southeast Community Facility. A verbatim transcript was also prepared for the meeting and is available in the information repository for HPS and on the Internet at <http://www.navybracpmo.org/bracbases/california/hps/default.aspx>. The list of agenda topics is provided below. Attachment A provides a list of attendees. Attachment B includes action items that were requested or committed to by RAB members during the meeting.

AGENDA TOPICS:

- (1) Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review
- (2) Approval of Meeting Minutes from the September 28, 2006 RAB Meetings
- (3) Navy Announcements
- (4) Community Co-Chair Report/Other Announcements
- (5) Wetlands Mitigation at Parcel E-2
- (6) Truck Cleaning at HPS
- (7) Technical Assistance Grant (TAG) Update
- (8) Subcommittee Reports
- (9) Community Comment Period
- (10) Adjournment

MEETING HANDOUTS:

- Agenda for October 26, 2006, RAB Meeting
- Meeting Minutes from the September 28, 2006 RAB Meetings
- Navy Monthly Progress Report, October 26, 2006
- PowerPoint Presentation, Parcel E-2 Wetland Mitigation Plan
- PowerPoint Presentation, Trucking Update Hunters Point Shipyard
- Membership Bylaws and Community Outreach (MBCO) and Technical Review Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from October 19, 2006
- Technical Review Subcommittee Meeting Minutes from September 14, 2006

Welcome/Introductions/Agenda Review

Marsha Pendergrass, facilitator, called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m. Ms. Pendergrass welcomed everyone to the meeting. All attendees introduced themselves and the organization they represent. She confirmed that there was a quorum of community RAB members present to conduct business at the meeting.

Approval of Minutes from the September 28, 2006 RAB Meetings

Ms. Pendergrass said that approval of the minutes is needed for the RAB meeting on September 28, 2006. The RAB meeting minutes were approved as written with one abstention, and were accepted into the record.

1 Ms. Pendergrass addressed the status of the action items:

2 **Carry-over Item Number 1:** Keith Forman, HPS Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC)
3 Environmental Coordinator (BEC) to provide an Environmental 101 class on a Saturday once at
4 least 3 new community members join the RAB. The RAB is awaiting a third RAB applicant
5 prior to hosting this class. This action item will be carried over until there are at least 3 new
6 RAB members who need the class.

7 **Carry-over Action Item Number 2:** Navy will provide a presentation on Human Health Risk
8 from Groundwater at HPS at the October 2006 Technical Review Subcommittee Meeting. This
9 action item was completed and will be removed from the table.

10 **New Action Item Number 1:** Navy will provide information on the Navy's method for
11 cleaning trucks before they leave the shipyard. This action item was completed and will be
12 removed from the table.

13 Navy Announcements

14 Pat Brooks, Navy Lead Remedial Project Manager (RPM), noted that Mr. Forman is out on
15 reserve duty and will be back on Monday, October 30, 2006. Mr. Forman will be at the next
16 RAB meeting scheduled for December 7, 2006. As normally scheduled in the past, there will not
17 be a RAB meeting in November 2006.

18 Mr. Brooks explained that the Navy's new fiscal year (FY) started on October 2, 2006. The
19 Navy is currently planning the HPS work for FY 2007.

20 Mr. Brooks reviewed the status of the removal actions at Parcels E and E-2. The removal action
21 at the Metal Debris Reef (MDR) is complete, but there are still 9,000 cubic yards (cy) of soil to
22 be transported off-site. Hydroseeding started at the MDR this week and will continue over the
23 next two weeks. Contamination was found near the shoreline at the polychlorinated biphenyls
24 (PCB) Hot Spot Area, so the Navy has to do detailed engineering planning before removing that
25 contamination. Engineering planning is scheduled for Fall 2006 with fieldwork to remove
26 contamination tentatively scheduled for Spring 2007. At IR-02, there was also some additional
27 contamination found near the shoreline that cannot be addressed without engineering planning to
28 keep San Francisco Bay out of the excavation. Removal of the PCB Hot Spot Area and IR-02
29 contamination will carry over into FY 2007. Excavation at the Metal Slag Area is complete and
30 the area has been backfilled and graded.

31 Community Co-Chair Report/Other Announcements

32 Keith Tisdell, Community RAB Co-chair stated that last Wednesday, October 18, 2006, he sent
33 an e-mail concerning dust at former Parcel A to the HPS RAB members, Amy Brownell, San
34 Francisco Department of Public Health, and Jeff Austin, Lennar. He got a response from Mr.
35 Austin and Ms. Brownell said that she has copies for the HPS RAB members of Mr. Austin's
36 response. Mr. Tisdell read from the response: "Upon implication of this additional dust control,
37 there was a noticeable improvement: reduced dust generated from both the digging and loading
38 of dump trucks." Mr. Tisdell said that each morning he observed that these focused dust control
39 efforts resulted in a decrease in dust. Lennar is under contract, however, to have no visible dust.
40 Lennar then had to shut down operations on Thursday, October 19, 2006 because of dust. Mr.
41 Tisdell noted that he would be attending the San Francisco Citizen's Advisory Council (CAC)
42 meeting to present the former Parcel A Lennar dust issues. He added that the HPS RAB cannot
43 really do anything regarding former Parcel A because it is now City property.

1 Dr. Raymond Tompkins, RAB member, stated that the RAB still has an opinion on dust control
2 issues near the property of residents, and can determine a procedure to request that this issue be
3 addressed. Ms. Pendergrass asked if there is anything that could be put in writing to Ms.
4 Brownell since she is intimately involved with this issue.

5 Ms. Brownell provided more detail on this incident. She indicated that Mr. Tisdell contacted her
6 before he sent the e-mail and she then discussed the matter with Mr. Austin. Mr. Tisdell also
7 called the 1-866-5-Lennar number to report this issue, and Lennar addressed dust control within
8 a few hours on each of these days. She also had a meeting with Mr. Austin and Sheila Roebuck,
9 Lennar, to explain that she does not want to hear any complaints about dust control at former
10 Parcel A again. There are other actions to address dust control that are planned, but that cannot
11 be discussed this evening. Lennar also has their own asbestos monitoring network, and the
12 shutdown on Thursday, October 19, 2006 was a result of asbestos readings that were over the
13 limit of 16,000 structures per cubic meter, which is a conservative level. Shutdowns were
14 expected for asbestos levels, but this is the sixth shutdown and there should not be shutdowns
15 due to dust. She asked that if RAB members see any dust problems, please call her or Mr.
16 Austin, and any formal letters can be sent to her.

17 Dr. Tompkins indicated that he would like to put a motion to the RAB that requests strict
18 compliance from Lennar for dust control. Ms. Pendergrass clarified that this is a motion for a
19 letter to be sent to the Department of Public Health. Robert Van Houten, RAB member,
20 seconded the motion. Mr. Van Houten agreed to compose the letter. Ms. Pendergrass noted that
21 the letter would go to Mr. Tisdell for signature and he would forward it to the Department of
22 Public Health. The motion was passed with one abstention.

23 Barbara Bushnell, RAB member, stated that she expects that Ms. Brownell is actively involved
24 in addressing these dust control issues. As a result, when there are any actions taken there
25 should be reports back to the HPS RAB.

26 Ms. Bushnell said that Mrs. Dacus called him to let the RAB know that Charles Dacus, RAB
27 member, is in the hospital. Mr. Dacus insisted his wife call and let the RAB know where he was.

28 James Morrison, RAB member, explained that his past absences were due to teaching in Beijing
29 China and Mongolia on environmental and economic justice. They wanted to have a minority
30 person visit their countries to speak out on environmental and economic justice. He indicated
31 that he was stopped twice by the military police due to his teachings. There were many things
32 that were similar in these countries to what goes on in Bayview/Hunters Point. The computers
33 that we discard here go to these countries and are dismantled by hand. He says that if anyone
34 says that Bayview/Hunters Point has the highest contamination or health concerns in the world,
35 he would like to see the documentation and the author of that document. In China he saw many
36 diseases and ailments due to the contamination in these countries. He added that he's been asked
37 to come to South Africa, West Africa, and Thailand, but has many projects here, so does not
38 know if he'll have time to visit those countries.

39 **Parcel E-2 Wetland Mitigation Plan (Presentation)**

40 Melanie Kito, Navy RPM, stated that she would like to start the presentation with an introduction
41 to her background. She has been with Hunters Point now for a year and a half, and with the
42 Navy for about nine years. She has worked on many different bases, including Naval Station
43 North Island, El Centro, Tustin, El Toro and now Hunters Point. As a result, she has extensive
44 experience with environmental restoration and construction.

1 Ms. Kito explained that this presentation reviews the status of the Parcel E-2 Wetland Mitigation
2 Plan, including what wetland mitigation means and the Navy's plans for the future at Parcel E-2.
3 Parcel E-2 is a landfill site and there will be wetland mitigation work in the panhandle area along
4 the shoreline.

5 Ms. Kito said that according to federal and state law, any wetlands that are destroyed by Navy
6 actions have to be replaced. For example, if the Navy destroyed 3.7 acres, then at least 3.7 acres
7 must be created to replace what was destroyed. The construction activities that have taken place
8 on Parcel E-2 to date have been for the Time Critical Removal Action (TCRA) at the Metal Slag
9 Area and the Metal Debris Reef. There will also be future construction activities for the remedy
10 at Parcel E-2 that will destroy wetlands. As a result, these activities will not take place at the
11 same time, but are on different schedules, one for the TCRA and one in the future for the Parcel
12 E-2 remedy. For cost and efficiency, the wetland mitigation will take place only once, to avoid
13 having to potentially destroy wetlands again. Ms. Kito provided some figures of the Parcel E-2
14 panhandle shore area. There are actually plants there that are part of existing emergent wetlands,

15 Ms. Kito explained that when it rains on a large land area without cover, soil and silt could
16 eventually be carried into the Bay. Hydroseeding is a measure used to prevent erosion. It
17 involves a truck that spreads a mixture of seed, grain, and hay along with fertilizer. When it
18 rains the hay retains moisture for the seeds to take root and that will prevent erosion. Since the
19 wetland mitigation is not going to take place at this point, hydroseeding will prevent erosion
20 during the upcoming rainy season. Ms. Kito provided a figure of hydroseeding at the PCB Hot
21 Spot that took place last week (week of October 16, 2006).

22 Ms. Kito stated that Parcel E-2 consists of 47.4 acres; with the landfill covering 22 acres and the
23 capped area covering 14 acres. The Parcel E-2 shoreline is approximately 2,800 linear feet. The
24 Parcel E-2 Feasibility Study (FS), which is currently in production, evaluates three remedial
25 alternatives for the parcel. The first alternative is no action, which is a legal requirement. The
26 second alternative is for excavation and disposal of soil for the entire parcel. The third
27 alternative is to cap the entire parcel, control landfill gas, and mitigate wetlands destroyed during
28 construction activity for the remedy.

29 Ms. Kito noted that the Parcel E-2 panhandle area is made of artificial fill soil. There are 2.4
30 acres of inter-tidal wetlands that actually interface with the Bay. There is also a freshwater area
31 higher on the panhandle that is a result of seasonal storm water runoff from the landfill. The
32 freshwater area is seasonal, expanding during the winter and drying up during the summer. The
33 wetlands provide habitat for seasonal wintering and migrating wildlife. The existing wetlands,
34 however, are not high-quality habitat, so when the Navy replaces the wetlands it will be better
35 quality habitat than exists naturally. There are contaminants (petroleum, PCBs, metals, and low-
36 level radiological waste) in the Parcel E-2 panhandle area from construction and industrial
37 wastes.

38 Ms. Kito said that the Navy is coordinating wetland mitigation planning with the regulatory
39 agencies: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), California Department of Toxic
40 Substances Control (DTSC), and the San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
41 (Water Board), who are here tonight. The City of San Francisco, California State Parks
42 Foundation (Cal Parks), Arc Ecology, and the San Francisco Bay Conservation and Development
43 Commission (BCDC) are also involved in the planning process.

1 Ms. Kito provided a figure of the conceptual wetland plan for the Parcel E-2 panhandle. There is
2 the inter-tidal area that will be inundated with Bay water. There is also the freshwater area fed
3 by storm water runoff. The City of San Francisco has proposed a Bay Trail along the shoreline.
4 The trail would serve a dual purpose: for the public to have access to the shoreline to enjoy the
5 wildlife, and for the Navy to install groundwater monitoring wells.

6 The Draft Mitigation and Monitoring Plan is due for submittal on November 3, 2006 with the
7 final plan scheduled for submittal in January 2007. This schedule is dependent on finalizing the
8 Parcel E-2 Remedial Investigation (RI)/Feasibility Study (FS), so the dates may change. The
9 draft Parcel E-2 RI/FS is scheduled for submittal in January 2007, but the date for the final RI/FS
10 is still to be determined.

11 Ms. Bushnell asked if the Navy is working with Arc Ecology since they have the contract for the
12 Yosemite Slough at Parcel E-2. Ms. Kito replied that the Navy is working with Arc Ecology on
13 the wetlands. The Navy is also coordinating the Bay Trail with the City of San Francisco and
14 Arc Ecology to extend it onto Navy property so that it connects into one unit. Cal Parks,
15 however, is the agency that has the contract for the work at the Yosemite Slough.

16 Mr. Tisdell asked if people would be able to walk out onto the wetlands. Ms. Kito replied that
17 the wetlands could not be walked on, but the Bay Trail would be made out of asphalt and would
18 be suitable for walking.

19 Kristine Enea, RAB applicant, asked for clarification on why the Navy does not want to create
20 wetlands now. Ms. Kito explained that the Parcel E-2 cleanup follows the CERCLA process,
21 and the ultimate goal is to clean up contamination. The Navy wants to ensure that the wetlands
22 will be compatible with the final remedy chosen for Parcel E-2. Remedial alternatives are
23 currently being prepared and include: 1) No Action 2) Complete Excavation and 3) Capping
24 with Institutional Controls. The Navy will submit the Wetlands Mitigation Plan for review and
25 get input from the regulatory agencies and public before constructing the wetlands.

26 **Trucking Update Hunters Point Shipyard (Presentation)**

27 Mr. Brooks noted that the HPS RAB requested information on how the trucks leaving HPS are
28 cleaned. The Navy is running 20 to 50 trucks a day transporting contaminated soil off site for
29 disposal and bringing clean soil in to backfill excavations. He provided a picture of a truck with
30 dry brushes being used to remove dirt. The trucks are given a thorough inspection and cleaning
31 with dry brushes to ensure that any dirt is brushed off horizontal surfaces and tires, wherever dirt
32 might accumulate. In response to a question from Ms. Pendergrass, Mr. Brooks noted that the
33 Navy has an agreement with the community to use local trucking firms. The large volume of
34 trucks needed at HPS, however, means using some outside trucking firms to make up for what
35 the local firms cannot handle.

36 Mr. Brooks explained before the September 2006 RAB meeting, Dr. Tompkins had observed soil
37 falling from a truck as it was leaving HPS. He provided a picture showing a truck being cleaned
38 with a broom, but the broom is not able to reach the top rail of the truck. The team realized that
39 by attaching the broom handle backwards, the broom could then reach the top rail. As a result,
40 when a loader fills the trucks, dirt that lands on the top rail is brushed off.

41 Mr. Brooks stated that there have also been complaints about trucks running overweight. The
42 Navy does weigh the trucks before they leave HPS because soil disposal is paid for by weight.
43 The trucks are weighed using a giant beam scale with a beam approximately 8 feet long. The
44 scale is accurate. After the trucks have been cleaned and weighed they are tarped (covered) and

1 are ready to leave the shipyard. The trucks from the PCB Hot Spot Area go to Kettleman Hills
2 landfill that handles PCB contaminated soil. Trucks coming in with clean soil are spot-checked.
3 In response to a question from a RAB attendee, Mr. Brooks indicated that the truck scale is
4 located about 500 feet from the Parcel E gate.

5 Dr. Tompkins stated that he has recently observed at least three trucks leaving HPS that were not
6 all that clean. There was also a filthy blue truck with a silver trailer that came up Innes Avenue
7 into HPS, and then made a left turn and proceeded to the area where soil is stockpiled. Mr.
8 Brooks noted that the blue truck may or may not be a Navy truck. The Navy trucks line up in
9 front of the Golden Gate Railroad Museum to be loaded, then are surveyed with the radiation
10 monitor and weighed before leaving HPS. Dr. Tompkins asked if the Navy could require trucks
11 to be washed before they come onto HPS. Mr. Brooks replied that he would look further into
12 this trucking issue with the main contractor for the Parcel E. Mr. Tisdell added that the trucks
13 coming onto the shipyard are from Kettleman's landfill and they line up along the fence to go
14 onto Parcel E starting about 8:00 a.m. There are bin haulers in addition to the 20 to 50 trucks a
15 day that line up to access Parcel E.

16 Dr. Tompkins asked if the roads could be washed down to control dust. Mr. Brooks responded
17 that dust control creates mud, but Tetra Tech EC is looking into getting street sweepers to clean
18 the roads and those will likely be in use by next week (week of October 30, 2006). Mr. Van
19 Houten added that the street just outside the HPS gate is very dirty due to dirt from the trucks,
20 and his car was muddy Monday morning after it rained. He stated that he has a concern about
21 what is in the dirt being kicked up from the street. He also thought the trucks (both Navy and
22 Lennar) were being washed, not just brushed off before they left HPS. Ms. Brownell responded
23 that those streets just inside the HPS gate along with Crisp Road are Lennar's responsibility.
24 Therefore, any problems need to be reported by calling the 866-5-Lennar number. Mr. Tisdell
25 explained that he knows a large volume of water is used for dust control because he has to wash
26 his truck once a week because it's muddy. Therefore, there is either dust or mud.

27 Dr. Tompkins noted that dust from Innes Avenue affects community residents and the street
28 needs to be thoroughly cleaned on both sides to ensure the community is protected. He is not
29 blaming the Navy or Lennar, he just wants the streets cleaned to protect the residents. A street
30 sweeper is not going to work because it spreads dust everywhere based on a previous experience
31 at Parcel B. Ms. Brownell responded that both Lennar and the Department of Public Works
32 (DPW) are likely responsible for Innes Avenue. Call DPW then, and if the dust is definitely a
33 result of Lennar's activities, call them as well.

34 Ms. Pendergrass stated that Innes Avenue appears to be a situation where another entity, the
35 Department of Public Works (DPW), is being asked to get involved. She indicated that it would
36 make sense for the HPS RAB to send a letter to DPW and the City of San Francisco asking to
37 have Innes Avenue kept clean from this dust. Ms. Bushnell said that the Citizens Advisory
38 Committee should also be involved in this issue. Ms. Pendergrass clarified that she is proposing
39 that Dr. Tompkins, being the author of this motion, draft a letter on cleaning Innes Avenue that
40 would go to Mr. Tisdell for signature. Dr. Tompkins motioned that the RAB send a letter to the
41 City of San Francisco advising them of the necessity of keeping Innes Avenue clean, and Ms.
42 Bushnell seconded the motion. The motion was accepted unanimously. Patricia Brown, RAB
43 member, asked that the letter also be provided to the HPS RAB and Lennar.

44 Mr. Brooks announced that he would be leaving the Navy to work for a private firm, so he is
45 saying his farewell.

1 **TAG Update**

2 Dr. Tompkins stated that the closeout date for submitting proposals for the TAG was October 20,
3 2006 and one proposal was received. The Community First Coalition (CFC) executive board
4 will meet this weekend to review that proposal. The announcement for the TAG was posted at
5 the City College Chemistry Department, in the faculty meeting, at San Francisco State
6 University, and on KPOO radio. The HPS RAB members were also provided with copies of the
7 announcement. Ms. Bushnell asked when the first community meeting would take place that
8 would ask for public input on what the TAG should study. The TAG requires public meetings.
9 Dr. Tompkins responded that public review of the TAG was covered when he asked the HPS
10 RAB what the criteria for evaluation would be for the TAG. There are community meetings that
11 the TAG representative would attend and that would be covered in the work plan that EPA
12 requires.

13 Jackie Lane, EPA, said that for the record, she will be doing an advanced monitoring evaluation
14 on CFC for the TAG. Part of that evaluation is an action plan that documents how TAG
15 activities will be handled better in the future. That action plan will also include how the TAG
16 representative will handle community outreach from this point forward.

17 **Subcommittee Reports**

18 **Economic Subcommittee**

19 Mr. Brooks explained that the Economic Subcommittee discussed trucking issues. Jesse Mason,
20 RAB member and Economic Subcommittee Chair, was absent so there are no minutes from that
21 meeting. Charlie Depew, Navy Contracting Officer for HPS, Mr. Forman, and Mark Walden,
22 Navy RPM, were at the meeting. There were also several trucking firms that sent representatives
23 to the meeting. It was decided that to ensure that the local community is participating in the HPS
24 cleanup, the major trucking contractors would use local truckers 100 percent of the time, as long
25 as there are trucks are available. The contractors are free to go to outside truckers when
26 necessary since HPS has a larger trucking need than the local community can provide. Since Mr.
27 Mason is not here tonight, the next Economic Subcommittee meeting is to be determined.

28 **Technical Review Subcommittee**

29 Ms. Bushnell noted that the Technical Review Subcommittee meeting was combined with the
30 MBCO meeting, and there are minutes available as a handout this evening. The groundwater
31 survey at Parcel B, and the cleanup areas at the former Parcel A compared to Parcel B were
32 discussed. It will be valuable to have the TAG representative available to look at groundwater
33 documents because there is still contamination in groundwater that is being cleaned up.

34 **MBCO Subcommittee**

35 Mr. Tisdell stated that it was a short meeting to review a RAB application. Ms. Enea has applied
36 to be a HPS RAB member. Ms. Enea explained that she is new to the Bayview/Hunters Point
37 area and the HPS cleanup program. She has lived on Innes Avenue for a year and a half and has
38 known Keith Tisdell for about a year. Mr. Tisdell convinced her that being an HPS RAB
39 member is something she would want to do to help monitor activities at HPS. She is also
40 personally interested in getting accurate firsthand information on those activities. She said that
41 she is committed to coming to RAB meetings, sharing information with her neighbors, and
42 providing feedback from the community. Ms. Pendergrass noted that Mr. Tisdell did a great
43 orientation job for Ms. Enea on the HPS RAB.

1 Mr. Tisdell made a motion to accept Ms. Enea as an HPS RAB member as a local resident. Ms.
2 Bushnell seconded the motion. Ms. Enea was unanimously accepted as a HPS RAB member.
3 Ms. Pendergrass adjourned the meeting at 7:40 p.m.

4 **Reminder: The next RAB meeting will be held from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m., Thursday,**
5 **December 7, 2006, at the Southeast Community Commission Facility, Alex Pitcher Jr.**
6 **Room, 1800 Oakdale Avenue, San Francisco, California 94124.**

**ATTACHMENT A
26 OCTOBER 2006 - RAB MEETING
LIST OF ATTENDEES**

Name	Association
1. Doug Bielskis	ERRG
2. Pat Brooks	Navy Lead Remedial Project Manager (RPM)
3. Patricia Brown	RAB member, Shipyard Artist
4. Amy Brownell	San Francisco Department of Public Health
5. Barbara Bushnell	RAB member, Resident of the Southeast Sector (ROSES)
6. Kristine Enea	RAB member
7. Miguel Garcia	Yerba-Buena Environmental
8. Steve Hall	Tetra Tech EMI
9. Earl Hampton	Resident
10. Neil Hey	Shaw Environmental
11. Fathina Holmes	Young Community Developers (YCD)
12. Carolyn Hunter	Tetra Tech EMI
13. Melanie Kito	Navy RPM
14. Jaqueline Ann Lane	U.S. EPA Region IX
15. Tom Lanphar	California Department of Toxic Substances Control
16. Soraya Mabrey	YCD
17. James Morrison	RAB member, ROSES
18. Christine M. Niccoli	Niccoli Reporting, court reporter
19. Marsha Pendergrass	Pendergrass & Associates
20. Jim Ponton	San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board
21. Harrell Powell	Resident
22. Peter Stroganoff	Navy, Resident Officer in Charge of Construction (ROICC) Office
23. Keith Tisdell	RAB member, Resident
24. Raymond Tompkins	RAB member, Bayview-Hunters Point Health and the Environment
25. Robert Van Houten	RAB member, Morgan Heights Resident
26. Angela Williams	Barajas & Associates
27. Peter Wilsey	DPH
28. Michael Work	U.S. EPA Region IX

**ATTACHMENT B
26 OCTOBER 2006 – RAB MEETING
ACTION ITEMS**

Item No.	Action Item	Person Authoring the Action Item	Due Date	Person/Agency Committing to Action Item	Resolution Status
Carry-Over Items					
1.	The Navy will schedule a HPS Environmental 101 class on a Saturday once at least 3 new community members join the RAB.	Keith Forman Navy RAB Co-Chair	N/A	Mr. Forman	This action item will be tabled until there are at least 3 new RAB members who need the class.
New Action Items					
1.	Robert Van Houten, RAB Member, to compose a letter from the HPS RAB requesting strict compliance for dust control at Parcel A. Keith Tisdell, RAB Community Co-chair, will sign the letter and forward it to the San Francisco Department of Public Health.	Dr. Ray Tompkins RAB Member	November 2006	Mr. Van Houten/ Mr. Tisdell	This action item will be completed during November 2006.
2.	Dr. Tompkins to compose a letter from the HPS RAB to the City of San Francisco requesting that Innes Avenue be cleaned regularly to protect residents from dust. The letter will also be provided to Lennar and the HPS RAB.	Dr. Tompkins	November 2006	Dr. Tompkins/ Mr. Tisdell	This action item will be completed during November 2006.

April 11, 2007

Diane Silva
SWDIV Records Manager
Administrative Record (Code EVR)
NAVFACENGCOM Southwest
1220 Pacific Highway
San Diego, CA 92132

Subject: Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record
Submittals – Contract No. N68711-03-D-5106, CTO-016

Dear Ms. Silva,

Enclosed are three copies of the following documents for submittal to the Hunters Point Shipyard Information Repository/Administrative Record:

- Final September 28, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final September 28, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final October 26, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final October 26, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final December 7, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final December 7, 2006 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final January 25, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final January 25, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript
- Final February 22, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Minutes
- Final February 22, 2007 Restoration Advisory Board Meeting Transcript

Please feel free to contact me or Angela Williams (Community Relations Specialist – angelawilliams@bai.cc) if you have any questions.

Thank you,



Saravanan (Eli) Vedagiri, P.E.
Program Manager
Barajas and Associates, Inc.
Phone: (619) 338-0798, ext. 11
Fax: (619) 338-0617
E-mail: eliv@bai.cc

cc : Keith Forman, BEC
Cynthia Mafara, Contract Specialist