



N68311.000167
NAVSTA LONG BEACH
SSIC #5090.3

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, Ca. 94105-3901

February 4, 1994

Captain Barry Janov
Commander Long Beach Naval Shipyard
300 Shipjack Road
Long Beach, California

Captain John Jones
Commander Long Beach Naval Station
Long Beach Naval Station
Long Beach, California 90822-5000

**Subject: Review of the Draft Technical Memorandum Aerial
Photography Review and Geophysical Recommendations for Sites
1,2,3 and 6A, Naval Station, Long Beach**

Dear Captains Janov and Jones:

Enclosed please find the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) comments regarding the Draft Technical Memorandum Aerial Photography Review and Geophysical Recommendations for Sites 1,2,3 and 6A, Naval Station, Long Beach submitted on January 24, 1994. At your request, we have accelerated our review of this document to accommodate the field schedule. In the future we request, at a minimum, three weeks to review and comment on draft documents.

We hope that these comments provide useful guidance in the preparation of the final document. If you have any questions regarding these comments, please contact me at (415) 744-2321.

Sincerely,

Sheryl L. Lauth
Remedial Project Manager

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Alvaro Gutierrez, Cal-EPA
Mr. Alan Lee, Southwest Division
Mr. Krish Kapur, Bechtel

REC'D OFFICE OF THE
COMMANDING OFFICER
NAVSTA LONG BEACH

9 Feb 94

Printed on Recycled Paper

**EPA's Comments Regarding the Draft Technical Memorandum Aerial
Photography Review and Geophysical Recommendations for Sites
1,2,3 and 6A, Naval Station, Long Beach**

Page 5. Section 4.1, second bullet. The proposed investigation objective, sampling rationale and analysis for the contingency hydropunch location should be presented in reference to the RI/FS Work Plan.

As the dark-colored area (stained) has not been investigated previously and review of the aerial photographs indicates that liquid disposal may have occurred, we recommend collecting a subsurface soil sample in addition to the groundwater sample at the hydropunch location to determine if soil and/or groundwater has been impacted.

Are contingency borings planned in this area if the concentrations detected are above the screening criteria? If so, the rationale for the contingency borings should be provided.