

Review of Weston comments on Site 7 dated 17 Feb 97

Page 1, second introductory paragraph - Comments should be deleted. The results of analyses sent to the Agencies/Trustees on 27 Feb 97 has addressed this comment. SWDIV/BNI are not proposing to evaluate the data using the clusters Weston refers to. Weston has misinterpreted the information it reviewed. The work done had been requested by the Agencies/Trustees in the 5 Aug 96 Joint Memo, and discussed in two subsequent teleconferences, 9 Dec 96 and 13 Feb 97.

Page 1, third introductory paragraph - Comment should be deleted. The comment tries to use ER-Ls and ER-Ms as screening criteria and thereby tries to discard the Reference Station concept established in the Work Plan and subsequent Technical Memoranda.

Comment 1 - Keep

Comment 3 - Keep

Comment 4 - The comment should be deleted. The comment tries to use laboratory controls for reference. The Work Plan is very clear in the use of Reference Stations. Also, we anticipate that the USEPA will provide Weston with copies of reports prepared by CDFG.

Comment 5 - Keep

Comment 6 - Keep

Comment 7 - Paragraphs two and three of the comment should be deleted. In paragraph two, level of QA discussed is beyond the Work Plan requirements. In paragraph three, Weston's claims of "by definition the reference station is selected ... free of anthropogenic impacts" is not valid for this project. The Reference Station concept and use is clearly described in the Work Plan and subsequent Technical Memoranda. The comment tries to redefine Reference Station concept and thereby revise the project planning documents. Keep paragraphs 1 and 4.

Comment 8 - The comment should be deleted. The Navy has not proposed a cleanup approach in the Draft RI Report as alleged by Weston. Comment is unrelated to the current RI issues.

Comment 9 - Keep

Comment 12 - Keep

Comment 14 - The comment should be deleted. See Comment 23.

Comment 22 - The comment should be deleted. Weston has tried to redefine the Reference Station concept for this project. This concept has been clearly described in the Work Plan and subsequent Technical Memoranda. The idea of remediating the reference station area as discussed by Weston is not related to this RI/FS.

Comment 23 - Similarly to Comment 22, the comment should be deleted as it tries to revise the Work Plan.

Comment 24 - The comment should be deleted for same reasons as Comments 22 and 23.

Comment 25 - The comment should be deleted for same reasons as Comments 22, 23 and 24.

Comment 31 - Keep

Comment 35 - The comment should be deleted. Diving birds issue is beyond the scope of this RI as described in the RAWP.

Comment 36 - Keep

Comment 38 - Keep

FAXed 3/12/97

Post-It™ brand fax transmittal memo 7671 # of pages ▶ 2

To	Martin Hausladon	From	Alan Lee
Co.		Co.	
Dept.		Phone #	619-532-1250
Fax #	415-744-1916	Fax #	2004 ext 27