



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 9
1235 MISSION STREET
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

23 MAY 1990

N60258.000732
NSY LONG BEACH
SSIC #5090.3

Alan Freeman
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Southwest Division
1220 Pacific Highway
Environmental Division Code 1812
San Diego, CA 92132

Dear Sir:

Enclosed are the results of our review of the Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection (PA/SI) packages you prepared under the requirements of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA) and sent to us for facilities assigned to your command. The objective of our review was twofold: 1) to determine if the facility had met Superfund Amendment and Reauthorization Act requirements as defined in Section 120; and 2) to determine if site conditions at the facility pose a significant threat to human health and the environment such that the site warrants placement on the National Priorities List (NPL).

You have submitted enough information for us to certify that the PA and/or SI requirements have been met and that further action is warranted for each facility. In all instances additional data must be collected before EPA's evaluations can be completed. This new data is required in part because it is EPA's policy that all future sites evaluated for possible inclusion on the NPL be evaluated using the revised Hazard Ranking System (HRS) model. As a reminder, under Section 120 of the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986, Federal facilities are required to provide EPA with the data and information needed to complete the HRS evaluation.

Enclosure A identifies the facilities that we reviewed during fiscal year 1989 and gives our recommendation for further action at each facility. Detailed comments and information requirements for each facility are contained in our attached PA/SI package reviews. General instructions on how to submit the new data is addressed below.

All facilities that show potential for inclusion on the NPL should address the revised HRS model as published in the Federal Register, December 23, 1988. You are requested to collect the

information required for evaluation of the migration pathways identified in the PA/SI review document additional information needs section.

You are asked to respond to this information request within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter or to explain why more time is necessary if your data collection and information gathering will take longer. If you are going to request more time, be prepared to 1) provide a plan with a schedule for data collection, 2) provide a point of contact for further discussions, 3) establish a schedule for status reporting, and 4) have a final target date for all work to be submitted to EPA. Please provide a separate response for each facility listed in Enclosure A that requires further evaluation.

Should you have any questions pertaining to this matter, please feel free to contact me or Carolyn Douglas of the Site Evaluation Section at (415) 768-1122. Please send your response to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region IX
Attn: Carolyn Douglas, Site Evaluation Section (H-8-1), 1235 Mission Street, San Francisco, CA. 94103.

Sincerely,



Donald C. White, Chief
Field Operations Branch

Enclosure

ENCLOSURE A

NAVAL FACILITIES ENGINEERING COMMAND
Southwest Division

Reviewed FY 1990

EPA's findings as a result of the Federal Facility PA/SI Review for the following facility is as follows.

<u>FACILITY</u>	<u>DECISION*</u>	<u>RECOMMENDATION**</u>
Miramar Naval Air Station	SSI	LSI
North Island Naval Air Station	SSI	LSI
Long Beach Naval Complex	PA	SSI

PA - Preliminary Assessment
SSI - Screening Site Inspection
LSI - Listing Site Inspection
NFRAP - No Further Remedial Action Planned under CERCLA

* SARA PA/SI requirements have been met by the facility and decision entered into the CERCLIS database.

** Next phase of evaluation warranted. Additional information may be required from the facility to conclude the evaluation.