

**Muckerman, Andrea M (EFDSW)**

---

**From:** Blank.Roberta@epamail.epa.gov  
**Sent:** Monday, February 05, 2001 1:57 PM  
**To:** muckermanam@efdswnavfac.navy.mil  
**Subject:** Moffett Proposed Plan

some addt'l comments from David Cooper  
----- Forwarded by Roberta Blank/R9/USEPA/US on 02/05/2001  
01:56 PM -----

David Cooper  
02/05/2001 01:40 PM

To: Roberta Blank/R9/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc:  
Subject: Moffett Proposed Plan

I don't have Andrea's e-mail address handy. Could you please forward this to her? This was the last element of my comments on their proposed plan. I also added one more item at the bottom.

Alternative language for the opening paragraphs for Moffett NAS proposed plan.

This Proposed Plan announces the U.S. Navy's preferred cleanup remedy for the former Moffett Federal Airfield Landfill, known as Site 22. The Navy proposes to address contamination at the landfill by:

- installing a barrier to prevent burrowing animals from disturbing the subsurface contamination
- managing surface water flows across the site
- enacting institutional controls to prevent excavation of waste material
- monitoring of groundwater and gas

The Proposed Plan includes summaries of all the cleanup alternatives which were evaluated by the Navy, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the other regulatory agencies, and the Navy's basis for offering its preferred method.

A 30-day comment period will be held from April 2, 2001, to May 2, 2001, to receive written and oral comments on this Proposed Plan. A public meeting will be held April 16, 2001, at the Mountain View City Council Chambers beginning at 7:00p.m. In consultation with the regulatory agencies, the Navy may modify the preferred alternative or select another response action based on new information or on feedback from the community. Therefore, the public is strongly encouraged to review and comment on all the cleanup alternatives, including the Navy's preferred remedy. A final decision will not be made until all comments are considered.

\*\*\*\* As I read the draft again, I noticed that the public meeting reference is somewhat burried at the bottom of the 1st page box. I would use the same structure as the public comment period, i.e., all caps and bold.