

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

In the Matter of:

MOFFETT FEDERAL AIRFIELD
SUPERFUND SITE
PROPOSED PLAN
FOR NO FURTHER ACTION SITES
PUBLIC MEETING
JANUARY 10, 2002

475 Sansome Street, Suite 720
San Francisco, CA 94111
Voice: 415-362-6666 / 800-219-5300
Fax: 415-362-0907
Email: sfcourtreporting@spherion.com

spherion.
deposition services

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Public meeting taken on behalf of Naval
Facilities Engineering Command, Southwest Division, at
500 Castro Street, City Council Chambers, Mountain
View, California, commencing at 7:45 p.m., Thursday,
January 10, 2002.

	APPEARANCES	
	SPEAKERS	Page
1		
2		
3		
4	Lawrence Lansdale	4
5	BRAC Environmental Coordinator,	
6	Navy Southwest Division	
7		
8	ART TAMAYO	7
9	Remedial Project Manager,	
10	Navy Southwest Division	
11		
12	ALANA LEE	20
13	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	
14		
15	ADRIANA CONSTANTINESCU	21
16	California Regional Water Quality Control	
17	Board	
18		
19	ROBERT MOSS	23
20	Moffett Federal Airfield Restoration	
21	Advisory Board Community Co-chair	
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 PROCEEDINGS

2 MR. Lansdale: Welcome, everybody. I'd like
3 to call the meeting to order. It's 7:45. We're
4 getting going a little bit later, making sure we had
5 everybody here.

6 My name is Lawrence Lansdale. I work for the
7 U.S. Navy at Southwest Division. I am the acting BRAC
8 Environmental coordinator for Moffett Field.

9 First of all, I'd like to thank those of you
10 who came for coming. We're glad that you're here. I
11 Look forward to explaining the proposal for no further
12 action sites to you and as well as receiving your comments.

13 Now, why we're here tonight is this is the
14 public meeting for the proposed plan for the proposed
15 no further action sites. What we're doing -- can we
16 switch it to the meeting format? [Adjusts visual
17 display.]

18 We hope that you've already had a chance to
19 sign in so that we have your information to mail you.
20 The public comments that are received tonight, we'll
21 mail out a responsiveness summary. So, if we can get your
22 address and your name, we can mail those out to you.

23 Hopefully you were able to pick up some of the
24 presentation materials. We have one form so that you can
25 provide written comments. And we're accepting written

1 comments until the 28th of January. We have a public
2 comment period that started on December 15th that goes
3 through January 28. Karen has a form if you'd like to
4 provide a written comment or if you think about
5 something later. If you want to come up and speak,
6 please do. It needs to be postmarked by January 28.

7 Also, the material, the proposed plan and the
8 other material on Moffett, is available at the Mountain
9 View public library. The address is up here. So, if
10 you have written comments, Karen can give you a form if
11 you don't already have it. They're up at the front.

12 If you'd like to make an oral comment, come up
13 here and speak, there's another form which Karen is
14 holding up and you can fill that out. We'll collect
15 those and you can speak in the order that they're
16 received. We are proposing a five-minute limit, but we
17 may be able to extend that a little bit in light of the
18 light crowd tonight.

19 A stenographer is present today to record all
20 of your comments and notes of the meeting so that we
21 can get down everything that is said and reply to it.
22 The comments that you provide tonight are for the
23 public meeting orally. We will be collecting those
24 comments, but we're not responding to the comments
25 tonight. The purpose of the meeting is to provide a

1 formal means for you to provide the comments. We'll
2 issue a responsiveness summary. And if you provide any
3 comments, we'll mail you that responsiveness summary with
4 the responses to all your comments, if you have written
5 comments received. So, we look forward to that. So,
6 that's the way that we'll get your comments tonight,
7 hopefully.

8 This is the agenda that we'd like to go
9 through tonight. I am going through the opening
10 comments and the introductions.

11 Next, Art Tamayo, who's with Southwest
12 Division also, will talk a little bit about the no action
13 sites, explain where they are and what they are, with
14 help from Jim Knight.

15 Following Art, we will have a brief
16 presentation by the regulators, Alana Lee with the U.S.
17 EPA, and Adriana Constantinescu, with the Regional Board,
18 followed by Bob Knight -- not Bob Knight -- followed by
19 Bob Moss. He is the co-chair for the RAB. He'll provide
20 a little bit on what the RAB has been doing.

21 Then we'll have the public comment period
22 where you can provide your oral comments. And, again,
23 we'll collect the comments and provide a responsiveness
24 summary. If you have clarification questions, we can
25 respond to those, but all the comments will need to go

1 into the responsiveness summary.

2 So, Art is going to come up and speak in just
3 a minute. But I wanted to point out that with the
4 proposed no further action sites, under CERCLA, no
5 action is appropriate for sites where there's no
6 current or potential threat to human health or the
7 environment. Meaning that, in a typically proposed plan
8 you would have a series of actions that would be
9 evaluated. If there's no threat, no further action is
10 appropriate. I just wanted to preface that before Art
11 started speaking.

12 And with that, I would like to hand you off to
13 Art Tamayo.

14 MR. TAMAYO: Good evening. My name is Art
15 Tamayo and I am the Navy remedial project manager for
16 the proposed no action sites at Moffett Field.
17 Assisting is Jim Knight of Tetra Tech EMI,
18 the contractor that did the research and prepared the
19 documentation for the proposed plan for these no action
20 sites at the Moffett Field Federal Airfield.

21 Let me tell you something about Jim Knight.
22 He is an environmental scientist. He has done several
23 risk assessments on several sites for the last twelve
24 years with Tetra Tech. He is currently the
25 installation coordinator for Tetra Tech at Moffett Field

1 Federal Airfield, and currently the project manager for
2 Site 27, the Northern Channel Feasibility Study
3 Project.

4 His line is, as I mentioned earlier, risk
5 assessment. So, if you have any questions regarding
6 risk assessment, he will be glad to answer any
7 questions that you have, but please hold your questions
8 until the end of the presentation.

9 What I'm going to be covering tonight is a
10 brief summary of this proposed plan. We have this
11 available if you didn't receive it in the mail. We
12 have copies if you want an extra copy or you forgot
13 your copy. You may take them. Karen has some there,
14 if you would like. This briefly is what I am going to
15 be discussing, a brief summary of the proposed plan.

16 After the introductory phase of this
17 presentation, which I am in the middle of doing now, I
18 will go directly to the proposed sites for no action.
19 Specifically I will describe the sites: I will give a
20 brief site description; nature and extent of
21 contamination; summary of potential risk; rationale for
22 proposing the no action; and then I will reveal the
23 Navy's preferred alternative before concluding this
24 presentation.

25 A little background on these no further action

1 sites. About two years ago, the Navy proposed, and the
2 United States Environmental Protection Agency and the
3 Regional Water Quality Control Board agreed, that the
4 sites recommended for no action in the 1999 approved
5 stationwide feasibility report would be addressed
6 separately. The outcome of this agreement is an
7 addendum, called addendum to the feasibility study, and
8 it provides additional documentation to support the no
9 action recommendation for these sites.

10 Why no action? Well, let me tell you why it's
11 no action. Under CERCLA, the Comprehensive
12 Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act,
13 no action is appropriate for sites when there is no
14 current or potential threat to human health or the
15 environment.

16 How do we know that there is no potential
17 threat to human health or the environment? It's
18 through risk assessment. Risk assessment is the
19 qualitative and quantitative evaluation of the risk
20 posed to humans and/or plants and animals by the actual
21 or potential presence of specific contaminants.

22 The EPA has established risk ranges to protect
23 human health and to assist with risk management
24 decisions. In general, the allowable risk range for
25 cancer is one times ten to the minus four to one times

1 ten to the minus six. What it means to an ordinary person
2 is that the additional cancer occurrence for every one
3 times ten to the minus four is one additional incidence of
4 cancer case in ten thousand in a population. Likewise,
5 the one times ten to the minus six is one additional cancer
6 case for every one million in a population.

7 The general allowable risk estimate for
8 noncancer is a hazard index equal to one. Noncancer
9 is, as an example, nausea, headache or skin rash or
10 boils, something that's not really life-threatening if
11 it's properly taken care of.

12 The five sites that are being proposed for no
13 action are: Site 23, the Golf Course Fill Area 3;
14 Weapons Storage Bunkers, both northern and southern
15 storage bunkers; Upland Soils; Exposure Area 4090; and
16 Exposure Area 4158. And I'm going to be -- the
17 discussions will be in this order.

18 The Site 23, Golf Course Fill Area 3, is about
19 two acres in the western portion of the golf course.
20 It's that portion where Jim is pointing right now.
21 Thank you, Jim.

22 The area was used for incidental disposal of
23 excess soil and debris from the golf course, including
24 soil, concrete, crushed asphalt, grass clippings and
25 mulch.

1 The nature and extent of contamination of
2 Site 23 were low levels of petroleum-related volatile
3 organic compounds, VOCs; semivolatile organic
4 compounds, SVOCs total petroleum hydrocarbons, TPH,
5 found in soil and surface debris. Pesticides were
6 found in several surface debris samples. Metals were
7 also found in soil samples at concentrations that occur
8 naturally in soil. But, by and large, the chemicals
9 found are consistent with the type of surface debris in
10 the area and the pesticides used for maintenance.

11 What's the summary of risk for Site 23? Under
12 human health risk, what we found is the cancer risk is
13 below or within the EPA's allowable risk range.
14 Noncancer risk is below the EPA's allowable level.
15 Under ecological risk, included in the ecological risk
16 assessment for Upland Soils, which I am going to be
17 covering later, there was no specific risk identified.

18 So, what's the rationale for proposing no
19 action? Well, the potential risk to human health is
20 below or within the EPA's generally allowable risk
21 range. No specific ecological risk was identified for
22 Site 23. Therefore, the Navy proposes no action for
23 Site 23.

24 The next site is the Weapons Storage Bunkers.
25 There are two storage bunkers, the Northern Weapons

1 Storage Bunkers and the Southern Weapons Storage
2 Bunkers. The bunkers, just to tell you a little bit
3 about the bunkers, are in the fenced area near the golf
4 course. Jim, will you please point to the location?

5 MR. KNIGHT: Up here. And the second one is
6 down here.

7 MR. TAMAYO: Thank you.

8 They're made of a heavy-gauged, corrugated steel
9 arch that forms its roof and sides, about thirty feet
10 long, twenty feet wide and twenty feet high. They are
11 covered with soil to form an earth-covered magazine.
12 The Northern Weapons Storage Bunkers are about five
13 acres and includes seven high-explosive magazines. The
14 Southern Weapons Storage Bunkers are about twice as
15 large as the Northern Weapons Storage Bunkers, ten
16 acres, and includes nine high-explosive magazines.

17 The nature and extent of contamination in
18 these weapons bunkers. The Northern Weapons Storage
19 Bunkers, metals were found in soil samples at
20 concentrations that occur naturally in soil. Whereas,
21 in the Southern Weapons Storage Bunkers, no source for
22 or evidence of soil contamination was identified.

23 Summary of risk. Under human health risk, the
24 cancer risk is within the EPA's allowable risk range.
25 The noncancer risk is below the EPA's allowable level.

1 And ecological risk, no ecological risk to the
2 burrowing owl population at the weapons storage
3 bunkers. The burrowing owl -- and here's a picture of
4 the burrowing owl. I'm sure you have seen this on some
5 occasion. And if you've been to Moffett Field, they
6 are plentiful.

7 So, what's the rationale for proposing no
8 action? All tanks associated with the weapons storage
9 bunkers have been closed. The cancer risk is within
10 the EPA's allowable risk range. Noncancer risk is
11 below EPA's allowable level. And there was no
12 contamination found at the southern storage bunkers.
13 No ecological risk has been identified at the Weapons
14 Storage Bunkers.

15 Therefore, the Navy is proposing no action for
16 the Weapons Storage Bunkers.

17 The next site is the Upland Soils. Note that
18 this is just for ecological risk only, and I will
19 explain why this is so.

20 In the phase one stationwide ecological risk
21 assessment, upland soils are defined as areas that
22 support upland plant communities and include virtually
23 all areas at the airfield that are not covered by
24 ditches, marshes or wetlands.

25 Jim, would you please point to it? It's

1 practically the whole airfield. The majority of the
2 areas are either paved, landscaped, or have been
3 altered substantially by land use. Certain open grassy
4 areas are actively managed as burrowing owl habitat.

5 The nature and extent of contamination.
6 Petroleum hydrocarbons and PAHs polynuclear aromatic
7 hydrocarbons, were found infrequently in upland soil
8 samples. PCBs, polychlorinated biphenyls, were found
9 in less than twelve percent of the Upland Soil samples
10 that were not collected in landfills. Pesticides were
11 found in about twenty-six percent of Upland Soils and
12 metals were found in soil samples at concentrations
13 that occur naturally in upland soils. The elevated
14 samples of PCBs that we found and also pesticides were
15 found mostly in the Lindbergh Avenue storm drain
16 channel. And the Lindbergh Avenue storm drain channel
17 has been remediated by NASA. So, those high levels of
18 PCBs and pesticides that were included in the Upland
19 Soils have been taken care of, have been remediated by
20 NASA.

21 Summary of potential risk. Human health.
22 Upland Soils have been evaluated for human health risk
23 separately. If a human health risk has been
24 identified, it's given a site number and these areas
25 are addressed as separate actions.

1 An example of this separate action for sites
2 that have been identified that have contamination is at
3 Site 22, which is a landfill; Sites 1 and 2, also
4 landfills. Site 20 is a fuel spill area, which is
5 undergoing review by the Water Board for closure.

6 Ecological risk. The most sensitive avian
7 receptor, the burrowing owl, is healthy and stable and
8 does not appear to be adversely affected by the low
9 level of chemicals found in Upland Soils.

10 So, what's the rationale for proposing no
11 action? Well, Upland Soils have been evaluated for
12 human health risk separately. These areas are
13 addressed either under separate actions or the
14 assessment concluded that there was no risk to human
15 health. No source of contamination was identified.
16 And the burrowing owl population does not appear to be
17 affected adversely by contaminants at the site.

18 The next site is the Human Health Risk
19 Assessment Exposure Area 4090. The HHRA conducted as
20 part of the statewide remedial investigation, the
21 potential risks to human health posed by contamination
22 were assessed using an exposure area approach. The
23 exposure areas were established by dividing the entire
24 area into a grid of equal half-acre squares, about the
25 size of a city block.

1 Exposure Area 4090 was not associated with a
2 specific stationwide site. Also, Exposure Area 4090
3 overlies a portion of the North Patrol Road Ditch and a
4 small portion of the IRP Site 2, Golf Course Landfill.
5 It used to be called the Golf Course Landfill, then it
6 was consolidated with Site 1. It's the site that Jim
7 was pointing to earlier.

8 The nature and extent of the contamination
9 for Area 4090. Low levels of TPH, PCBs, pesticides and
10 metals were found in the soil samples collected.

11 The summary of potential risk under human
12 health risk. Cancer risk is below EPA's allowable risk
13 for occupational use. Noncancer risk is below the
14 EPA's allowable level. Ecological risk is not
15 specifically evaluated yet, but the area is being
16 addressed under a separate investigation of the
17 Northern Channel, IRP Site 27. And that's the site
18 that Jim Knight is currently doing a feasibility study.

19 Why is this? Let me tell you something about
20 why it's included in the Northern Channel. The North
21 Patrol Road Ditch feeds into the Northern Channel. And,
22 as we have discussed earlier in the ecological risk for
23 Upland Soils, the ditch is not part of the Upland
24 Soils Site. It was included in that risk assessment. Now
25 it's going to be addressed when we take a closer look

1 at the Northern Channel, at IRP Site 27.

2 So, what's the rationale for proposing no
3 action? Exposure Area 4090 is part of the North Patrol
4 Road Ditch. And under all reasonable future land use
5 scenarios, it would be kept as a drainage ditch for
6 flood control.

7 The site specific occupational cancer risk and
8 noncancer hazard index estimates are below the EPA's
9 allowable levels with respect to human health.
10 Potential risks to ecological receptors for the entire
11 North Patrol Road Ditch will be evaluated as part of
12 the Northern Channel feasibility study.

13 Therefore, the Navy proposes no action for
14 Exposure Area 4090.

15 The last site, not necessarily the least, is
16 Exposure Area 4158. Just like Exposure Area 4090,
17 Exposure Area 4158 was not associated with a specific
18 stationwide site. Exposure Area 4158 is located
19 slightly south of IRP Site 11, the Engine Test Stand
20 Area, the site that Jim is pointing at in the picture
21 there.

22 The nature and extent of contamination. Low
23 levels of VOCs, SVOCs, TPH and metals were found in
24 some of the samples.

25 Human health risk. Cancer risk is within the

1 EPA's allowable risk for occupational use. Noncancer
2 risk is below the EPA's allowable level. And
3 ecological risk, included in stationwide ecological
4 risk assessment for Upland Soils. And, as we have
5 found out earlier, no ecological risk was identified.

6 Therefore, the rationale for proposing no
7 action. Potential risk to human health is within EPA's
8 generally allowable risk range. No ecological risk was
9 identified specifically for Exposure Area 4158.

10 And that's why the Navy is proposing no action
11 for Area 4158.

12 And now, what you have been waiting for, and I
13 hope it's no longer a surprise, what's the preferred
14 alternative? It's kind of not your traditional
15 proposed plan because there was only one alternative
16 considered.

17 Just like Lawrence had mentioned earlier,
18 under CERCLA no action is appropriate for sites when
19 there is no current or potential threat to human health
20 or the environment. As we have found out, under the
21 current and most likely future use scenarios, the sites
22 do not pose a threat to human health. The ecological
23 risk assessment for these sites also indicates that
24 there is no threat to the environment. Therefore, the
25 Navy proposes no action for these sites.

1 For the last twenty minutes or so, I have
2 given a site description, the nature and extent of
3 contamination, the potential risk, and the rationale
4 for proposing no action for the following sites:
5 Site 23, Golf Course Fill Area 3; the Weapons Storage
6 Bunkers, both northern and southern storage bunkers;
7 Upland Soils, although that's ecological risk only;
8 HHRA Exposure Area 4090; and HHRA Exposure Area 4158,
9 and I revealed the preferred alternative, which is no
10 action.

11 If you have any questions regarding what I
12 have presented, you're more than welcome to state it
13 right now, otherwise this concludes my presentation.

14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have a question on
15 the naval weapons bunkers. Have you done a standard
16 survey meter, looking not necessarily for gamma, but
17 for residual alpha and beta radiation from residual
18 tritium and radon at those sites? If you've not done
19 a survey on this, will you do a survey?

20 MR. TAMAYO: We did some radiation surveys in
21 that area and there was no indication of radiation
22 involved in both the northern and southern weapons
23 storage bunkers.

24 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Alpha, beta?

25 MR. TAMAYO: Any other questions?

1 Thank you for listening. I will hand this
2 thing back to Lawrence.

3 MR. Lansdale: Thank you, Art.

4 And for those that stepped in a little bit
5 late, what we're doing is, we're going to have an open
6 session where you can come up and provide oral
7 comments. Karen can pass around, if you don't have
8 one, we have cards to sign up, and we also have a
9 written comment form that you can provide.

10 Now, next I'd like to introduce Alana Lee with
11 the United States Environmental Protection Agency, and
12 Adriana Constantinescu of the Regional Water Board.

13 MS. LEE: Thanks, Lawrence.

14 My name is Alana Lee. I am the project
15 manager for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency,
16 EPA. We're the lead regulatory agency providing
17 oversight of the cleanup activities at Moffett Field.
18 We review and approve the technical documents and
19 provide regulatory guidance to the Navy. We work as
20 part of a very successful team, the base closure team,
21 which is comprised of the Navy, NASA, the Regional
22 Water Quality Control Board and the EPA. And we also
23 participate in the restoration advisory board meetings.

24 We really have a good positive working
25 relationship with the Navy and the other partnering

1 agencies. We meet frequently. We maintain excellent
2 communication to discuss the technical issues and any
3 concerns that we have on any of these sites.

4 As much as we work together toward a common
5 goal of cleaning up Moffett Field and protecting human
6 health and the environment, if there are any issues
7 that arise that we just can't come to an agreement,
8 there is a process in place. And that is part of the federal
9 facilities agreement that we've entered into to resolve
10 such disputes.

11 As far as this proposed plan is concerned, the
12 EPA has reviewed the Navy's proposal and we are in full
13 support of the Navy's proposal for no further action on
14 these five sites.

15 We're also here tonight to listen to any
16 concerns or comments that you have on this proposed
17 plan and we encourage you to voice your concerns and we
18 will consider all your comments before a final decision
19 is made.

20 Thanks.

21 MS. CONSTANTINESCU: Good evening, everybody.
22 I'm Adriana Constantinescu, the project manager with
23 San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board.

24 In the evaluation process for the proposed no
25 further action sites, Regional Water Quality Control

1 Board staff had been concerned about the PCB
2 contamination in Exposure Area 3974. And in the Navy's
3 response to our comments, it was specified that this
4 issue will be addressed in the proposed ROD for
5 Site 22.

6 Another concern was related to the groundwater
7 quality in the area of the Golf Course Fill Area 3, the
8 weapons bunkers, and the patrol road ditch. And, as we know,
9 in those areas, the groundwater quality is controlled,
10 improved and addressed by the pump and treatment system
11 for the southern plume at Moffett Field. And no action
12 for that northern plume, according to the ROD signed in
13 1999 for the Operable Unit Number 5.

14 And in the light of this evaluation, showing
15 that the proposed sites do not pose un acceptable risk
16 to human health or the environment, Regional Water
17 Quality Control Board supports the recommendation for
18 no action for these sites. And also it will give us an
19 opportunity to concentrate our efforts on the other
20 environmental problems at Moffett Field.

21 Thank you for your attention.

22 MR. Lansdale: Thank you, Adriana, and thank
23 you, Alana.

24 With that, I would like to introduce Bob Moss,
25 who's our Community Co-chair for the Restoration

1 Advisory Board, to give you have a few words about the
2 RAB.

3 Bob.

4 MR. MOSS: Just a few background comments
5 about the RAB and how it operates.

6 It's a community-based organization. It's not
7 just a government organization and government agencies
8 who are doing this without any community input.
9 Members of the public and government agencies, local
10 government agencies, are invited to participate and
11 actively do. We have members of both the Sunnyvale and
12 Mountain View City Council who regularly appear at the
13 RABs, also members of both Mountain View and Sunnyvale
14 staff and community members. And at times, there have
15 been quite a wide range of community members.

16 The idea is to try and inform people and have
17 the people inform the government agencies as to what
18 the issues are and what their concerns are and make
19 sure that everyone has an opportunity for adequate
20 review of the site, the environment that we're talking
21 about, and the potential for corrective actions.

22 There is a little brochure that has been sent
23 to some people. It's on the table if you're
24 interested. It talks about how individuals can get
25 involved and participate in the RAB.

1 One of the reasons that I'm the co-chair is
2 because the idea is to share the administration and the
3 chairmanship between the government participants and
4 somebody from the community. And the community
5 co-chair is actually elected by the RAB involved. So,
6 there are more than adequate opportunities for people
7 in the community to participate and to be involved and
8 be informed and also to have their viewpoints made
9 known and get their concerns and issues across.

10 Touching very briefly on the no action sites
11 we talked about, this has been discussed in the RAB
12 several times over the past six months, I guess. It's been
13 at least that. And the RAB in general seems to have no
14 problem with it. In fact, I talked to a few of the RAB
15 members today and they said they saw no need to come down
16 tonight because they were quite satisfied with the no
17 action and the evaluation that's been done.

18 So, with that, if anybody has any questions,
19 I'd be glad to answer them. Otherwise, thank you all
20 for coming tonight.

21 MR. Lansdale: Thank you, Bob.

22 Just to reiterate the purpose, what we've done
23 tonight is presented the information on the proposed
24 plan. And we're looking forward to taking comments
25 from the public.

1 The two things we hoped to accomplish were:
2 One, to meet the federal requirements for environmental
3 cleanup; and, two, to inform and involve the public in
4 the environmental cleanup process.

5 We have speaker sign-up cards. If you change
6 your mind and you need one, Karen will give you one.
7 If you have already filled one out, thank you, and
8 we'll be going over those in just a moment.

9 Remember, there's also the comment form. You
10 have until the 28th of January to mail this in,
11 postmarked on the 28th of January. We'll incorporate
12 those as well, hopefully, again, the sign-up sheet with
13 the responsevness to the summary, with responses to the
14 comments.

15 And, with that, looks like you have already
16 picked up the cards.

17 MS. LINEHAN: I have one so far. And I will
18 go ahead and call your name.

19 Did you want me to read it? Just read it.

20 If any of the other folks out there want to
21 come up, I'll say your name, then I'll spell your last
22 name, but you do the same for yourself.

23 This comment is from Libby Lucas, L-u-c-a-s,
24 and we have her address. And her question is: What is
25 the cause of decline in burrowing owl holes -- am I to

1 read the numbers -- 72 to 19? If this is the indicator
2 species, is this cause for concern and can it be said
3 no toxic conditions are affecting wildlife?

4 MR. Lansdale: Thank you very much.

5 Was there another -- I couldn't hear in the
6 back. Do you have another comment?

7 MS. LUCAS: He was suggesting something about
8 ground squirrels.

9 MR. Lansdale: Okay. Are there any more oral
10 comments?

11 With that, again I would like to thank
12 everybody. And there's lots to do in the evening and
13 we appreciate you coming out. This is an important
14 process and we want to make sure that the public has
15 every opportunity to voice their concerns or their
16 comments. And we really do appreciate you coming out.
17 And this is one way that we can get those incorporated
18 to make a good document that everybody can be proud of.

19 So, with that, thank you again. And we have a
20 whole bunch of cookies and a whole bunch of coffee, and
21 I believe there's lemonade. Feel free to take some
22 cookies home or a pot of coffee if you're a late night
23 person.

24 And, with that, it is approximately 8:22. I
25 would like to adjourn the meeting if we don't have

1 anything else.

2 Thank you again very much for coming. We
3 appreciate it. We will hopefully see you at the next
4 meeting of the RAB. Thank you.

5 (Proceedings adjourned at 8:23 p.m.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<p style="text-align: center;">A</p> <p>ability 28:13 able 4:23 5:17 about 5:4 6:12 7:21 9:1 10:18 12:3,9,12 12:14 14:11 15:24 16:19 22:1 23:1,5 23:21,24 24:11 26:7 acceptable 22:15 accepting 4:25 accomplish 25:1 according 22:12 acres 10:19 12:13,16 across 24:9 Act 9:12 acting 4:7 action 1:15 4:12,15 6:12 7:4,5,9,16,19 8:18,22,25 9:4,9,10 9:11,13 10:13 11:19 11:22 13:8,15 15:1 15:11 17:3,13 18:7 18:10,18,25 19:4,10 21:13,25 22:11,18 24:10,17 28:15 actions 7:8 14:25 15:13 23:21 actively 14:4 23:11 activities 20:17 actual 9:20 actually 24:5 addendum 9:7,7 additional 9:8 10:2,3 10:5 address 4:22 5:9 25:24 addressed 9:5 14:25 15:13 16:16,25 22:4 22:10 adequate 23:19 24:6 adjourn 26:25 adjourned 27:5 Adjusts 4:16 administration 24:2 adriana 3:15 6:17 20:12 21:22 22:22 adversely 15:8,17 advisory 3:21 20:23 23:1 affected 15:8,17 affecting 26:3 After 8:16 again 6:22 25:12 26:11,19 27:2 agencies 21:1 23:7,9 23:10,17 agency 3:13 9:2 20:11 20:15,16</p>	<p>agenda 6:8 ago 9:1 agreed 9:3 agreement 9:6 21:7,9 ahead 25:18 airfield 1:12 3:20 7:20 8:1 13:23 14:1 alana 3:12 6:16 20:10 20:14 22:23 allowable 9:24 10:7 11:13,14,20 12:24 12:25 13:10,11 16:12,14 17:9 18:1 18:2,8 alpha 19:17,24 already 4:18 5:11 25:7,15 altered 14:3 alternative 8:23 18:14,15 19:9 although 19:7 and/or 9:20 animals 9:20 another 5:13 22:6 26:5,6 answer 8:6 24:19 anybody 24:18 anything 27:1 appear 15:8,16 23:12 APPEARANCES 3:1 appreciate 26:13,16 27:3 approach 15:22 appropriate 7:5,10 9:13 18:18 approve 20:18 approved 9:4 approximately 26:24 arch 12:9 area 10:13,15,16,18 10:22 11:10 12:3 15:4,19,22,24 16:1 16:2,9,15 17:3,14 17:16,16,17,18,20 18:9,11 19:5,8,8,21 22:2,7,7 areas 13:21,23 14:2,4 14:24 15:12,23 22:9 arise 21:7 aromatic 14:6 around 20:7 art 3:8 6:11,15 7:2,10 7:13,14 20:3 asphalt 10:24 assessed 15:22 assessment 8:5,6 9:18 9:18 11:16 13:21 15:14,19 16:24 18:4</p>	<p>18:23 assessments 7:23 assist 9:23 Assisting 7:17 associated 13:8 16:1 17:17 attention 22:21 attorney 28:16 available 5:8 8:11 Avenue 14:15,16 avian 15:6</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">B</p> <p>back 20:2 26:6 background 8:25 23:4 base 20:20 Bay 21:23 before 7:10 8:23 21:18 28:9 behalf 2:10 being 10:12 16:15 believe 26:21 below 11:13,14,20 12:25 13:11 16:12 16:13 17:8 18:2 best 28:12 beta 19:17,24 between 24:3 biphenyls 14:8 bit 4:4 5:17 6:12,20 12:2 20:4 block 15:25 board 3:17,21 6:17 9:3 15:5 20:12,22 20:23 21:23 22:1,17 23:1 Bob 6:18,18,19 22:24 23:3 24:21 boils 10:14 both 10:14 19:6,22 23:11,13 BRAC 3:5 4:7 brief 6:15 8:10,15,20 briefly 8:14 24:10 brochure 23:22 bunch 26:20,20 bunkers 10:14,15 11:24,25 12:1,2,2,3 12:12,14,15,18,19 12:21 13:3,9,12,14 13:16 19:6,6,15,23 22:8 burrowing 13:2,3,4 14:4 15:7,16 25:25</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">C</p> <p>california 2:13 3:16</p>	<p>28:1,6 call 4:3 25:18 called 9:7 16:5 came 4:10 cancer 9:25 10:2,4,5 11:12 12:24 13:9 16:12 17:7,25 cards 20:8 25:5,16 care 10:11 14:19 case 10:4,6 Castro 2:12 cause 25:25 26:2 CERCLA 7:4 9:11 18:18 Certain 14:3 Certified 28:5 certify 28:7,14 chairmanship 24:3 Chambers 2:12 chance 4:18 change 25:5 channel 8:2 14:16,16 16:17,20,21 17:1,12 chemicals 11:8 15:9 city 2:12 15:25 23:12 CLARA 28:3 clarification 6:24 cleaning 21:5 cleanup 20:17 25:3,4 clippings 10:24 closed 13:9 closer 16:25 closure 15:5 20:20 coffee 26:20,22 collect 5:14 6:23 collected 14:10 16:10 collecting 5:23 come 5:5,12 7:2 20:6 21:7 24:15 25:21 coming 4:10 24:20 26:13,16 27:2 Command 2:11 commencing 2:13 comment 5:2,4,12 6:21 20:9 25:9,23 26:6 comments 4:12,20,25 5:1,10,20,22,24,24 6:1,3,4,5,6,10,22,23 6:25 20:7 21:16,18 22:3 23:4 24:24 25:14 26:10,16 common 21:4 communication 21:2 communities 13:22 community 3:21 22:25 23:8,14,15 24:4,4,7</p>	<p>community-based 23:6 Compensation 9:12 compounds 11:3,4 Comprehensive 9:11 comprised 20:21 concentrate 22:19 concentrations 11:7 12:20 14:12 concern 22:6 26:2 concerned 21:11 22:1 concerns 21:3,16,17 23:18 24:9 26:15 concluded 15:14 concludes 19:13 concluding 8:23 concrete 10:24 conditions 26:3 conducted 15:19 consider 21:18 considered 18:16 consistent 11:9 consolidated 16:6 constantinescu 3:15 6:17 20:12 21:21,22 contaminants 9:21 15:17 contamination 8:21 11:1 12:17,22 13:12 14:5 15:2,15,21 16:8 17:22 19:3 22:2 contractor 7:18 control 3:16 9:3 17:6 20:22 21:23,25 22:17 controlled 22:9 cookies 26:20,22 coordinator 3:5 4:8 7:25 copies 8:12 copy 8:12,13 corrective 23:21 corrugated 12:8 Council 2:12 23:12 COUNTY 28:3 course 10:13,18,19,23 12:4 16:4,5 19:5 22:7 covered 12:11 13:23 covering 8:9 11:17 co-chair 3:21 6:19 22:25 24:1,5 crowd 5:18 crushed 10:24 CSR 28:5,19 current 7:6 9:14 18:19,21</p>
---	---	---	---	---

<p>currently 7:24 8:1 16:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <p>debris 10:23 11:5,6,9 December 5:2 decision 21:18 decisions 9:24 decline 25:25 defined 13:21 describe 8:19 description 8:20 19:2 direction 28:12 directly 8:18 discuss 21:2 discussed 16:22 24:11 discussing 8:15 discussions 10:17 display 4:17 disposal 10:22 disputes 21:10 ditch 16:3,21,23 17:4 17:5,11 22:8 ditches 13:24 dividing 15:23 Division 2:11 3:6,10 4:7 6:12 document 26:18 documentation 7:19 9:8 documents 20:18 doing 4:15 6:20 8:17 16:18 20:5 23:8 done 7:22 19:15,18 24:17,22 down 5:21 12:6 24:15 drain 14:15,16 drainage 17:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <p>earlier 8:4 16:7,22 18:5,17 earth-covered 12:11 ecological 11:15,15 11:21 13:1,1,13,18 13:20 15:6 16:14,22 17:10 18:3,3,5,8,22 19:7 efforts 22:19 either 14:2 15:13 elected 24:5 elevated 14:13 EMI 7:17 employee 28:16 encourage 21:17 end 8:8 Engine 17:19 Engineering 2:11</p>	<p>entered 21:9 entire 15:23 17:10 environment 7:7 9:15 9:17 18:20,24 21:6 22:16 23:20 environmental 3:5,13 4:8 7:22 9:2,12 20:11,15 22:20 25:2 25:4 EPA 6:17 9:22 20:16 20:22 21:12 EPA's 11:13,14,20 12:24,25 13:10,11 16:12,14 17:8 18:1 18:2,7 equal 10:8 15:24 established 9:22 15:23 estimate 10:7 estimates 17:8 evaluated 7:9 14:22 15:11 16:15 17:11 evaluation 9:19 21:24 22:14 24:17 evening 7:14 21:21 26:12 every 10:2,6 26:15 everybody 4:2,5 21:21 26:12,18 everyone 23:19 everything 5:21 evidence 12:22 example 10:9 15:1 excellent 21:1 excess 10:23 explain 6:13 13:19 explaining 4:11 exposure 10:15,16 15:19,22,23 16:1,2 17:3,14,16,16,17,18 18:9 19:8,8 22:2 extend 5:17 extent 8:20 11:1 12:17 14:5 16:8 17:22 19:2 extra 8:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">F</p> <p>facilities 2:11 21:9 fact 24:14 far 21:11 25:17 feasibility 8:2 9:5,7 16:18 17:12 federal 1:12 3:20 7:20 8:1 21:8 25:2 feeds 16:21 Feel 26:21 feet 12:9,10,10</p>	<p>fenced 12:3 few 23:1,4 24:14 Field 4:8 7:16,20,25 13:5 20:17 21:5 22:11,20 fill 5:14 10:13,18 19:5 22:7 filled 25:7 final 21:18 financially 28:15 First 4:9 five 10:12 12:12 21:14 five-minute 5:16 flood 17:6 folks 25:20 followed 6:18,18 following 6:15 19:4 foregoing 28:8 forgot 8:12 form 4:24 5:3,10,13 12:11 20:9 25:9 format 6:1 format 4:16 forms 12:9 forth 28:9 forward 4:11 6:5 24:24 found 11:5,6,7,9,12 12:19 13:12 14:7,8 14:11,12,14,15 15:9 16:10 17:23 18:5,20 four 9:25 10:3 Francisco 21:23 free 26:21 frequently 21:1 from 6:14 10:23 19:17 24:4,25 25:23 front 5:11 fuel 15:4 full 21:12 further 1:15 4:11,15 7:4,9 8:25 21:13,25 28:14 future 17:4 18:21</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">G</p> <p>gamma 19:16 general 9:24 10:7 24:13 generally 11:20 18:8 getting 4:4 give 5:10 8:19 22:18 23:1 25:6 given 14:24 19:2 glad 4:10 8:6 24:19 go 6:8,25 8:18 25:18 goal 21:5</p>	<p>goes 5:2 going 4:4 6:9 7:2 8:9 8:14 10:16 11:16 16:25 20:5 25:8 golf 10:13,18,19,23 12:3 16:4,5 19:5 22:7 good 7:14 20:24 21:21 26:18 government 23:7,7,9 23:10,17 24:3 grass 10:24 grassy 14:3 grid 15:24 ground 26:8 groundwater 22:6,9 guess 24:12 guidance 20:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">H</p> <p>habitat 14:4 half-acre 15:24 hand 7:12 20:1 hazard 10:8 17:8 headache 10:9 health 7:6 9:14,17,23 11:12,19 12:23 14:21,22,23 15:12 15:15,18,21 16:12 17:9,25 18:7,19,22 21:6 22:16 healthy 15:7 hear 26:5 heavy-gauged 12:8 help 6:14 her 25:24,24 He'll 6:19 HHRA 15:19 19:8,8 high 12:10 14:17 high-explosive 12:13 12:16 hold 8:7 holding 5:14 holes 25:25 home 26:22 hope 4:18 18:13 hoped 25:1 hopefully 4:23 6:7 25:12 27:3 human 7:6 9:14,17,23 11:12,19 12:23 14:21,22,23 15:12 15:14,18,21 16:11 17:9,25 18:7,19,22 21:5 22:16 humans 9:20 hydrocarbons 11:4 14:6,7</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">I</p> <p>idea 23:16 24:2 identified 11:17,21 12:22 13:13 14:24 15:2,15 18:5,9 important 26:13 improved 22:10 incidence 10:3 incidental 10:22 include 13:22 included 11:15 14:18 16:20,24 18:3 includes 12:13,16 including 10:23 incorporate 25:11 incorporated 26:17 index 10:8 17:8 indicates 18:23 indication 19:21 indicator 26:1 individuals 23:24 inform 23:16,17 25:3 information 4:19 24:23 informed 24:8 infrequently 14:7 input 23:8 installation 7:25 interested 23:24 28:15 introduce 20:10 22:24 introductions 6:10 introductory 8:16 investigation 15:20 16:16 invited 23:10 involve 25:3 involved 19:22 23:25 24:5,7 IRP 16:4,17 17:1,19 issue 6:2 22:4 issues 21:2,6 23:18 24:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>january 1:17 2:14 5:1 5:3,6 25:10,11 Jim 6:14 7:17,21 10:20,21 12:4 13:25 16:6,18 17:20 just 7:2,10 12:2 13:18 17:16 18:17 21:7 23:4,7 24:22 25:8 25:19</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <p>Karen 5:3,10,13 8:13</p>
--	--	--	---	---

20:7 25:6 KATHLEEN 28:5,19 kept 17:5 kind 18:14 knight 6:14,18,18 7:17,21 12:5 16:18 know 9:16 22:8 known 24:9	located 17:18 location 12:4 long 12:10 longer 18:13 look 4:11 6:5 16:25 looking 19:16 24:24 looks 25:15 lots 26:12 low 11:2 15:8 16:9 17:22 lucas 25:23 26:7 L-u-c-a-s 25:23	20:17 21:5 22:11,20 moment 25:8 months 24:12 more 19:12 24:6 26:9 moss 3:19 6:19 22:24 23:4 most 15:6 18:21 mostly 14:15 Mountain 2:12 5:8 23:12,13 much 21:4 26:4 27:2 mulch 10:25	occur 11:7 12:20 14:13 occurrence 10:2 off 7:12 Okay 26:9 one 4:24 9:25,25 10:2 10:3,5,5,6,8 12:5 13:20 18:15 20:8 24:1 25:2,6,6,7,17 26:17 only 13:18 18:15 19:7 open 14:3 20:5 opening 6:9 Operable 22:13 operates 23:5 opportunities 24:6 opportunity 22:19 23:19 26:15 oral 5:12 6:22 20:6 26:9 orally 5:23 order 4:3 5:15 10:17 ordinary 10:1 organic 11:3,3 organization 23:6,7 other 5:8 19:25 20:25 22:19 25:20 otherwise 19:13 24:19 out 4:21,22 5:14 7:3 18:5,20 25:7,20 26:13,16 outcome 9:6 over 24:12 25:8 overlies 16:3 oversight 20:17 owl 13:2,3,4 14:4 15:7,16 25:25	percent 14:9,11 period 5:2 6:21 person 10:1 26:23 pesticides 11:5,10 14:10,14,18 16:9 petroleum 11:4 14:6 petroleum-related 11:2 phase 8:16 13:20 pick 4:23 picked 25:16 picture 13:3 17:20 place 21:8 28:9 plan 1:14 4:14 5:7 7:7 7:19 8:10,15 18:15 21:11,17 24:24 plant 13:22 plants 9:20 please 5:6 8:7 12:4 13:25 plentiful 13:6 plume 22:11,12 point 7:3 12:4 13:25 pointing 10:20 16:7 17:20 polychlorinated 14:8 polynuclear 14:6 population 10:4,6 13:2 15:16 portion 10:19,20 16:3 16:4 pose 18:22 22:15 posed 9:20 15:21 positive 20:24 postmarked 5:6 25:11 pot 26:22 potential 7:6 8:21 9:14,16,21 11:19 14:21 15:21 16:11 17:10 18:7,19 19:3 23:21 practically 14:1 preface 7:10 preferred 8:23 18:13 19:9 prepared 7:18 presence 9:21 present 5:19 presentation 4:24 6:16 8:8,17,24 19:13 presented 19:12 24:23 problem 24:14 problems 22:20 proceedings 4:1 27:5 28:8,10
L	M	N	P	
land 14:3 17:4 landfill 15:3 16:4,5 landfills 14:10 15:4 landscaped 14:2 Lansdale 3:4 4:2,6 20:3 22:22 24:21 26:4,9 large 11:8 12:15 last 7:23 17:15 19:1 25:21 late 20:5 26:22 later 4:4 5:5 11:17 Lawrence 3:4 4:6 18:17 20:2,13 lead 20:16 least 17:15 24:13 lee 3:12 6:16 20:10,13 20:14 lemonade 26:21 less 14:9 let 7:21 9:10 16:19 level 11:14 12:25 13:11 15:9 16:14 18:2 levels 11:2 14:17 16:9 17:9,23 Liability 9:12 Libby 25:23 library 5:9 life-threatening 10:10 light 5:17,18 22:14 like 4:2,9 5:3,12 6:8 7:12 8:14 17:16 18:17 20:10 22:24 25:15 26:11,25 likely 18:21 Likewise 10:4 limit 5:16 Lindbergh 14:15,16 line 8:4 LINEHAN 25:17 listen 21:15 listening 20:1 little 4:4 5:17 6:12,20 8:25 12:2 20:4 23:22 local 23:9	machine 28:11 made 12:8 21:19 24:8 28:10 magazine 12:11 magazines 12:13,16 mail 4:19,21,22 6:3 8:11 25:10 maintain 21:1 maintenance 11:10 majority 14:1 make 5:12 23:18 26:14,18 making 4:4 managed 14:4 management 9:23 manager 3:9 7:15 8:1 20:15 21:22 marshes 13:24 material 5:7,8 materials 4:24 Matter 1:6 may 5:17 8:13 Meaning 7:7 means 6:1 10:1 meet 21:1 25:2 meeting 1:16 2:10 4:3 4:14,16 5:20,23,25 26:25 27:4 meetings 20:23 MEHEEN 28:5,19 members 23:9,11,13 23:14,15 24:15 mentioned 8:4 18:17 metals 11:6 12:19 14:12 16:10 17:23 meter 19:16 middle 8:17 million 10:6 mind 25:6 minus 9:25 10:1,3,5 minute 7:3 minutes 19:1 moffett 1:12 3:20 4:8 5:8 7:16,20,25 13:5	name 4:6,22 7:14 20:14 25:18,21,22 NASA 14:17,20 20:21 naturally 11:8 12:20 14:13 nature 8:20 11:1 12:17 14:5 16:8 17:22 19:2 nausea 10:9 naval 2:10 19:15 Navy 3:6,10 4:7 7:15 9:1 11:22 13:15 17:13 18:10,25 20:19,21,25 Navy's 8:23 21:12,13 22:2 near 12:3 necessarily 17:15 19:16 need 6:25 24:15 25:6 needs 5:6 neither 28:14 next 6:11 11:24 13:17 15:18 20:10 27:3 night 26:22 nine 12:16 noncancer 10:8,8 11:14 12:25 13:10 16:13 17:8 18:1 North 16:3,20 17:3 17:11 northern 8:2 10:14 11:25 12:12,15,18 16:17,20,21 17:1,12 19:6,22 22:12 Note 13:17 notes 5:20 number 14:24 22:13 numbers 26:1	Page 3:2 PAHs 14:6 part 15:20 16:23 17:3 17:11 20:20 21:8 participants 24:3 participate 20:23 23:10,25 24:7 parties 28:16 partnering 20:25 pass 20:7 past 24:12 patrol 16:3,21 17:3 17:11 22:8 paved 14:2 PCB 22:1 PCBs 14:8,14,18 16:9 people 23:16,17,23 24:6	
O				
occasion 13:5 occupational 16:13 17:7 18:1				

<p>process 21:8,24 25:4 26:14</p> <p>project 3:9 7:15 8:1,3 20:14 21:22</p> <p>properly 10:11</p> <p>proposal 4:11 21:12 21:13</p> <p>proposed 1:14 4:14 4:14 5:7 7:4,7,16,19 8:10,15,18 9:1 10:12 18:15 21:11 21:16,24 22:4,15 24:23</p> <p>proposes 11:22 17:13 18:25</p> <p>proposing 5:16 8:22 11:18 13:7,15 15:10 17:2 18:6,10 19:4</p> <p>protect 9:22</p> <p>protecting 21:5</p> <p>Protection 3:13 9:2 20:11,15</p> <p>proud 26:18</p> <p>provide 4:25 5:4,22 5:25 6:1,2,19,22,23 20:6,9,19</p> <p>provides 9:8</p> <p>providing 20:16</p> <p>public 1:16 2:10 4:14 4:20 5:1,9,23 6:21 23:9 24:25 25:3 26:14</p> <p>pump 22:10</p> <p>purpose 5:25 24:22</p> <p>p.m 2:13 27:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Q</p> <hr/> <p>qualitative 9:19</p> <p>quality 3:16 9:3 20:22 21:23,25 22:7,9,17</p> <p>quantitative 9:19</p> <p>question 19:14 25:24</p> <p>questions 6:24 8:5,7,7 19:11,25 24:18</p> <p>quite 23:15 24:16</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">R</p> <hr/> <p>RAB 6:19,20 23:2,5 23:25 24:5,11,13,14 27:4</p> <p>RABs 23:13</p> <p>radiation 19:17,20,21</p> <p>radon 19:18</p> <p>range 9:24 11:13,21 12:24 13:10 18:8 23:15</p> <p>ranges 9:22</p> <p>rash 10:9</p>	<p>rationale 8:21 11:18 13:7 15:10 17:2 18:6 19:3</p> <p>read 25:19,19 26:1</p> <p>really 10:10 20:24 26:16</p> <p>reasonable 17:4</p> <p>reasons 24:1</p> <p>receive 8:11</p> <p>received 4:20 5:16 6:5</p> <p>receiving 4:12</p> <p>receptor 15:7</p> <p>receptors 17:10</p> <p>recommendation 9:9 22:17</p> <p>recommended 9:4</p> <p>record 5:19 28:10</p> <p>regarding 8:5 19:11</p> <p>Regional 3:16 6:17 9:3 20:12,21 21:23 21:25 22:16</p> <p>regularly 23:12</p> <p>regulators 6:16</p> <p>regulatory 20:16,19</p> <p>reiterate 24:22</p> <p>related 22:6</p> <p>relationship 20:25</p> <p>relative 28:15</p> <p>remedial 3:9 7:15 15:20</p> <p>remediated 14:17,19</p> <p>Remember 25:9</p> <p>reply 5:21</p> <p>report 9:5</p> <p>Reporter 28:6</p> <p>requirements 25:2</p> <p>research 7:18</p> <p>residual 19:17,17</p> <p>resolve 21:9</p> <p>respect 17:9</p> <p>respond 6:25</p> <p>responding 5:24</p> <p>response 9:12 22:3</p> <p>responses 6:4 25:13</p> <p>responsevness 25:13</p> <p>responsiveness 4:21 6:2,3,23 7:1</p> <p>restoration 3:20 20:23 22:25</p> <p>reveal 8:22</p> <p>revealed 19:9</p> <p>review 15:5 20:18 23:20</p> <p>reviewed 21:12</p> <p>right 10:20 19:13</p> <p>risk 7:23 8:4,6,21 9:18,18,19,22,23,24</p>	<p>10:7 11:11,12,12,13 11:14,15,15,17,19 11:20,21 12:23,23 12:24,24,25 13:1,1 13:9,10,10,13,18,20 14:21,22,23 15:6,12 15:14,18 16:11,12 16:12,12,13,14,22 16:24 17:7,25,25 18:1,2,3,4,5,7,8,8 18:23 19:3,7 22:15</p> <p>risks 15:21 17:10</p> <p>road 16:3,21 17:4,11 22:8</p> <p>ROBERT 3:19</p> <p>ROD 22:4,12</p> <p>roof 12:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">S</p> <hr/> <p>same 25:22</p> <p>samples 11:6,7 12:19 14:8,9,12,14 16:10 17:24</p> <p>San 21:23</p> <p>SANTA 28:3</p> <p>satisfied 24:16</p> <p>saw 24:15</p> <p>scenarios 17:5 18:21</p> <p>scientist 7:22</p> <p>second 12:5</p> <p>see 27:3</p> <p>seems 24:13</p> <p>seen 13:4</p> <p>semivolatile 11:3</p> <p>sensitive 15:6</p> <p>sent 23:22</p> <p>separate 14:25 15:1 15:13 16:16</p> <p>separately 9:6 14:23 15:12</p> <p>series 7:8</p> <p>session 20:6</p> <p>set 28:9</p> <p>seven 12:13</p> <p>several 7:22,23 11:6 24:12</p> <p>share 24:2</p> <p>sheet 25:12</p> <p>shorthand 28:6,11</p> <p>showing 22:14</p> <p>sides 12:9</p> <p>slightly 17:19</p> <p>sign 4:19 20:8</p> <p>signed 22:12</p> <p>sign-up 25:5,12</p> <p>site 1:13 8:2,20 10:13 10:18 11:2,11,22,23 11:24 13:17 14:24</p>	<p>15:3,4,17,18 16:2,4 16:6,6,17,17,24 17:1,7,15,18,19,20 19:2,5 22:5 23:20</p> <p>sites 1:15 4:12,15 6:13 7:4,5,16,20,23 8:18,19 9:1,4,9,13 10:12 15:1,3 18:18 18:21,23,25 19:4,18 21:3,14,25 22:15,18 24:10</p> <p>six 10:1,5 24:12</p> <p>size 15:25</p> <p>skin 10:9</p> <p>small 16:4</p> <p>soil 10:23,24 11:5,7,8 12:11,19,20,22 14:7 14:9,12 16:10</p> <p>soils 10:15 11:16 13:17,21 14:11,13 14:19,22 15:9,11 16:23,24 18:4 19:7</p> <p>some 4:23 8:13 13:4 17:24 19:20 23:23 26:21</p> <p>somebody 24:4</p> <p>something 5:5 7:21 10:10 16:19 26:7</p> <p>source 12:21 15:15</p> <p>south 17:19</p> <p>southern 10:14 12:1 12:14,21 13:12 19:6 19:22 22:11</p> <p>Southwest 2:11 3:6 3:10 4:7 6:11</p> <p>speak 5:5,13,15 7:2</p> <p>speaker 19:14,24 25:5</p> <p>SPEAKERS 3:2</p> <p>speaking 7:11</p> <p>species 26:2</p> <p>specific 9:21 11:17,21 16:2 17:7,17</p> <p>specifically 8:19 16:15 18:9</p> <p>specified 22:3</p> <p>spell 25:21</p> <p>spill 15:4</p> <p>squares 15:24</p> <p>squirrels 26:8</p> <p>ss 28:2</p> <p>stable 15:7</p> <p>staff 22:1 23:14</p> <p>Stand 17:19</p> <p>standard 19:15</p> <p>started 5:2 7:11</p> <p>state 19:12 28:1,6</p> <p>States 9:2 20:11</p>	<p>stationwide 9:5 13:20 15:20 16:2 17:18 18:3</p> <p>steel 12:8</p> <p>stenographer 5:19</p> <p>stepped 20:4</p> <p>storage 10:14,15 11:24,25 12:1,1,12 12:14,15,18,21 13:2 13:8,12,14,16 19:5 19:6,23</p> <p>storm 14:15,16</p> <p>Street 2:12</p> <p>study 8:2 9:7 16:18 17:12</p> <p>substantially 14:3</p> <p>successful 20:20</p> <p>suggesting 26:7</p> <p>summary 4:21 6:2,3 6:24 7:1 8:10,15,21 11:11 12:23 14:21 16:11 25:13</p> <p>Sunnyvale 23:11,13</p> <p>SUPERFUND 1:13</p> <p>support 9:8 13:22 21:13</p> <p>supports 22:17</p> <p>sure 4:4 13:4 23:19 26:14</p> <p>surface 11:5,6,9</p> <p>surprise 18:13</p> <p>survey 19:16,19,19</p> <p>surveys 19:20</p> <p>SVOCs 11:4 17:23</p> <p>switch 4:16</p> <p>system 22:10</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>table 23:23</p> <p>take 8:13 16:25 26:21</p> <p>taken 2:10 10:11 14:19 28:8</p> <p>taking 24:24</p> <p>talk 6:12</p> <p>talked 24:11,14</p> <p>talking 23:20</p> <p>talks 23:24</p> <p>tamayo 3:8 6:11 7:13 7:14,15 12:7 19:20 19:25</p> <p>tanks 13:8</p> <p>team 20:20,20</p> <p>Tech 7:17,24,25</p> <p>technical 20:18 21:2</p> <p>tell 7:21 9:10 12:2 16:19</p> <p>ten 9:25 10:1,3,4,5 12:15</p>
---	--	--	---	---

<p>Test 17:19 Tetra 7:17,24,25 thank 4:9 10:21 12:7 20:1,3 22:21,22,22 24:19,21 25:7 26:4 26:11,19 27:2,4 Thanks 20:13 21:20 their 23:18 24:8,9 26:15,15 thing 20:2 things 25:1 think 5:4 thirty 12:9 thousand 10:4 threat 7:6,9 9:14,17 18:19,22,24 through 5:3 6:9,9 9:18 Thursday 2:13 time 28:9 times 9:25,25 10:3,5 23:14 24:12 today 5:19 24:15 together 21:4 tonight 4:13,20 5:18 5:22,25 6:6,9 8:9 21:15 24:16,20,23 total 11:4 Touching 24:10 toward 21:4 toxic 26:3 TPH 11:4 16:9 17:23 traditional 18:14 transcribed 28:12 treatment 22:10 tritium 19:18 try 23:16 twelve 7:23 14:9 twenty 12:10,10 19:1 twenty-six 14:11 twice 12:14 two 9:1 10:19 11:25 25:1,3 type 11:9 typically 7:7</p>	<p>upland 10:15 11:16 13:17,21,22 14:7,9 14:11,13,18,22 15:9 15:11 16:23,23 18:4 19:7 use 14:3 16:13 17:4 18:1,21 used 10:22 11:10 16:5 using 15:22 28:11 U.S 3:13 4:7 6:16 20:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <p>verbatim 28:10 very 20:20 24:10 26:4 27:2 View 2:13 5:9 23:12 23:13 viewpoints 24:8 virtually 13:22 visual 4:16 VOCs 11:3 17:23 voice 21:17 26:15 volatile 11:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <p>waiting 18:12 want 5:5 8:12 25:19 25:20 26:14 wanted 7:3,10 Water 3:16 9:3 15:5 20:12,22 21:23,25 22:16 way 6:6 26:17 weapons 10:14 11:24 11:25 12:1,12,14,15 12:18,18,21 13:2,8 13:13,16 19:5,15,22 22:8 welcome 4:2 19:12 well 4:12 9:10 11:19 15:11 25:12 were 4:23 11:2,5,6 12:19 14:7,8,10,10 14:12,14,18 15:22 15:23 16:10 17:23 24:16 25:1 28:8 western 10:19 wetlands 13:24 we'll 4:20 5:14 6:1,3 6:6,21,23 25:8,11 we're 4:3,10,13,15,25 5:24 20:5,5,16 21:15 23:20 24:24 we've 21:9 24:22 whole 14:1 26:20,20 wide 12:10 23:15 wildlife 26:3</p>	<p>words 23:1 work 4:6 20:19 21:4 working 20:24 written 4:25,25 5:4 5:10 6:4 20:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">Y</p> <p>years 7:24 9:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">1</p> <p>1 15:3 16:6 10 1:17 2:14 11 17:19 15th 5:2 19 26:1 1999 9:4 22:13</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p> <p>2 15:3 16:4 20 3:12 15:4 2002 1:17 2:14 21 3:15 22 15:3 22:5 23 3:19 10:13,18 11:2 11:11,22,23 19:5 27 8:2 16:17 17:1 28 5:3,6 28th 5:1 25:10,11</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">3</p> <p>3 10:13,18 19:5 22:7 3974 22:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">4</p> <p>4 3:4 4090 10:15 15:19 16:1,2,9 17:3,14,16 19:8 4158 10:16 17:16,17 17:18 18:9,11 19:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">5</p> <p>5 22:13 500 2:12</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">7</p> <p>7 3:8 7:45 2:13 4:3 72 26:1</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">8</p> <p>8:22 26:24 8:23 27:5 8478 28:5 8748 28:19</p>		
<hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <p>un 22:15 under 7:4 9:11 11:11 11:15 12:23 15:13 16:11,16 17:4 18:18 18:20 28:12 undergoing 15:5 UNIDENTIFIED 19:14,24 Unit 22:13 United 9:2 20:11 until 5:1 8:8 25:10</p>				

Community Relations
Public Affairs Consulting
Marketing Communications

Katz & Associates, Inc.
4250 Executive Square, Suite 670
La Jolla, CA 92037
Tel (858) 452-0031
Fax (858) 552-8437
info@katzandassociates.com
www.katzandassociates.com

February 27, 2002



Luis Rivero
Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation
1230 Columbia Street, Suite 640
San Diego, CA 92101

RE: *Community Relations Support for Moffett Federal Airfield*
Transmittal: No Further Action Sites Proposed Plan Public Meeting Transcript

Dear Mr. Rivero:

This letter serves to transmit the certified transcript from the No Further Action Sites Proposed Plan Public Meeting held on January 10, 2002. The original is being submitted to the Southwest Division administrative record file coordinator, Diane Silva. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 858-452-0031 x390.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Karen".

Karen Linehan
Community Relations
Moffett Federal Airfield

Attachment

Cc:

DC File, FWENC
J. Schwarz, TTEMI
A. Tamayo, SWDIV
L. Lansdale, SWDIV
D, Silva, SWDIV Administrative Records Coordinator - **ORIGINAL**