



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

75 Hawthorne Street

San Francisco, CA 94105-3901

September 27, 1995

Mr. Stephen Chao
Naval Facilities Engineering Command
Engineering Field Activity, West
900 Commodore Way, Bldg. 101
San Bruno, CA. 94066-2402

Re: BCT Version of *Final Operable Unit 5 Proposed Plan*, dated September, 1995

Dear Mr. Chao,

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has received the subject document and provides the following comments. Although the letter attached to the Proposed Plan states that this is a Final version, we cannot consider this version final. Until the enclosed comments are incorporated, it should be considered a draft final version. As specified in the Federal Facility Agreement (FFA) §9.9, the period between the draft final and the final submittal of a primary document normally is considered an informal dispute period. That is, if the regulatory agencies have any issues that must be addressed, the document should not be finalized. We believe these comments present no new substantive issues, but incorporation is still necessary before a final version is submitted. The final Proposed Plan should be submitted by October 27th as agreed to at the September 14th RPM meeting. Call me at 415-744-2385 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Michael D. Gill".

Michael D. Gill
Remedial Project Manager
Federal Facilities Cleanup Office

cc: C. Joseph Chou (DTSC)
Michael Bessette (RWQCB)
Ken Eichstaedt (URS)
Sandy Olliges (NASA)
Peter Strauss (MHB)
Mike Young (PRC) (Fax)

COMMENTS BCT Version of *Final Operable Unit 5 Proposed Plan*, dated September, 1995

1. Please fill in all blank dates with appropriate dates.
2. Page 3, Facility Background, para 1. Please end the paragraph with the statement used in the draft final version: "The Navy will continue to be responsible for cleanup from Navy activities".
3. Page 4, Nature and Extent of Contamination. Please complete the second sentence, as it appears in the draft final version: "...principally trichloroethene (TCE), tetrachloroethene (PCE), 1,2-dichloroethene (1,2-DCE) and 1,1-dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)".
4. Page 6, Summary of Alternatives, para 1. The reference to Figure 5 in sentence 4 appears out of place.
5. Page 10, Summary of the Preferred Alternative. Spelling errors appear in paragraph 1, sentence 3 ("...Alternative 5A has the lowest present worth cost...") and paragraph 2, sentence 2 ("...in the southern plume area...").