

November 21, 1995

Mr. Don Chuck  
Navy Environmental Office  
P.O. Box 68, Building 107  
Moffett Field, CA 94035

Dear Sir:

I would like to submit some questions and comments concerning the Moffett Federal Airfield Feasibility Study for the Eastside Aquifers Operable Unit 5. These comments will be primarily oriented toward the remediation cost estimates as described in the Final FS. I am a member of the Moffett Restoration Advisory Board and chairman of the RAB Cost Committee. Other members of the Cost Committee have collaborated in preparing these comments.

#### Capital Costs

##### Construction Costs

Where is the construction management estimate?

Please evaluate the inconsistencies between using secondarily-contained transfer piping, stainless steel wells and a low budget air stripper.

Where are costs for well headworks and vault boxes?

##### Indirect Costs

What will the design cost?

Will it be phased to include studies and field work to define stream channel locations, reinjection feasibility, and pump tests?

Will there be additional modeling costs? What did the FS modeling effort cost?

When will an effectiveness monitoring system be designed and installed?

#### Annual O & M Costs

Please include the groundwater extraction fee from the Santa Clara Valley Water District.

Reporting costs for permit compliance monitoring and effectiveness monitoring should be included.

Project management expenses of the remediation operations needs to be estimated.

I believe that a careful evaluation of the best cost estimates at this stage is important to inform the public of the liability and to compare between the preferred plan and other discarded alternatives. Please review the items listed above and determine their significance, especially the on-going annual costs.

Sincerely,



Christina M. Scott  
Cost Committee Chair  
Moffett Federal Airfield RAB

cc: Mr. Hubert Chan, US Navy, San Bruno  
Mr. Michael Gill, US EPA, San Francisco