

**ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
33502 DECATUR ROAD, SUITE 120
SAN DIEGO, CA 92133-1449**

Subject: RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING MINUTES

The 20th Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) meeting was held on **Tuesday, January 17, 1995**, at the Naval Training Center (NTC), PAO Auditorium, Building #201, from 6:30 until 8:30 PM.

Mr. Jim Durbin, RAB Community Co-Chair, called the meeting to order at 6:31 PM. Mr. Phill Dyck, RAB Navy Co-Chair, introduced Mr. Greg Sheffer, a new member to the NTC environmental staff. Mr. Durbin introduced the agenda, and handed out the consolidated draft RAB comments on the Draft Inactive Landfill Work Plan.

DISCUSSION OF RAB COMMENTS ON DRAFT INACTIVE LANDFILL WORK PLAN

Discussion of the Draft Inactive Landfill Work Plan was scheduled for the 10 January RAB meeting. However, due to time constraints at that meeting, a special meeting was called for tonight to discuss and finalize RAB comments on the Draft Work Plan. Mr. Dyck reiterated the Navy's goal to acknowledge typographical comments, explain educational comments at RAB meetings, and answer substantive comments in writing.

Mr. Durbin explained that most of the comments he received on the Draft Inactive Landfill Work Plan were formatted correctly, but he had trouble locating some specific concerns in the document. He reminded the RAB of the importance of correctly documenting the page, section, paragraph, sentence, etc., of the items of concern. He also noted that in the future he will include RAB members' initials with their comments. Mr. Greg Cameron, Contract Task Order Leader for the Draft Inactive Landfill Work Plan, was available to answer questions during the comment discussion.

Mr. Durbin had compiled eleven pages of RAB Draft Work Plan comments and presented the general comments first. After lengthy discussion of these general comments, it was decided to review the specific comments first. Some of these were typographical and educational and were acknowledged or explained by the Navy and/or the contractor. The more substantive comments were discussed further, and include the following: it was felt that the Navy should characterize the contents of the landfill: identify what's in it, where contaminants of concern are located, and remove these "hot spots"; identify the depth and uniformity of the landfill soil cap and when it was applied; and the extent of sampling, both from the landfill itself and of the groundwater, was questioned.

When the discussion reached page six of the comments, it was evident that much more time would be needed to finish all the comments. At this point Mr. Dyck reintroduced the idea of subcommittees. He explained that the Navy/regulator/contractor team goes through the same lengthy process trying to get to the heart of the comments. Further, he said that the Navy intends to turn the response to RAB comments around in a timely manner, but that it has been difficult to accomplish. Mr. Durbin added that the RAB needs to stay focused on the substantive comments. He felt the RAB needed a subcommittee to meet and discuss the comments so when they are reviewed at the RAB meeting, the concerns are clear. RAB member John Walton suggested that the RAB itself meet informally as a subcommittee, separate from the formal RAB meeting. Mr. Durbin felt this was a good idea. He thought that although all questions are valid, the RAB subcommittee could discuss the comments and answer those non-substantive comments sufficiently for the person who asked them. This way there would be no need for the Navy to write up all the comments and respond formally to non-substantive comments. Mr. Dyck suggested having an informal RAB subcommittee meeting 15 days after a document is released to clarify RAB comments, which then would be presented and finalized at the next regularly scheduled RAB meeting.

It was finally agreed that the Navy would respond to all the comments received on the Inactive Landfill Work Plan formally, in writing, and all future RAB comments will be clarified and consolidated by the RAB subcommittee.

DISCUSSION OF BRAC CLEANUP TEAM (BCT) RESPONSE TO RAB COMMENTS ON DRAFT WORK PLAN FOR SITES 2, 7, 8, AND 9.

The BRAC Cleanup Team's response to RAB comments on the Draft Work Plan for Sites 2, 7, 8, and 9 was handed out to the RAB at a previous meeting. When asked if the RAB had comments or questions regarding this response, one RAB member requested that the Navy also be specific as to page, section, paragraph, etc., when responding to RAB comments.

CLOSING COMMENTS

Mr. Dyck reminded the RAB that the next RAB meeting is scheduled for next Tuesday, 24 January.

Mr. Durbin formally adjourned the meeting at 8:10, but asked RAB members to stay after for about ten minutes to convene the first subcommittee meeting.

NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE

SPECIAL MEETING DATE

**ENVIRONMENTAL OFFICE
NAVAL TRAINING CENTER
33502 DECATUR ROAD, SUITE 120
SAN DIEGO, CA 92133-1449**

RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD

AGENDA

DATE: Tuesday evening, 17 January 1995

TIME: 6:30 - 8:30 PM

LOCATION: NAVAL TRAINING CENTER, PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE
(PAO) AUDITORIUM, BUILDING #201
(Enter NTC Gate 1 at Lytton and Barnett; maps to building will be
available from guard)

6:30 - 6:35 WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS

BRIEF OVERVIEW - Agenda and Meeting Objectives

6:35 - 7:55 DISCUSS AND FINALIZE INACTIVE LANDFILL WORK
PLAN COMMENTS

7:55 - 8:15 DISCUSS BCT RESPONSE TO RAB COMMENTS ON DRAFT
WORK PLAN FOR SITES 2, 7, 8, AND 9

8:15 - 8:30 PUBLIC QUESTION AND ANSWER/COMMENT PERIOD

SPECIAL MEETING DATE

NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE NOTICE