

5090  
Ser 1813EG/L3327  
19 May 1993

From: Commander, Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command  
To: Distribution

Subj: REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY FOR NAVAL STATION  
TREASURE ISLAND, SAN FRANCISCO

Encl: (1) Monthly Progress Meeting Minutes, May 11, 1993

1. Enclosure (1) is provided for your information.
2. Thank you for your guidance and involvement in this project. For further information, please contact Mr. Ernesto M. Galang, Code 1813EG, at (415) 244-2560.

Original signed by:  
GILBERT A. RIVERA  
By direction

Distribution:

California Department of Toxic Substances Control (Attn: Tom Lanphar/Shirley Buford)  
California Regional Water Quality Control Board (Attn: Gina Kathuria)  
California Department of Fish and Game (Attn: Mike Rugg)  
US Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX (Attn: Julie Anderson)  
US Fish & Wildlife Services (Attn: Steve Schwartzbach)  
US Army Corps of Engineers (Attn: Sharon Moreland)  
Bay Area Air Quality Management District (Attn: Brian Jennison)  
Bay Conservation and Development Commission (Attn: Chris Perry)  
National Oceanic & Atmospheric Administration (Attn: Denise Klimas)

Copy to:

NAVSTA Treasure Island (Attn: Jim Sullivan)  
COMNAVBASE San Francisco (Attn: Randy Friedman)  
PRC Environmental Management, Inc. (Attn: Emily Pimentel)

Blind copy to:

T4A, T4E2, T4E2EG  
Admin Records (w/3 copies of encls)  
Writer: E. Galang, 1813EG, X-2560  
File: NS, Treasure Island  
Chron, blue, pink, green

173

**INSTALLATION RESTORATION PROGRAM  
MONTHLY PROGRESS MEETING  
NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND  
May 11, 1993**

**Introduction**

On May 11, 1993 at 10:00 a.m. representatives from California Environmental Protection Agency, Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC), San Francisco Regional Water Quality Control Board (SFBRWQCB), Navy, and PRC Environmental Management, Inc. (PRC) met at Naval Station Treasure Island (NAVSTATI), California, to hold the progress meeting for the remedial investigation / feasibility study (RI/FS) being conducted at NAVSTATI. A copy of the agenda and the list of attendees are Attachments 1 and 2.

**Agenda Items**

1. The meeting participants reviewed the meeting minutes from March 30, 1993, the last progress meeting held. Three items had outstanding issues which were addressed at the meeting as follows:

- Mr. Tom Lanphar, DTSC, indicated that he did not have any comments regarding the electronic format requirements for laboratory data.
- Ms. Emily Pimentel, PRC, reported that she had spoken with Ms. Bobbye Smith, SFBRWQB in regard to the status of a site walk for NAVSTATI to support the ecological assessment. Ms. Pimentel reported that the ecological assessment has used existing literature to provide much of the information expected to be collected from the site walk. However, it is expected, that a site walk would be required to confirm the findings. A meeting has been scheduled for August 17, 1993 at 1:00 p.m. to present the preliminary results of the ecological assessment habitat characterization task and to scope the biological survey (site walk) for NAVSTATI (Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island). The meeting participants noted the proposed meeting date. The meeting date will be confirmed by Ms. Smith once she has confirmed the schedule with representatives from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and California Department of Fish & Game.
- Mr. Lanphar reported that comments on the Draft Final Ecological Risk Assessment Work Plan are still pending from DTSC. Ms. Gina Kathuria, SFBRWQCB, indicated that her agencies' comments are also still pending. No specific dates were given as to when the comments would be submitted to the Navy.

2. The Navy reported that the Data Summary Technical Memorandum (TM) was not completed as anticipated by the April 16th date. However, it was proposed that recommendations for the Phase II field investigation be incorporated into the TM since its completion was delayed. Mr. Lanphar indicated they

would prefer that the recommendations to be included. The participants agreed to the change. Ms. Pimentel reported that they would schedule the TM, with the additional section for Phase II field investigation recommendations to be completed by May 21.

3. Ms. Pimentel and Mr. Bowen reported that the work to install the extraction wells at Site 14 and Site 22 is expected to begin in June 1993. PRC will prepare a schedule for the Navy, which in turn will forward the schedule to DTSC and SFBRWQCB.

Ms. Pimentel indicated that the appropriateness needs to be evaluated of whether to proceed with a Removal Action or an Interim Remedial Action at Site 14 and Site 22. Additionally, Mr. Galang, Navy, indicated that the Navy was still trying to determine whether they can obtain a modification to install extraction wells at Site 6, since floating product is potentially still present at that site also.

4. Ms. Pimentel and Mr. Bowen discussed the recommendations for Phase II field investigations. The recommendations included placement of additional soil borings and groundwater monitoring wells at the following sites. In addition, some sites would have wells constructed as extraction wells, and one site would require a soil gas survey. The list below identifies sites where soil borings or wells would be installed; in all cases where wells are proposed, the borings proposed would be used to install wells.

Site 1: soil borings

Site 6: soil borings and wells

Site 9: soil borings

Site 10: soil borings and well

Site 11: soil borings and well

Site 17: soil borings and well

Site 24: soil borings and wells (three wells nested, two nested well pairs)

Site 25: soil gas survey, soil borings, and wells.

At Site 24, 5th Street Fuel Release, tetrachloroethylene was detected in groundwater. This contaminant was not associated with the 5th Street fuel release. The source has been traced to a former dry cleaning facility. Therefore, this site will be renamed as Site 24, 5th Street Fuel Release/Dry Cleaner.

Mr. Jim Sullivan, Navy, reported that at Site 6, Fire Training School, demolition is expected to begin next month and would continue through the summer. The demolition is not expected to substantially disturb the ground surface, nor will soil or pavement be removed as part of the demolition.

Significant discussion took place about when the proposed Phase II field work would begin. Mr. Galang indicated that the funding to conduct the field work would not be available until November 1993.

He also indicated that he was going to try to obtain a modification to the contract to allow preparation of work plan addendum required to conduct the field work.

It had originally been planned to begin the field Phase II work this summer, to avoid changing the project schedule. The present funding situation will delay the field work until November 1993, which means that the schedule will require revision. Three options for integrating the Phase II RI work into the schedule were considered:

- Prepare a single RI report and addendum. This requires extending the schedule for the current RI until the Phase II field work is completed and results are incorporated into the current RI under preparation. This option results in one report going out for regulatory and public review.
- Prepare separate Phase I and Phase II RI reports. This also requires extending the schedule, but two documents are released for regulatory and public review.
- Prepare the Phase II report and report the results along concurrently with the FS report. This option potentially would not require revising the schedule, but it may not be feasible to accomplish.

The goal in selecting any one of these options is to minimize delays to the schedule and the number of documents prepared for regulatory and public review. At this time it is estimated that the schedule for submittal of the RI report to regulatory agencies would be extended at least until June 1994 if option 1 or 2 was selected. The difference between a Phase I RI Report addendum and Phase II report was not specifically defined.

5. Ms. Heidi Kritscher reported on progress made toward setting up an open house on June 3, 1993; preparing a Fact Sheet for distribution; and making arrangements for distributing the Fact Sheet through the base newspaper (the Masthead) and using the existing community relations mailing list. Ms. Kritscher stated that the Fact Sheet had been sent to the printers.

Additional discussions addressed progress made toward identifying interested citizens that could participate in the Technical Review Committee (TRC) meetings. Additionally, Mr. Sullivan, indicated that they preferred that the June 3rd open house be targeted specifically for the base community, and that a second open house be scheduled later to target the participation of a larger group of citizens. Mr. Sullivan also indicated that information on base closure would be more definitive after July, and that perhaps it would be appropriate to schedule an open house in September 1993.

Discussions also addressed suitable open house meeting locations, since NAVSTATI is between two distinct communities. Since NAVSTATI is less accessible through public transportation, other future meeting locations should be considered.

Mr. Sullivan reported that the City of San Francisco, Board of Supervisors, has been periodically meeting to address base closure issues related to the Naval Shipyard Hunters Point and Army Presidio. The Navy has been invited to participate in the meetings, but so far, discussions relating to NAVSTATI have been limited. However, Mr. Sullivan indicated that participation from NAVSTATI representatives may be more important in the future as base closure and cleanup alternatives become more certain. Mr. Sullivan will attend the next meeting which is scheduled this Thursday.

6. Mr. Bowen reported that a report had been completed summarizing the Underground Storage Tank (UST) investigations. Mr. Galang indicated the report would be submitted to DTSC and SFBRWQCB for review. A specific date was not set. Additionally, the Navy noted that the UST investigations were not part of the RI/FS work.

7. Other Issues

No other issues were discussed

**Action Items**

- Submittal of Data Summary TM by May 21
- Submittal of Comments on Navy's Response to Regulatory Agency Comments regarding the Ecological Risk Assessment Work Plan
- Confirm August 17 meeting with SFBRWQCB and DTSC

**AGENDA**  
**NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND RI/FS**  
**MONTHLY PROGRESS MEETING**  
**MAY 11, 1993**  
**NAVSTATI BUILDING 1, FIRST FLOOR**

1. Review of Previous Meeting Minutes (TRC, Technical Meeting)
2. Status of Data Summary Technical Memorandum
3. Field Work for Extraction Well Installation at Site 14 and Site 22.
4. RI Phase II Recommendations for Further Investigations
5. Community Relations Activities and Schedule
6. UST Investigations Report, Recommendations for Further Study
7. Other Issues
8. Action Items and Next Meeting

NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND  
REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION/FEASIBILITY STUDY

MONTHLY PROGRESS REVIEW MEETING

MAY 11, 1993  
(NAVSTA TI @ 10:00 A.M.)

PERSONNEL	ORGANIZATION	PHONE NO.
1. Ernie Galang	US NAVY - WESTON	(415) 742-6469
2. EDDIE V. GARMIENTO	NOTI, ENV.	(415) 390-0452
3. Gina Kathuria	RWOEB	5102864267
4. Shirley Buford	DTSC, Reg 2	510-540-3909
5. Thomas Lanphar	DTSC, Reg 2	510-5403809
6. JOSEPH CHOU	DTSC, Reg 2	510-540-3775.
7. Heidi Kritscher	PRC, SF	(415) 543-4880 *246
8. Ken Bowen	PRC, SF	(415) 543-4880
9. Emily Pimentel	PRC-SF	(415) 543-4880
10. Randy FISH	PRC-SF	(415) 543-4880
11. James Sullivan	NAVSTA TI	(415) 395-5454
12.		
13.		
14.		
15.		
16.		