

RAB Meeting No. 38 - Transcript of Proceedings - October 21, 1997

1 ---o0o---
2 NAVAL STATION TREASURE ISLAND
3 ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
4 21 OCTOBER 1997
5 7:00 P.M.
6 BUILDING 1, 2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM AREA
7 TREASURE ISLAND
8 MEETING NO. 38
9 ---o0o---

10
11
12 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
13
14
15
16
17
18
19

20 REPORTED BY: STEPHEN BALBONI, CSR NO. 7139

1 A T T E N D E E S (Continued)
2 COMMUNITY MEMBERS:
3 JAMES ALDRICH
4 JOHN ALLMAN
5 RICHARD HANSEN
6 PAUL V. HEHN (Alternate Community Co-Chair)
7 ALICE LA PIERRE
8 CLINTON LOFTMAN
9 DANIEL MC DONALD
10 KAREN MENDELOW
11 PATRICIA NELSON (Community Co-Chair)
12 HENRY ONGERTH
13 DALE SMITH
14 THOMAS THOMPSON
15 TI YACHT CLUB (HARLAN VAN WYE)
16 USHA VEDAGIRI
17 BRAD WONG
18 PUBLIC/GUESTS:
19 MICHAEL GROSS, SHIRLEY HANSEN, JIM RODRIGUEZ
20

1 A T T E N D E E S
2
3 U.S. NAVY:
4 JAMES B. SULLIVAN (BEC and Navy Co-Chair)
5 ERNIE GALANG (RPM)
6 AMELIA DUQUE (EBS)
7
8 PRC ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT, INC.:
9 STACEY LUPTON
10 RICHARD KNAPP
11 EDWARD HO
12 LYNNE SRINIVASAN (Uribe & Associates)
13 NICOLE PEIRCE (Uribe & Associates)
14
15 REGULATORY AGENCY:
16 MARY ROSE CASSA (DTSC)
17
18 GUTIERREZ-PALMENBERG. INC. (GPI)
19 DARLENE B. BROWN
20 HARRY GUTIERREZ

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Welcome to our October
2 Restoration Advisory Board meeting.
3 This is our first full RAB meeting since the
4 base closed on the 30th of September. So the Naval
5 Station has closed, and we get down into the reuse
6 issues under program updates. I will take a few
7 minutes to explain what that really means, and that
8 may help to kind of clarify some of the things that
9 you may have maybe read in the paper recently.
10 So what we thought we would do, since we
11 have several guests and prospective new RAB members
12 here tonight, we thought we would take the opportunity
13 for the existing RAB members to go around the table,
14 introduce ourselves and indicate whether community
15 member or government member or if you want to say a
16 word or two.
17 I guess maybe I will start, since I don't
18 have a name tag.
19 MR. VAN WYE: Somebody get Jim's name tag,
20 please.

ES 5-8
2

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I'm Jim Sullivan and I'm
2 with the Navy. I'm the RAB Navy co-chair. We have a
3 Navy co-chair and a community co-chair.

4 I have been with the RAB since it started
5 well over three years ago. I will be hopefully here
6 with the Naval Station for a couple of years until we
7 get the cleanup completed.

8 MS. CASSA: My name is Mary Rose Cassa. I
9 work for the Department of Toxic Substances Control,
10 and I'm a member of the BRAC Cleanup Team here at
11 Treasure Island.

12 I have one announcement to make. There is
13 bad news, good news and bad news. The bad news is
14 that Tom Lanphar is no longer going to be the project
15 manager for DTSC at Alameda.

16 The good news is that Mary Rose is going to
17 be the project manager of DTSC at Alameda.

18 The bad news is, we don't know who is going
19 to be the project manager for Treasure Island, so we
20 will be working to make a smooth transition.

5

1 This could be my last RAB meeting. I will
2 say, I really enjoyed working with everybody. I met a
3 lot of interesting people, and I hope things continue
4 to go along and make progress.

5 So that's about all I have to say right now.

6 Harlan?

7 MR. VAN WYE: My name is Harlan Van Wye.
8 I'm the Vice Commodore of the Treasure Island Yacht
9 Club, which is currently part of the San Francisco
10 TIHDI Coalition of the Homeless.

11 We have been kicked out of our club, but we
12 anticipate getting back in.

13 My interest is principally in the Marina,
14 and I have been with the RAB as a semi-institutional,
15 semi-public member, I guess, for about the last two
16 and a half years.

17 MR. MC DONALD: My name is Dan McDonald.
18 I'm a community member, and I have been on the RAB
19 since its early days, two years ago.

20 MR. ALDRICH: I'm Jim Aldrich, community

6

1 member since the beginning.

2 MS. VEDAGIRI: Usha Vedagiri, community
3 member of the last two years.

4 MS. LA PIERRE: Alice LaPierre, architectu
5 student and a member of the RAB for two and a half
6 years.

7 MR. WONG: I'm Brad Wong, and I am a
8 community member. I've been around since the
9 beginning of the RAB as well.

10 MS. SMITH: I'm Dale Smith. I've been
11 around since the beginning as well. I'm also
12 environmental commissioner for the City of Berkeley.

13 MR. THOMPSON: I'm Tom Thompson. I joined
14 the RAB with the second group of members, community
15 members, two years ago.

16 MR. ALLMAN: I'm John Allman and I joined
17 when Tom did, and according to our new Restoration
18 Advisory Board poster that's coming out, I am an
19 environmental chemist and environmental health and
20 safety expert at U.C. Berkeley.

7

1 MS. MENDELOW: I'm Karen Mendelow, and I'm a
2 community member. I have been on the Board for about
3 two years.

4 MR. ONGERTH: I'm Henry Ongerth, community
5 member from the beginning.

6 MR. HEHN: I'm Paul Hehn, and I have been a
7 community member since the beginning. Currently,
8 alternative community co-chair, the technical
9 subcommittee chair, and a consultant for a private
10 consulting company doing environmental geology and
11 hydrogeology.

12 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I'm Pat Nelson. I've been
13 with the RAB since the beginning, and it's hard to
14 define that, August of '93 or '94.

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: '94.

16 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I'm a community co-chair,
17 the successor of Brad Wong.

18 And sorry to hear that you're leaving, Mary
19 Rose.

20 MS. CASSA: I'm sorry to have to tell you

8

1 that. Thank you.
2 MR. HEHN: I think we should offer
3 congratulations to Mary Rose's efforts and all her
4 time and effort she put in to make this process work.
5 So thank you very much for all your efforts.
6 We appreciate it. Good luck with your work at
7 Alameda.
8 (Applause.)
9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And we don't want to put
10 anybody on the spot, but we know we have a few guests
11 here and prospective RAB applicants, prospective
12 members. If you would like to take a moment to
13 introduce yourselves. I don't want to put anybody on
14 the spot, but we know who you are.
15 MR. GROSS: I'm Michael Gross. I'm a
16 prospective member. This is my first meeting.
17 Anything else?
18 MR. ONGERTH: What's your background?
19 MR. GROSS: I have a background in
20 construction development. I'm currently working for a

9

1 real estate developer in the city. I moved to San
2 Francisco about a year ago.
3 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Anyone else?
4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: My name is Jim Rodriguez.
5 I'm a consultant for Workers' Compensation. By trade,
6 I'm a physical therapist. I have a Master's in public
7 administration, health services administration, and in
8 physical therapy as well.
9 I have a particular interest in the Board
10 because I am having a home currently built in the
11 Bayview District. And I am very interested in what
12 goes on in this Board as well as at Hunter's Point,
13 too.
14 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Welcome.
15 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Thank you.
16 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you for introducing
17 yourselves, and we will figure out who the rest of you
18 are at the break.
19 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Our first item up is the
20 discussion and approval of tonight's agenda.

10

1 There is extra copies, if you didn't get one
2 in the mail. There is extra copies on the back table.
3 This month, because there weren't that many
4 reports out, I just included the report list on the
5 agenda rather than a separate attachment.
6 MR. VAN WYE: So moved.
7 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Being no comments, we
8 will just proceed into the agenda.
9 The first item is --
10 MS. SMITH: Can I just -- I'm sorry.
11 The back page, then, is the only new item.
12 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I'm sorry.
13 MS. SMITH: The mail piece.
14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Oh, no.
15 MS. SMITH: From September 16th that we have
16 to approve. The back page is . . .
17 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: No. The back page was
18 an enclosure from, that whole packet was an enclosure
19 from last month's meeting.
20 What I was referring to, with the last

11

1 month's agenda, I have had a separate sheet listing
2 the documents that were out for review, but since we
3 don't have very many for review, I don't have it on a
4 separate sheet. I just included it on the top of the
5 back page, the top of the second page of the agenda.
6 MS. SMITH: That was mailed out to us?
7 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes.
8 The agenda was mailed separate from the
9 meeting minutes.
10 The agenda, if you got this month's agenda
11 in the mail, it was in a large brown envelope. It
12 included a copy of DTSC's transfer, draft transfer
13 guidance.
14 MR. ALLMAN: Jim?
15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes.
16 MR. ALLMAN: When I got the transcript from
17 last month, September 16th, I am missing one of these
18 big sheets, which I guess is four pages. Can I get
19 you to copy that off?
20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes.

12

1 MR. ALLMAN: It's pages 105 to 108.
 2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: We will have to check to
 3 see if mine is complete, too.
 4 MR. ALLMAN: Okay.
 5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: The first item is, the
 6 last month's minutes were mailed late, so at the
 7 August -- at the September meeting, we deferred
 8 approval of the August minutes until this meeting. So
 9 I think most people got it right a day or two or the
 10 day of the meeting.
 11 So are there any comments concerning the
 12 August, the 19 August '97 meeting minutes?
 13 CO-CHAIR NELSON: If there are no comments,
 14 then --
 15 Dale?
 16 MS. SMITH: The action item from last month
 17 was to complete the February, March and April minutes.
 18 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes.
 19 MS. SMITH: At this meeting.
 20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Yes, and it's under

13

1 organizational business.
 2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Admittedly, I didn't
 3 finish my homework, but I got part way.
 4 MS. SMITH: Okay.
 5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So if there is not any
 6 other comments, the last few months of meeting
 7 minutes, we spent more time reviewing them. I think
 8 it's turned out pretty well.
 9 We haven't had very many comments in the
 10 last three or four months of meeting minutes. I go
 11 through the meeting minutes, page by page, with the
 12 verbatim transcript, so I feel pretty confident.
 13 MS. SMITH: I would just like to be referred
 14 to as "Ms." Smith rather than by my first name if all
 15 males are referred to as "Mr."
 16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. We may have been
 17 inconsistent in doing that.
 18 I think what we tried to do, the first time
 19 somebody is referred to we use their name, and then
 20 revert to -- we will see whatever we do is consistent.

14

1 MR. ALLMAN: Well, that might have been a
 2 carryover, too, because at one point we had two
 3 Ms. Smiths.
 4 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes, that was the March
 5 meeting. We had a Jennifer Smith here from DTSC.
 6 But so noted.
 7 MS. SMITH: Fine.
 8 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Shall we try again?
 9 If there are no comments to the August '97
 10 meeting minutes, do I hear a motion they be approved?
 11 MR. ONGERTH: So moved.
 12 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
 13 MS. SMITH: Second.
 14 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Now, the September meeting
 15 minutes.
 16 Yes?
 17 MS. CASSA: I have a couple of minor
 18 comments, corrections.
 19 On page 2, the last paragraph, it refers to
 20 Ms. Cassa. I noted the discussion with a "high level

15

1 DTSC" -- it says -- "toxicologist." It should say
 2 "scientist."
 3 And then on page 3, the second paragraph
 4 talks about Mr. Florin and the legislature bill. I'm
 5 not sure if the way it's written here is the
 6 conventional way to refer to bills, Assembly Bill 699.
 7 MS. SMITH: The second paragraph, first
 8 paragraph?
 9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Second line, second
 10 paragraph on page 3.
 11 MR. VAN WYE: It's a proper reference.
 12 MS. CASSA: It is proper? Okay.
 13 And then on page 5, there is a couple of
 14 places where the word "ecotoxicological" needs to be
 15 written correctly. You can use spell check.
 16 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Can you identify the line?
 17 MS. CASSA: The third bullet after
 18 Mr. Knapp, and the third line in the last paragraph.
 19 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you. Third li
 20 last paragraph.

16

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Third line right about
2 the middle: "Scheduled to talk with the agencies on
3 the ecotoxicological testing."
4 I will take partial responsibility for that
5 because of my poor spell checker.
6 MR. VAN WYE: There is a Gilbert & Sullivan
7 song in there someplace: All you need to do is find
8 the Gilbert.
9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Anything else, Mary
10 Rose?
11 MS. CASSA: I'm done.
12 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Anyone else have any
13 comments on the September meeting minutes?
14 Yes?
15 MS. LA PIERRE: On page 3, this is probably
16 not anything. I don't know if you can answer it here.
17 Mr. Florin is talking about leasing some of the land
18 or some of the property here.
19 The last line is: "In addition, Mr. Florin
20 stated that the Nimitz House of Yerba Buena Island is

17

1 being leased for special events, that they are also
2 trying to lease Casa de la Vista, and that the city is
3 currently negotiating with a group" -- a group --
4 "interested in operating all of the housing."
5 Do you know what group that is?
6 MR. VAN WYE: It's THDI
7 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: No, actually, it isn't.
8 MR. VAN WYE: It's another group?
9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: It's an unidentified
10 group. I don't even know their name.
11 MS. LA PIERRE: So we don't know who that
12 is?
13 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: No. That's why he
14 didn't mention it by name.
15 MR. VAN WYE: That's right.
16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Because once they have
17 gotten further into negotiations, then the mayor will
18 be able to make a more formal announcement so then
19 there is no change.
20 MR. ALLMAN: Mary Rose, another question:

18

1 What kind of scientist did you talk to about, on that
2 correction that you made?
3 MR. ONGERTH: I don't think that's
4 appropriate. If she said "scientist," we are only
5 trying to get the minutes accurate as to what was
6 said.
7 MR. ALLMAN: Henry, please.
8 MR. ONGERTH: And this is all we are
9 discussing now.
10 MR. ALLMAN: But my assumption was that the
11 toxicologist --
12 MR. ONGERTH: If she said "scientist," then
13 it should say "scientist."
14 MR. ALLMAN: It does say "scientist."
15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: John, we can talk about
16 it more off line if you needed more particular
17 information about it.
18 MR. ONGERTH: It has nothing to do with the
19 accuracy of the minutes. That's my point. And that's
20 all we are supposed to be discussing.

19

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. Are there any
2 other, it doesn't sound like there is going to be any
3 other comments in the September minutes. Last go
4 around?
5 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Is there a motion to
6 approve?
7 MR. VAN WYE: So moved.
8 MR. ALDRICH: Move to approve.
9 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.
10 Approved as modified.
11 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So Darlene will be able
12 to make those changes and then just change it from
13 "draft" to "undraft."
14 Now, next item is the public comment period.
15 We set aside five minutes at the beginning
16 of each meeting if there are members of the general
17 public present who wanted to make comments on the
18 cleanup process.
19 Are there any members of the public who
20 would like to speak tonight?

20

1 (No response.)
 2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: There being none, we
 3 will move into the --
 4 MS. CASSA: Jim, I have a couple of
 5 announcements I would like to make quickly.
 6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay.
 7 MS. CASSA: I promise I will not allow this
 8 to generate any discussion.
 9 I have an announcement that there is a
 10 little sheet in the back from Groundwater Resources of
 11 California that talks a little bit about methyl
 12 tertiary butyl ether and beyond. There has been a lot
 13 of interest in MTBE.
 14 And I also found that the National Center
 15 for Environmental Assessment has a real interesting
 16 Web site for risk assessment and things like that,
 17 that people had also seemed very interested in.
 18 I'm not going to leave this on the back
 19 table, but if you're interested, I printed some pages
 20 from the Web site.

21

1 but if there is anyone else who would later like a
 2 copy, we have enough.
 3 MS. SMITH: Jim, how come we don't have
 4 these things for 3, 2 and 1?
 5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Each of the individual
 6 FOSL binders included maps discussing various
 7 chemicals.
 8 MS. SMITH: We only got CERCLA stuff.
 9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: No. The reason this was
 10 a special map, we prepared this map in order to
 11 address the issue of lead at the site, and we didn't
 12 have --
 13 MS. SMITH: But what about the Nimitz
 14 housing and the Yerba Buena housing? We didn't get
 15 this.
 16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: That's true.
 17 Actually, we never finalized the Nimitz
 18 complex FOSL. We will probably end up having
 19 something similar to this for them.
 20 MS. SMITH: Okay.

23

1 CO-CHAIR NELSON: What was the name of the
 2 organization?
 3 MS. CASSA: NCEA, National Center For
 4 Environmental Assessment.
 5 MR. HEHN: What's the Web site address?
 6 MS. CASSA: WWW.EPA.GOV/NCEA.
 7 CO-CHAIR NELSON: That's straightforward.
 8 Thanks.
 9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. So we will move
 10 into the BRAC cleanup process.
 11 Our first item is the Zone 4 FOSL Draft
 12 Response To Comments. While I'm talking, we will pass
 13 out a few items. I think Lynn has the maps. We have
 14 a color map showing the lead data for the entire Zone
 15 4 area. I think we have 40 copies?
 16 MS. SRINIVASAN: Yes.
 17 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: In color?
 18 MS. SRINIVASAN: Yes.
 19 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So there is enough for
 20 anyone, well, certainly the RAB members will get them,

22

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: But this map was to
 2 address a comment concerning lead in the Zone 4 FOSL
 3 area.
 4 So the second -- I have two other items.
 5 This is the Navy response, the draft response from the
 6 RAB comments, which the only written comments we
 7 received were from Pat. This is RAB comments, but it
 8 addresses Pat's question.
 9 MS. SMITH: Is this going into the
 10 repository or not?
 11 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, it will be part of
 12 the -- actually, interestingly, the EBS and FOSL
 13 process is not a part of the administrative record
 14 process, so it wasn't originally, it's not a part of
 15 CERCLA. It was never envisioned.
 16 But it is part of the information
 17 repository -- well, because it is part of the meeting
 18 minutes, it also becomes part of the information
 19 repository.
 20 And then these are the comments from the

24

1 regulators, the draft responses (indicating).
2 We don't have any overheads of the map,
3 right?
4 MS. SRINIVASAN: I have a big size, if you
5 want it. It's not huge, but you may have it.
6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I will go through the
7 RAB comments and then the regulator comments.
8 What we wanted to do was get any response to
9 the comments, and then we can take any further
10 responses at the next interim RAB meeting.
11 And, then, depending on the outcome, the
12 Navy would like to finalize the FOSL, but that's
13 depending on the outcome of these discussions.
14 The comment, the first RAB comment concerns
15 the presence of an IR site in proximity to Zone IR 12,
16 which is Zone 4. And IR 6 is the old fire fighting
17 school site, which is located up here (indicating).
18 The comment concerned the presence of CERCLA
19 contaminants that were -- well, the comment was really
20 in two parts: One to note the fact that that site is

25

1 present, and then it's a corrective action site.
2 But also to note, in addition to the
3 petroleum contaminants, which is why we are preparing
4 a Corrective Action Plan, the comment was also to note
5 that there are nonpetroleum contaminants.
6 And our response, our response to that is,
7 we recognize that there have been non-CERCLA
8 contaminants identified on IR Site 6, and that was the
9 subject of quite a bit of discussion between the Navy
10 and the regulators. But the amounts that we have
11 detected have been fairly low level.
12 However, the regulators have asked, and we
13 have agreed, that we will continue to monitor for
14 these nonpetroleum chemicals in the monitoring of IR
15 Site 6, even though it is officially listed as a
16 petroleum only site.
17 And with respect to Zone 4 issues and the
18 housing, we will be continuing to monitor all of the
19 contaminants, both petroleum and nonpetroleum, that
20 have been detected on Site 6.

26

1 The second comment -- I think I will sit
2 down, it's easier -- the second comment concerns the
3 data collection, the process for Site 12, and the fact
4 that, as a result of considerable RAB comment, the
5 Navy is going out into the field and taking additional
6 sampling on Site 12, which is scheduled to start later
7 this month.
8 The sites that we have investigated in the
9 Phase I and Phase II investigation were based on
10 historical uses of the site.
11 However, there were other areas of the site
12 where we did not identify historical uses, and,
13 therefore, in Phase I and II, we didn't sample these
14 sites.
15 So in the additional sampling, we are going
16 to a grid, and we will basically provide data for the
17 entire site and fill in any areas where we don't have
18 data, even though we may not have any historical
19 records of activity on the site.
20 We feel that this basically is a process to

27

1 confirm that there are no additional issues on the
2 site.
3 We don't, at least the Navy's impression is,
4 we don't expect to find anything, but this additional
5 data will confirm that supposition.
6 It was originally unclear as to when the
7 Site 12 housing would be available, would be rented by
8 the city. It doesn't appear now that that will occur
9 until our recent conversations with the city in the
10 last two weeks. That won't occur until after the
11 first of the year, perhaps even much later than that.
12 So, therefore, that allows us time to
13 collect the additional data in Site 12, and to
14 validate and to present that data to both the
15 regulators and the RAB.
16 So the Navy proposal is to modify the
17 language in the draft FOSL to basically make occupancy
18 contingent on the results coming from this additional
19 sampling in Site 12.
20 So there would be no residents in this area

28

1 prior to the receipt of that data of additional
2 sampling.
3 The third comment was a similar comment to
4 the first comment, and that concerned IR Site 20, and
5 the fact that it was in proximity to the site. IR
6 Site 20 is the former automobile hobby shop located
7 down in this area (indicating).
8 MR. VAN WYE: Building 225.
9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Building 225.
10 And there were similar issues to Site 6.
11 The predominant contaminants were petroleum. However,
12 there were some nonpetroleum contaminants identified,
13 and we wrestled with that one.
14 But since the levels of nonpetroleum
15 contaminants appear to be low, we moved it into the
16 UST Corrective Action Plan program.
17 However, like Site 6, we are going to
18 continue to monitor these nonpetroleum chemicals in
19 the groundwater.
20 And then the last, the next comment, which

29

1 is listed as comment 1, but it's a separate section,
2 concerns the process for the risk evaluation, that the
3 risk evaluation on this site is being, the date is
4 being driven, with a date of today is being driven by
5 the groundwater and the aquatic receptors.
6 In the FOSL, we would have a prohibition
7 against the use of groundwater by humans, and,
8 therefore, that would remove that pathway from
9 potential occupants of the site.
10 And then as far as any other further data
11 that would be collected in Site 12, if the data, if
12 the additional data collected was of lower
13 concentrations than what we collected to date, we
14 wouldn't expect to change the human health risk
15 assessment.
16 If it does, then that would cause us to
17 reevaluate, and that's why we have the data prior to
18 the occupancy.
19 And then the second comment in that section,
20 which is also the last comment, concerns the lead.

30

1 And we have a, at the request of the RAB, we have gone
2 ahead and plotted all of the lead data from this site.
3 So that's what is on the map in front of you.
4 We hadn't originally plotted it up in the
5 draft FOSL, because it was a pretty dense amount of
6 data, given the size of the site.
7 But this data represents all of the lead
8 sampling conducted from two programs. The first
9 program was our remedial investigation, and the second
10 program was sampling that was done under HUD
11 guidelines in the drip lines around the housing.
12 So, consequently, there were one or more
13 samples for every building on the site, and that's why
14 it totaled up to so many.
15 In the risk assessment that was done as part
16 of the FOSL, we identified two cut up points of lead.
17 One was if there was a prohibition of gardening on the
18 site and one was without.
19 So doing the risk calculation and back
20 calculating to a potential safe level of lead on the

31

1 site, the value for prohibiting gardening was
2 approximately 463.
3 But if you allowed gardening, then that
4 level dropped to about 216.
5 So what we have done is color coded the
6 data, and all of the blue samples represents data
7 points less than 216. That would represent no issue
8 whether there was gardening or not.
9 Then the brown color represents samples
10 between 216 and 463, where, if gardening was allowed,
11 these points would be a potential issue.
12 And then the red, which are only four points
13 on the entire site, represents levels above 463, and
14 there was only one in the multithousands. There was 1
15 of 14 or 15,000 something.
16 So that was the only sample on the site in
17 the multithousand parts per million of lead in the
18 soil.
19 MR. THOMPSON: Jim, do you have knowledge
20 the historical activities that would have lead to

32

1 those higher levels?

2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, the higher levels,
3 as you can see from the map, the number of higher
4 levels, even above 216, the brown and the red, are
5 pretty limited and dispersed through the site.

6 In fact, they are so limited and dispersed,
7 it kind of seemed to indicate it may just be an
8 anomaly.

9 Or in the case of the multithousands, we may
10 never know for sure, but we can hypothesize that that
11 multithousand value was probably a paint chip from the
12 housing.

13 MR. VAN WYE: Wasn't this area a big parking
14 area during the World's Fair?

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: This site was
16 originally, the north end of the island was originally
17 a parking lot for the fair, and then it remained an
18 open space up until the 1960s, when the housing was
19 built.

20 Now, during the period it was an open space

33

1 during the war, parts of it were used for ammunition
2 bunkers, which is the reason the site was originally
3 called IR 12, the old Bunker area.

4 But we discovered that it was also used for
5 disposal of debris materials, some of which might have
6 contained petroleum or other products that, you know,
7 garbage disposal back in 1940s wasn't what we would do
8 today.

9 So, consequently, there may have been oils
10 and other contaminants as part of that debris which
11 was a burden on the site.

12 MR. VAN WYE: It sounds to me like an old
13 car battery got dumped there once upon a time. It
14 would account for the lead in that one spot.

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So what this leads us to
16 is a decision point, which the Navy has not yet made,
17 as to whether or not, given the number of points on
18 the site, whether or not we restrict gardening or
19 don't restrict gardening.

20 Restricting gardening would be the

34

1 conservative approach, and it's a restriction that we
2 could take off at a later date when we might have more
3 data or other reasons to reevaluate it, and that's
4 probably the direction we are leaning to now.

5 Not restricting gardening would basically
6 allow occupants unrestricted use of the site, except
7 for use of the groundwater.

8 But without a restriction, it would be more
9 difficult to put a restriction against gardening on
10 later if we didn't have it on initially.

11 Yes, Brad.

12 MR. WONG: Just a quick question.

13 How does the cutoff for gardening or not
14 gardening coincide with children and things like that,
15 children digging around out there?

16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Actually, it's driven
17 specifically by children.

18 It's basically a backwards mathematical
19 calculation: You start at one end with what the safe
20 level of lead in blood is for a child, and then you

35

1 calculate backwards, based on how they would get that
2 lead into their blood, calculate what the maximum
3 allowable level would be in the soil.

4 So the 216 and the 463 are totally driven by
5 children. If it was adults, the numbers would be
6 higher.

7 And then there is also a level that HUD uses
8 which is just a, I don't think -- I'm not sure exactly
9 what modeling it's based on, but it's an even number
10 of 400, and that's their general guidance for housing
11 in general. But this is a more detailed calculation.

12 MR. WONG: Thanks.

13 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes, Dale.

14 MS. SMITH: I would like to know why then
15 the RPM/BCT minutes recommend that it only be used for
16 student housing or for nonprocreative people at the
17 moment.

18 I cannot cite the minutes because I was
19 working on something different, but there was a
20 suggestion from Chein Kao, that this would not be

36

1 allowed, that indiscriminate usage, just basic usage
2 would not be allowed. It could be student housing or
3 it could be nonprocreative housing. Literally,
4 children should not be there on Site 12.
5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, no, actually, the
6 way, let me address that --
7 MS. SMITH: This is just what I read from
8 the minutes of your meeting. I have to go by what you
9 write and mailed to me.
10 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes. Let me answer that
11 in two parts. One, the issue of student housing
12 versus long-term housing.
13 The primary driver for wanting only a
14 transient population, and I'm using the term
15 "transient" not socioeconomically, but strictly to
16 mean somebody who may only be there for a year or two
17 or three. The primary driver for that was that even
18 though these levels, even though we feel that the site
19 may be acceptable for leasing, there are still areas
20 that we need to remediate --

37

1 MS. SMITH: I understand that.
2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And, therefore, putting
3 somebody in there long term would make it more
4 difficult at a later time to go back and remediate the
5 site.
6 So having a transient population makes it
7 easier for us to move people out of areas, clean up
8 where we need to, and allow somebody to move back.
9 MS. SMITH: But you also talked about
10 nonprocreative populations.
11 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, there was
12 discussion of that, too, and a lot of that was prior
13 to generating all of this lead level data.
14 MS. SMITH: When was the lead level data
15 generated?
16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, the risk
17 calculations.
18 MS. SMITH: When was this generated?
19 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: This was generated,
20 well, for the draft FOSL, I think.

38

1 MS. SMITH: Well, give me dates, because we
2 are having problems with slippery numbers here, and
3 I'm trying to track down numbers, not for this so mu
4 but other things, too. I'm just having problems with
5 your dates.
6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, the issuance date
7 of the draft FOSL was August.
8 MS. SMITH: No. This documentation, this
9 analysis of the lead calculation, when did this take
10 place?
11 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, it was done for
12 the August draft.
13 MS. SMITH: So August.
14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: August time frame.
15 MS. SMITH: August '96?
16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: August '97.
17 We issued the draft -- the draft Zone 4 FOSL
18 was issued in August '97.
19 MS. SMITH: And what was the time period
20 over which she did the characterization?

39

1 MS. CASSA: Characterization?
2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Can somebody help me out
3 with that?
4 MS. SRINIVASAN: Yes, probably July of '97,
5 issuing the draft.
6 MS. SMITH: So all of these samples were
7 taken --
8 MS. SRINIVASAN: No, No. The date of our
9 calculations is the data point that we compiled from
10 three different sources, from three different
11 documents.
12 MS. SMITH: That's fine.
13 What was the first date and what was the --
14 MR. ALLMAN: Excuse me --
15 MS. SRINIVASAN: The date of our initial
16 request was in 1992, for the final preliminary risk
17 assessment.
18 It's on the map as sources. There is a list
19 right there (indicating).
20 We also use data from the remedial

40

1 investigation that was done for Treasure Island. I
2 believe that was done in 1996.

3 And we use data from the PWC lead study, I
4 believe, also 1996.

5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Basically, it's the
6 Phase I, the Phase II, and then this HUD sampling of
7 the housing that was done in '96, but it was separate
8 from the remedial investigation.

9 MR. ALLMAN: Excuse me.

10 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes, John?

11 MR. ALLMAN: We just received all this stuff
12 tonight. It was very good of you to actually type it
13 up and produce it.

14 But it's already 12 after 8:00 by my watch.
15 We are supposed to cover two pretty important topics
16 in the next three minutes before our break.

17 I would suggest that maybe we look through
18 this, because, for example, this issue would have been
19 easily cleared up if we had time to look at the map
20 and see the sources listed of the data.

41

1 maybe the RAB members need up until the November
2 meeting to address this.

3 So I'm open to either the interim meeting or
4 the regular meeting.

5 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I think we can at least
6 discuss at the interim meeting how to respond to the
7 responses, and then if we need to have a second
8 meeting, discuss that, if we want to meet earlier, and
9 we normally do.

10 MR. HEHN: This is going to come back, too,
11 once you get the results of the additional sampling,
12 there will be an additional point to comment on at
13 that time as well.

14 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Good point. We might want
15 to consider combining the new data with the lead data
16 at the same discussion.

17 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, we won't have the
18 new data for Site 12 until, it will be taken at the
19 end of this month and into the next.

20 I think, actually, the field work ends in

43

1 So I think we should move on and have them,
2 maybe, put this on the next agenda to go over three
3 questions.

4 MR. WONG: I second that.

5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, I would propose
6 that we could include it, if agreed, we can include it
7 on the next interim meeting.

8 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I would suggest the next
9 interim meeting, and then report back.

10 MS. SMITH: No, because we have to take up
11 our comments to the RIR at that meeting.

12 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I'm open on it. If we
13 need to --

14 MS. SMITH: I mean, do what you need to do,
15 Pat, but that was supposed to be without, we were
16 supposed to discuss our responses to something that's
17 due November 18th.

18 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Right.

19 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I'm open. If there is
20 scheduling conflicts, and I recognize there are, then

42

1 mid November, and then there is at least another month
2 of data validation.

3 So we probably actually wouldn't see data
4 until late December, validated data.

5 MR. HEHN: We need to talk about the
6 validated data with the caveat that some data may
7 change.

8 We might want to look at that preliminary
9 data point and see if that resolves some of the issues
10 for both ourselves and for the city.

11 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I agree. There may be a
12 way to look at some preliminary data, and given that
13 the necessity for leasing this housing doesn't appear
14 to be as imminent as it originally appeared a couple
15 of months ago, it looks like we have more flexibility
16 to take the right amount of time.

17 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Okay.

18 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. Next item, which
19 we will just make quick is, just a brief, brief
20 summary on the draft CAP.

44

1 MR. HOE: Good evening, everyone. my name
2 is Edward Ho. I'm an engineer, part of the Navy's
3 team for Treasure Island. I'm here to talk a little
4 bit about the CAP, the Corrective Action Plan, the
5 document we hope to submit next month. So I'm going
6 to talk to you briefly about what's in it, what it is
7 and what is in it.

8 It covers seven sites that were originally
9 part of the CERCLA investigation, but during the early
10 phases of the investigation, no significant
11 concentrations of products, other than petroleum, were
12 discovered at the sites.

13 CERCLA isn't designed to cover petroleum
14 only sites, and it isn't really appropriate for it.

15 Consequently, these sites were grouped
16 together and moved out of the CERCLA program into the
17 program which the CAP is produced under, and that
18 occurred in early '96.

19 The CAP contains the bulk of the functions
20 of an RI and an FS, including presenting site

45

1 background, summarization of previous investigations,
2 identification of areas of concern, screening and
3 analysis of remedial technologies, and, finally,
4 recommendation of remedial alternatives for each site.

5 This document, when it's approved, will
6 enable the Navy to remediate the sites more
7 efficiently and promptly and release the sites for
8 public use.

9 The CAP is divided into eight sections.

10 The first is executive summary and
11 introduction.

12 The second is general Treasure Island
13 background, which is very similar to what is in the
14 RI, and that covers things like geology, ecology,
15 climate, history and operations of TI as a whole.

16 Section 3 is individual site backgrounds.
17 This section discusses physical site characteristics,
18 like geology, hydrogeology and historic operations, as
19 well as results of previous investigations at each of
20 the CAP sites.

46

1 Section 4 presents human and ecological risk
2 screening. Again, that's done on a site-by-site
3 basis.

4 Section 5, the results of the screening and
5 the previous investigations are used to define areas
6 of concern at each of the sites.

7 Section 6 is a screening of process options
8 and remedial technologies to determine which ones are
9 most appropriate for more detailed analysis, which is
10 coming up in Section 7.

11 Section 7 examines each of the remedial
12 technologies in greater detail with respect to
13 Treasure Island conditions. The criteria used are
14 effectiveness, implementability and cost.

15 Section 8 presents the recommended remedial
16 alternatives for each site, and that is the text of
17 the document.

18 There is appendices also.

19 Now, we expect to submit the CAP next month,
20 and that will go to the agencies as well as the RAB.

47

1 At that time, we will ask you for your
2 review and your best comments, which we will
3 incorporate the final submission of at the final CAP.

4 And then after it's approved, the Navy can
5 proceed on to detailed engineering design for the
6 sites.

7 Are there any questions?

8 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I have a question.

9 The agenda says "data summary." Are you
10 going to describe the data or give us an in-depth
11 summary of what's in the data that's going to be in
12 the report?

13 MR. HO: Yes. The CAP contains a great deal
14 of data that's all summarized in the appendices.

15 CO-CHAIR NELSON: So there are no handouts
16 tonight summarizing that data?

17 MR. HO: No.

18 CO-CHAIR NELSON: The agenda would lead us
19 to believe there would be a discussion if not
20 handouts.

48

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes. We weren't, Ed and
2 I weren't able to connect ahead of time.

3 However, I did want to ask the question at
4 this meeting, if the data would be valuable in the
5 evaluation of the CERCLA sites, and if it is, we can
6 make that available, because if the RAB technical
7 subcommittee would like the CAP data, the data is
8 already validated. What we are still working on in
9 the draft -- nothing has been released yet -- is just
10 the recommendations and the other wording that goes
11 along with it. But the data is unchanged at this
12 point.

13 So if the technical subcommittee would like
14 to have the data, we will provide it.

15 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Technical subcommittee,
16 discussion?

17 MS. SMITH: I have a real problem with data
18 not traveling with most of these documents.

19 The RIR does not have any data traveling
20 with it. Some sites are dropped. There are samples

49

1 of boring logs. There are samples of immunoassays.
2 But the data appears to not be traveling with the
3 important documents, and I have a problem with that.

4 And so I would like to see some kind of data
5 traveling with the documents.

6 Normally in the appendices, you get some
7 kind of -- I mean, I'm used to looking at EIS/EIRs.
8 This stuff, I'm not so comfortable with. I don't know
9 what the federal government has made as an agreement
10 with the world at large.

11 But can I ask: Usha, don't you normally get
12 appendices with all the data?

13 MS. VEDAGIRI: I think I do, especially for
14 the CAP. It seems like over two years, we keep
15 hearing about sites being moved into the CAP program.
16 It would be nice to see the data associated with the
17 sites.

18 CO-CHAIR NELSON: And those sites are
19 formerly IR Sites, and 20, for example, being close to
20 Site 12. It would be -- in fact, I think that was one

50

1 of my comments on the draft RI, that that information
2 was omitted from the RI and should be in the RI so you,
3 understand the larger picture with respect to the
4 contaminants in those areas.

5 MS. VEDAGIRI: I have another question but I
6 can wait.

7 MS. SMITH: No, go ahead.

8 MS. VEDAGIRI: Does your eco risk screening
9 for the CAP, does that rely on the ecotoxicity testing
10 for TPH, that same study and the same cleanup numbers?

11 MR. HO: It does.

12 MS. VEDAGIRI: So does it include responses
13 to all the comments that have been received on that
14 report?

15 MR. HO: The numbers have not officially
16 changed, so it is based on those numbers.

17 However, in the event that the numbers do
18 change, the document will be revised to reflect the
19 new numbers.

20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Say that again.

51

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Does that answer your
2 question?

3 MS. VEDAGIRI: It exactly answers my
4 question. I don't think it was satisfactory, but that
5 was my question. There are a lot of problems that
6 people have found with those numbers.

7 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Other technical
8 subcommittee members?

9 MR. HEHN: It would be valuable to have that
10 information for the validated data as long as it is
11 tied to some sites.

12 Essentially, we almost need to have a map to
13 show where that data was collected to. Otherwise,
14 it's meaningless. We don't know where it's from. Is
15 that available as well?

16 MR. HO: Yes. That's all part of the
17 report.

18 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: We can extract the map
19 and the data points from the report.

20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I think I'm hearing a

52

1 consensus that having that data with the review of the
 2 RI, the draft final RI, would be very helpful.
 3 That would be very much appreciated if you
 4 could get it to us as soon as possible.
 5 MR. VAN WYE: Hereby converting a dog and
 6 pony show into a useful document.
 7 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Yes.
 8 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Just for clarification
 9 and to make sure that we are all thinking of the same
 10 thing, all of the, in the remedial, in the draft final
 11 remedial investigation report, all of the data
 12 associated with those sites in the draft final RI is
 13 included in the draft final RI.
 14 MS. SMITH: No, it's not. It's not
 15 currently. I haven't found it.
 16 MR. KNAPP: It's in tabular form. It's in
 17 tables.
 18 MS. SMITH: We need them.
 19 CO-CHAIR NELSON: The laboratory data sheets
 20 are not available.

1 MR. KNAPP: I see. You're talking about the
 2 actual thing that comes from the lab, the summary
 3 issue, and that is correct, that is not part of the
 4 appendices.
 5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, I guess my
 6 question would be --
 7 MS. SMITH: It's not traveling along.
 8 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, I guess my
 9 question would be, just to bring this to a close, what
 10 would you be looking for, what would be the concern?
 11 If we have all the laboratory sheets, which are
 12 voluminous, and if we extract the numbers from those
 13 sheets, and if that's what is presented in tabular
 14 form, is there some other information you need that's
 15 not in that tabular form?
 16 MR. HEHN: There is a good question of what
 17 happens to the actual laboratory certificate for the
 18 analysis. Where do those reside? Are those retained?
 19 MR. HO: (Nods head up and down.)
 20 MR. HEHN: Where do those reside?

1 CO-CHAIR NELSON: And, I guess, speaking for
 2 myself, there are a lot of footnotes to this data that
 3 have been tabularized and very often seen, or knowing
 4 how the data was quantified and utilized is very
 5 helpful.
 6 MR. HO: In the cases where we do get
 7 comments from the lab, they are generally incorporated
 8 into the tables.
 9 MR. VAN WYE: My sense is that what we would
 10 like to see is data that is presented in a useful
 11 form, not so scant that it's meaningless, and not so
 12 overwhelming that you can't wade through it.
 13 And it needs to be presented in a useful way
 14 so that we can perform the functions that we need to
 15 do and to review it, given that we have full-time
 16 jobs.
 17 MR. HO: I think it was our assumption the
 18 tabular format would address that.
 19 MS. SMITH: I'm not even interested in
 20 reviewing that kind of data. I'm not good at knowing

1 where the spikes are and certain compounds.
 2 But I notice that the data is not traveling
 3 with the documents, and that, for me, is a problem,
 4 because we will have some developer or some entity
 5 that wants to use the property, and the raw data is
 6 not traveling with the document.
 7 And so his specialists will not be able to
 8 look at the data and see where there are problems
 9 associated with the property.
 10 That is my problem.
 11 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Okay. Well, I think we
 12 have consensus that the technical subcommittee would
 13 like to see the data.
 14 MS. SMITH: Absolutely.
 15 CO-CHAIR NELSON: With site drawings showing
 16 the locations.
 17 Paul?
 18 MR. HEHN: Yes. Two questions:
 19 One, you indicated there were seven sites
 20 moving from CERCLA to CAP.

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes.
 2 MR. HO: It's nine IR sites.
 3 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Two are paired.
 4 Actually, that was one of the things that we have to
 5 make sure is clarified in the draft that actually goes
 6 out.
 7 MR. HEHN: Okay. I forgot what my other
 8 question was.
 9 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Just to clarify the
 10 usefulness of the lab data sheets, we don't see the
 11 QA/QC that went along with the run of the data, and
 12 there are so many calibration errors or other errors
 13 that have been footnoted in the tabularized results,
 14 we don't have a sense of what grouping of samples went
 15 in and where all of this may be a problem, and that
 16 sometimes is very useful.
 17 But at any rate, not that I'm anxious to go
 18 through mountains of lab data sheets, but I might want
 19 to request a handful to confirm some suspicions I may
 20 have about the quality of the data, certainly the

1 CERCLA program, and so those will have separate CAP
 2 documents, and they are proceeding on different
 3 schedules. So you will see those documents in a
 4 different sequence.
 5 MR. HEHN: Is there a way that those
 6 interactions are going to be compiled at some time on
 7 one map that would sort of look at those interactions,
 8 because, obviously, if you have different sites moving
 9 to different tasks coming in different CAPS, any
 10 interaction between those sites is sort of lost in the
 11 translation between separate documents.
 12 MR. HO: I don't know that there is a plan
 13 to publish them in one particular volume.
 14 MR. HEHN: Or even one map when they are
 15 compiled, essentially, would be helpful, too, so you
 16 could look at the interaction between sites.
 17 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, I think I
 18 understand.
 19 MS. CASSA: The GIS will handle that.
 20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, it will have the

1 QA/QC.
 2 MR. HEHN: I remembered the other question I
 3 had.
 4 In looking at this data, I think it needs to
 5 be clarified again that the CAP, the Corrective Action
 6 Plan, is only going to deal with those 7 slash 9 sites
 7 that got moved from the CERCLA to the CAP program, and
 8 will not have anything to do with either the USTs, the
 9 underground fuel lines, or any of the other
 10 fuel-related removal actions or investigations, so
 11 that that is separate and outside of that whole
 12 picture, too, and so that's sort of another whole
 13 program.
 14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes, that's correct.
 15 It would actually end up in a CAP but in
 16 separate CAP documents. So this CAP represents only
 17 those sites, only those IR sites that were taken out
 18 of CERCLA and put into the petroleum program.
 19 But there are other petroleum sites, smaller
 20 USTs and the fuel lines that were never part of the

1 data, but, in general, a lot of these UST sites were
 2 never part of the IR or CERCLA programs. They were
 3 fairly smaller, discrete sites.
 4 And where USTs were on an IR site, like
 5 several USTs that were on IR site 6, the old fire
 6 fighting school, those USTs were incorporated into the
 7 CAP.
 8 So, in effect, the CAP for some sites
 9 represents a grouping of USTs that are co-located
 10 together, and the same thing occurs with the fuel line
 11 along 5th Street.
 12 MR. HEHN: Actually, Mary Rose came up with
 13 exactly the answer: Essentially, if you have that
 14 plotted on the GIS, that's what the analyticals are
 15 for, you have those interrelationships available to
 16 you once it's put into the GIS.
 17 So that's a point where it would be helpful
 18 to look at that and see if it needs to be looked at
 19 further.
 20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you very much.

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. Our next item is
2 the draft remedial investigation report discussion.
3 I guess I just turn it over to you.
4 CO-CHAIR NELSON: We can certainly discuss
5 it.
6 We had an interim meeting, I think last
7 week -- these meetings seem to blur together -- to
8 talk about our preliminary findings and review of the
9 draft final remedial investigation report.
10 And I guess the floor is open for those RAB
11 members that would like to bring forward their
12 concerns.
13 I guess I can get the ball rolling by saying
14 that largely the RAB comments were not responded to,
15 and so I think a lot of the comments that we had
16 developed prior will also apply to this report, and
17 I'm sorry to report that.
18 I think we spent time documenting our
19 concerns and working with PRC and regulatory agencies
20 and the Navy to try to come to terms, but there has

61

1 been some successes out of the program, certainly
2 additional testing out of IR Site 12 is one of those,
3 and I would like to thank the Navy and the regulatory
4 agencies for their consideration of that.
5 MS. CASSA: Pat, a question.
6 When you said that largely the Navy did not
7 respond to RAB's comments, do you mean they did not
8 produce a response document, or that when you read the
9 text, you did not find that the comments were
10 incorporated into the text?
11 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Both, and our review is
12 somewhat incomplete at this point, but, yes, in both,
13 in both instances.
14 And an example is, as I had brought up
15 earlier, the data for IR Site 6 that was removed from
16 the program. One of my comments was to include that
17 data, or at least a discussion of the data, since it
18 is adjacent to Site 12, and that would have been easy
19 to have appended to the report. and it was not.
20 Paul?

62

1 MR. HEHN: I guess what I would like to
2 bring up also, there was another, I think, a success,
3 as far as the RAB was concerned, and also the
4 regulators, in bringing up issues that related to the
5 Nimitz House as well, the lead issue there, something
6 that we raised as a significant issue, and the Navy
7 responded, I think, in this case.
8 But as far as the RI is concerned, I think
9 that one of the things that we discussed at the BCT
10 meeting was the response by the Navy for the RAB
11 comments.
12 I guess one of the things that I would like
13 to really ask is, what is the status of that now? Do
14 we talk about that when we look at funding issues,
15 look at scheduling, find out whether or not you are
16 going to be able to give us a written response.
17 I think that this kind of response to Pat's
18 comments for Zone 4 FOSL is exactly the kind of thing
19 that is very beneficial to us.
20 So I think this goes a long ways, but I

63

1 think we are still far behind the curve now, and it
2 will take a while to catch up.
3 I don't know whether you're planning on
4 producing that response or not.
5 Can you address that?
6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes.
7 Basically, what I have tonight, which we
8 were still working on this morning, we have taken a
9 comment, from the comments to the addenda, and we put
10 that in a form which we think is what you have been
11 asking for, and, basically, it's the same form as the
12 Zone 4 FOSL discussion.
13 We are already working on -- this is the
14 only one we have done -- but we are already working on
15 the rest of the comments, and our projected schedule
16 still places us in mid November for completion of
17 that.
18 And that will include, of the 24 some, 27
19 some pages of comments received, there would be
20 basically a comment-by-comment response, except in

64

1 some cases were there were similar comments grouped
2 together.

3 But wherever there was a unique comment,
4 there was a unique response. So that's the direction
5 we are heading in now.

6 There is no issue of funding. It's just a
7 question of the timing and how that potentially
8 affects how we wanted to schedule the closeout of the
9 comment period for this draft final document.

10 MR. HEHN: I would appreciate that. Thanks.

11 I think that would go a long ways towards
12 resolving some of the concerns that the RAB has, and
13 if we could have that as sort of an ongoing process
14 for comments, I think that would go a long ways to
15 making some of these issues feel like they have some
16 resolution in our minds.

17 And, again, just like in reviewing the Zone
18 4 FOSL comments and responses, in Site 12, you say:
19 "Areas of petroleum contamination were correctly
20 identified and delineated using a combination of

65

1 immunoassay and laboratory results."

2 I may not agree with that. We may agree to
3 disagree. That's fine. But we have the comments and
4 we know where the issue is, take that into account,
5 and that's where it is, and that's fine. We at least
6 know that that's the status.

7 So that's fine, as far as I'm concerned. At
8 least we have that response, and I think that's what
9 we were looking for all along.

10 MS. VEDAGIRI: Now, will these written
11 responses be included in one of the appendices of the
12 final RI? What happens to these after they are
13 generated (indicating)?

14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: The precedence to date
15 that the Navy has taken is that the community comments
16 are part of the document, but they are part of the
17 repository, both the admin record and the information
18 repository.

19 But the precedence to date has been not, you
20 know, precedent wasn't set here. but set in other

66

1 places at other bases and earlier times, that the
2 document -- the community comments have not been bound
3 into the document.

4 I know that question has come up several
5 times, and I brought the question up to our
6 management. But that's the current policy.

7 Whether or not that might change in the
8 future, I don't know, and I guess the question would
9 be, that's still a concern among the community
10 members.

11 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Jim?

12 MR. ALDRICH: Are materials that go into the
13 repository catalogued chronologically or is it just
14 basically all supplemental materials in a box? Would
15 it be easy to find comments that related to a
16 particular meeting or a particular document?

17 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, all of the
18 documents that were put into the repository are
19 getting a numbering system. Originally, this was just
20 set up for the CERCLA, but our intent is to include

67

1 all of the non-CERCLA documents, at least in the
2 repository.

3 We are dealing with the CERCLA law, and that
4 kind of complicates things. The administrative record
5 really refers specifically to the CERCLA, so that
6 wouldn't necessarily include discussions of FOSLs or
7 of non-CERCLA sites.

8 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Dale?

9 MS. SMITH: I wouldn't worry about that.

10 I think what we will do is collect a
11 document, and then we are going to figure out how to
12 squeak it in on the RAB or the ROD, because we will
13 have to do a lot more.

14 In trying to do background research on Site
15 6, I found a lot of comments, either in the status
16 report or in the RPM/BCT report, that don't come
17 before us that needed to be addressed, or at least
18 given the light of day, because they are buried in
19 documents.

20 One of my problems with the RIR is, there is

68

1 no TOC for the indices.
2 In Volume 4, it would be really helpful to
3 have a TOC. There is a TOC somewhere buried in, and
4 it's not in the front of the RIR, but it's somewhere
5 buried in the document. It would be much better for
6 Volume 4 to start with the TOC, that this is what the
7 different appendices mean and this is where you need
8 to go, Volume 2 has this, Volume 1 has this. It would
9 be really helpful.

10 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I'm sorry, you're
11 talking not just the table of contents but basically a
12 summary.

13 MS. SMITH: We are talking about -- this is
14 the Remedial investigation report discussion, right?

15 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Right.

16 MS. SMITH: And I am talking about something
17 that would be really helpful, not so much for us, but
18 if anybody wants to grab a document, they would need
19 to be able to find the information.

20 MS. CASSA: So are you asking for a table of

69

1 contents?

2 MS. SMITH: Volume 4, it would be really
3 helpful in Volume 4 if there was a TOC for the
4 appendices.

5 MS. CASSA: Okay. Jim thought that you were
6 asking for an executive summary.

7 MS. SMITH: A TOC, table of comments in
8 volume 4 for the appendices.

9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. I understand
10 exactly what you're saying now.

11 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I haven't gotten to Volume
12 4.

13 MS. SMITH: I started with volume 4.

14 The other thing is that in trying to find
15 information about Site 6, which is not contained
16 anywhere in the RIR, I found that there is a technical
17 memorandum for VOCs that was released in February.

18 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I'm not sure.

19 MR. KNAPP: For air sampling.

20 MS. SMITH: For VOCs, yes.

70

1 It would be nice to have at least mention of
2 that in that document.

3 MR. KNAPP: It gets in there as Appendix H.

4 MS. SMITH: Is it? Okay. I will check.

5 CO-CHAIR NELSON: That wouldn't happen to be
6 in Volume 4, would it?

7 MS. SMITH: No, 5.

8 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Just curious.

9 MS. SMITH: And that's basically, the other
10 thing that I have a problem with is that the

11 Department of Toxic Substance Control did complain
12 that apparently you made a shift in strategies or

13 baselines or target goals totally prior to producing
14 the report. I mean, the report was produced, was

15 released, what, October of '96? You must have worked
16 a long time on it prior to that.

17 Somewhere along the line, you talked to
18 somebody and got a whole bunch of different baseline
19 information that you could work with rather than what
20 was California EPA standards.

71

1 You released the report in October.

2 Comments were due in December. Comments came back
3 from Cal-EPA, Department of Toxic Substance Control.
4 Chein Kao, he said this is not permitted. And then in
5 the document, you said: Oh, well, we talked to you in
6 April of '97 and got permission to do this.

7 I just have a problem with the process in
8 there. I can't figure out how a document produced in
9 '95 can get permission for changes in structure and
10 baseline information in '97 after the report is
11 produced.

12 MS. CASSA: Can I address that?

13 MS. SMITH: Yes, you must, because the only
14 thing I found was in February of '97, where Chein said
15 this was not appropriate. It's the only document I
16 could find.

17 I went back through all of the RPM/BCT
18 meetings through '94 and could not find any discussion
19 of this issue.

20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I'm sorry, I'm unclear on

72

1 what the reference is about the BCT comments here.
2 The comment may have been, and correct me if
3 I'm wrong, for example, the decision that if ten
4 percent or fewer of site concentrations exceeded the
5 ambient concentration?

6 MS. SMITH: It was in a February
7 conversation, according to the minutes of the RPM/BCT
8 meeting, that this was acknowledged.

9 MS. CASSA: Mr. Kao wrote the comments for
10 DTSC on the draft document, and when the Navy received
11 comments, there was a lot of head scratching and
12 various other, you know, questions were asked about,
13 well, we thought that you understood this, or, gee,
14 you have a point. We need to resolve this.

15 So there was a meeting that was held, I
16 thought it was in April, April 7, 1997, PRC.

17 MS. SMITH: It's not in the minutes.

18 MS. CASSA: What happens in that structure
19 is that the regulatory comments were submitted and
20 there were a few comments that look like show

73

1 stoppers, unless these comments can be resolved.

2 So the Navy and the regulators met for a day
3 and took all of these major issues that looked like
4 major show stoppers and talked them through.

5 In a couple of cases, some of the comments
6 that Mr. Kao made were resolved by saying, well, there
7 was simply a misunderstanding, or he didn't understand
8 the way the text was written.

9 So the issue was clarified at that meeting
10 and it went away.

11 There were other cases where you could take
12 the decision to go one way or the other. Mr. Kao
13 would have preferred to go one direction, but he had
14 no problem with the general consensus being to take
15 the other direction. Point in case being what
16 background or ambient level to use for the Yerba Buena
17 land fill.

18 MS. SMITH: I'm not talking about that.

19 MS. CASSA: Okay, but that's an example.

20 That was the first time when the Navy had a

74

1 chance to digest the regulatory comments, and it had
2 major questions about some of the comments, that if
3 they weren't resolved the way the Navy thought they
4 would end up getting resolved, there would a lot of
5 reworking that had to be done, so that's why it looks
6 like, to your perception, permission was given to
7 proceed with something in 1997 that had been in
8 progress in 1995.

9 And, indeed, it was time, the reaction on
10 the part of the regulators was: Oh, now that you
11 explained it to me, sure, this process makes sense.
12 This process you have been doing for two years, now it
13 makes sense and I go along with it.

14 MS. SMITH: I think it was a pro forma
15 response on the Navy's response, actually, probably
16 PRC, because he also criticized the fact that the
17 comments were frequently attributed to Navy when they
18 were actually being responded to by PRC.

19 It was a pro forma explanation between
20 different methodologies for determining toxicity

75

1 levels, and the one that was being chosen was not the
2 one that was being used throughout the Bay Area for
3 private enterprise. I will come back to you in an
4 interim meeting with documentation on this. That's
5 just my comment.

6 It just seems weird that the Navy can
7 proceed for a year with a document with the
8 understanding that they can do it one way and then
9 present it to a regulator a year and a half later, and
10 the person in charge says, "Jeez, we are not doing it
11 this way here. And then Mary Rose says: Oh, we
12 explained it to you.

13 It wasn't explained in April, as far as I
14 can tell. There is nothing in the meeting minutes
15 that explained the discussion. It was in February
16 that it was discussed.

17 MS. CASSA: Well, again, I think that the
18 April meeting was considered a working meeting. It
19 was a meeting to work through some of these issues.

20 MS. SMITH: I'm sorry, it wasn't discussed

76

1 at the April meeting. It is not in the meeting
 2 minutes. It is in February. That's all I'm saying.
 3 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Well, we can elaborate on
 4 your comments at the interim meeting.
 5 MS. CASSA: I thought I had something to say
 6 but I lost it.
 7 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Anybody else?
 8 It sounds like an interesting issue, and I
 9 need more details myself, but at any rate.
 10 If there is no further discuss, perhaps we
 11 could take a break?
 12 MR. VAN WYE: Yes.
 13 (A short break was taken at 8:50 p.m.)
 14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. I think we are
 15 ready to start. I guess it's a little after 9:15.
 16 Okay. We are ready to go.
 17 MR. VAN WYE: Good to go, as they say in the
 18 Navy.
 19 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. Just before I
 20 forget -- I know I will forget if I don't do it now --

1 these were at the end of the last item. We had
 2 prepared this draft response to an RI comment, so I
 3 neglected to pass that around.
 4 This sounds like this would be the subject
 5 of further discussion at the interim meeting, but as
 6 Paul pointed out, this is similar to the format on the
 7 response to the Zone 4 FOSL, and it appears that we
 8 are in the right direction.
 9 General updates. FY '98 project execution
 10 plan. There actually hasn't been any change since
 11 last month. The schedule, we haven't really issued a
 12 final, final, but it's probably, we still have the
 13 latitude within the budget to make adjustments of the
 14 individual projects within the total dollar amount of
 15 about \$10 million, so we haven't issued a firm FY '98
 16 execution plan.
 17 And, in fact, in the last couple of weeks, I
 18 found out that we are going to be briefing the
 19 Treasure Island Cleanup Program along with the other
 20 Bay Area cleanup programs in Washington. So there may

1 be some further adjustments in the next couple of
 2 weeks, and I hope positively.
 3 So as soon as the '98 execution plan firms
 4 up, we don't have any '98 funding now, we will iss
 5 working document.
 6 The 7 October RPM/BCT meeting was relatively
 7 brief. We discussed Treasure Island closure and
 8 leasing issues, the Site 12 work, the meeting agenda
 9 for tonight, and we went over the action items between
 10 the Navy and the regulators. The draft of that
 11 meeting just came out, so I think you will see the
 12 final within another week.
 13 MR. GALANG: Sometime next week.
 14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Dale?
 15 MS. SMITH: Will you be including better
 16 funding for the Department of Toxic Substance Control
 17 and -- no, not the Department of Toxic Substance
 18 Control, but the State of California Water Department
 19 and Regional Water Quality Control Board?
 20 The RPM/BCT meeting minutes at some point

1 indicated that the Navy had downgraded the amount
 2 funding for them, and as a result, Gina doesn't come
 3 and Martha doesn't come, or somebody in their place.
 4 I know Gina is not with us any more.
 5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, that's a good
 6 question.
 7 MS. SMITH: Did you make an adjustment to
 8 that?
 9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: We're going to be
 10 entering into negotiations between the Navy and the
 11 state over what's called the DSMOA, the Defense-State
 12 Memorandum of Agreement. We will attend a meeting on
 13 that on the 30th of this month to prepare to enter
 14 into the negotiations.
 15 Treasure Island is one of the first that
 16 will have this DSMOA agreement, where we will
 17 basically enter into a negotiation with the state to
 18 work out between the two parties what we think is an
 19 equitable arrangement.
 20 MS. SMITH: So you're asking the state to

1 start picking up some of the funding.
2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, no. This is
3 strictly a question of the federal government
4 providing funding to the state to reimburse them for
5 their oversight of the cleanup, and that's what helps
6 provide the technical support for the state.

7 So there has been no set base level
8 agreement on that, and so we are now going to enter
9 into a Treasure Island State of California agreement
10 on this that will hopefully be an equitable
11 arrangement between the DOD and the state.

12 MR. ONGERTH: Jim, are you saying there was
13 not one before?

14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I will admit my
15 knowledge of this is somewhat limited, but there has
16 been, I think, a generalized defense statement of
17 understanding, but it wasn't negotiated at the
18 individual base level.

19 The meeting that we will be going to, that
20 Ernie and I will be going to on the 30th of this month

81

1 in Sacramento is to prepare to enter into a
2 negotiation, a site specific negotiation on how much
3 assets the state needs to oversee this cleanup.
4 So we are going more to a micro level to
5 determine the appropriate level of funding for the
6 state.

7 MR. HEHN: As we had discussed previously,
8 and as the RAB had written letters to the state, the
9 Regional Board, DTSC, et cetera, previously about our
10 concern about making sure that we had both DTSC and a
11 Regional Board representative here, I would like to
12 put that back in the works again, so at your meeting
13 you can bring that issue up, that we would still like
14 to have both those representatives as participants,
15 active participants in Treasure Island.

16 MS. VEDAGIRI: Can I add Region 9 to that?
17 Is there a reason why there is nobody here today for
18 that?

19 MS. CASSA: Illness.

20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: James was here earlier

82

1 when we did an orientation tour of the island. He
2 wasn't able to stay for the meeting.

3 MR. HEHN: You went to Site 12, didn't you?

4 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. But Dale's
5 question was a good one, because this will be a pretty
6 important issue.

7 It was fairly short for a BCT meeting. I
8 don't think there were any other major topics we
9 covered.

10 It wasn't part of the BCT meeting, but I
11 will add this in now: There is federal guidance
12 coming out for a technical assistance program that
13 would allow Restoration Advisory Boards to tap, so to
14 speak, into federal funds to assist them in the
15 evaluation of the cleanup process.

16 I don't have any more details than that,
17 other than we would like to make a presentation at
18 next month's RAB meeting. I will have a
19 representative from San Bruno, from EFA West San Bruno
20 here to present a much more detailed discussion on how

83

1 this program works, how the RAB puts together an
2 application. I do know that the funding level is up
3 to \$25,000 per year for a period of up to four years,
4 or a total of \$100,000. It could be applied by the
5 RAB to the review process.

6 MR. ONGERTH: Would it be essential to know
7 what criteria would be used by the Navy to evaluate
8 the proposal?

9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Exactly. And,
10 apparently, there is some pretty detailed guidance for
11 that, and that's what we would like to present next
12 month. If there is anything that we could send out
13 ahead of time -- I'm not sure there is -- but we
14 would.

15 You're exactly right. There is some
16 guidelines for what's allowable and what's not
17 allowable.

18 MR. ONGERTH: What they will pay for and
19 what they won't.

20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Correct.

84

1 MS. CASSA: Jim, can you comment on the
2 relationship of this to the draft document that the
3 RABs were commenting on back in March? Does the RAB
4 get involved in that?

5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: That was the -- it
6 suddenly escapes me.

7 MS. CASSA: There was something at some
8 federal level.

9 MS. SMITH: FFSRA.

10 MS. CASSA: Okay, forget it.

11 MR. HEHN: I don't remember that as a
12 document, commenting on that as a RAB.

13 MS. CASSA: It may have gone through E-mail
14 and Career Pro and things like that. It wasn't a
15 document that the TI issued for everybody to review.
16 It was something that came out of Career Pro.

17 It was some federal draft document that was
18 circulating, because it was going to affect your
19 future. And I think this was the final analysis of
20 that.

85

1 MS. MENDELOW: You were going to make some
2 comments about the base closure?

3 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes, in a couple of
4 minutes. I will do it, I mean, I will summarize it in
5 a couple of minutes.

6 Under reuse issues, I wanted to clarify what
7 the status of the base is, at least as I understand
8 it, and I hope I understand it correctly.

9 Basically, the Naval Station closed on the
10 30th of September 1997. Naval Station Treasure Island
11 no longer exists as an organization.

12 Engineering Field Activity West, the
13 producer of all of these cleanup documents, has taken
14 over, took over administration of the base on 1
15 October.

16 Instead of a commanding officer, a Navy
17 captain, we have an officer in charge, a lieutenant
18 commander, and he's the officer in charge of what's
19 called the Caretaker Site Office for Treasure Island.
20 So that caretaker office administers over the Navy

86

1 property here at Treasure Island and Yerba Buena
2 Island.

3 MR. VAN WYE: And that is who now?

4 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Lieutenant Commander
5 John Landis.

6 He, in turn, works for what's referred to as
7 the base conversion manager, who is also my boss, and
8 the base conversion manager at EFA West is the Navy
9 single point of contact for all issues related to
10 reuse and cleanup.

11 MR. ONGERTH: A Naval officer?

12 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: No, a senior civilian,
13 Mr. Ken Parsons.

14 So, basically, Ken Parsons is in charge of
15 the overall process for reuse and cleanup from the
16 Navy's end of things, and lieutenant commander Landis
17 is the officer in charge managing the day-to-day
18 operations here at the base.

19 MR. ONGERTH: And he's answerable to a
20 civilian?

87

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, it's not uncommon
2 for junior officers to work for senior civilians.

3 EFA West, most of the staff is civilians.
4 There is only a few military.

5 The Navy, then, in turn, has entered into
6 what's known as a cooperative agreement with the City
7 of San Francisco to operate the base, to handle the
8 municipal type functions, such as fire, police, street
9 maintenance, landscaping, garbage collection, all the
10 things the city would do in the rest of the city.

11 So the Navy is reimbursing the city in part
12 for that. The reimbursement is based on the part the
13 Navy would have spent if the city weren't here and if
14 we were taking care of an empty base by ourselves,
15 which has been the case, for example, maybe not a good
16 example, but at Hunter's Point, where the Navy is
17 still primarily operating the base.

18 So we have taken that equivalent amount in
19 negotiation and are paying the city to do that work
20 instead of the Navy doing that work, and that acts as

88

1 a transition to when the Navy will be gone and the
2 city will be fully operating the base as a regular
3 part of the city.

4 MR. ONGERTH: Also delivery of potable water
5 and disposal of sewage?

6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Right. All of the base
7 property still belongs to the Navy, the federal
8 government, but the city is operating the utility
9 system, including the potable water, reservoirs, and
10 distribution, and the sewage treatment plan,
11 basically, on behalf of the Navy. You couldn't
12 describe it as a contractor to the Navy.

13 MR. HANSEN: How long will that go on?

14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Undetermined, but there
15 would be some point at which the ownership of the
16 island will transfer, either in whole or in pieces.
17 The exact date for that has not been determined.

18 The Navy and the city will enter into a
19 further negotiation on the transfer of the property.

20 MR. VAN WYE: How extensive of a document is

89

1 that operating agreement? Are we talking something a
2 few pages we could all get copies of, or is it some
3 huge agreement?

4 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: The cooperative
5 agreement is probably, I don't know, maybe 20, 30, 40
6 pages. I'm not sure if it's a public document. I
7 honestly don't know. I'm not directly involved in the
8 process.

9 MR. VAN WYE: A secret document between the
10 Navy and the city.

11 MS. CASSA: Is there a person at the city
12 that somebody in the RAB could contact if they wanted
13 a copy of that?

14 MR. VAN WYE: Larry Florin.

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, actually, that's
16 not his office any more. When the CO moved out, he
17 moved into the CO's office.

18 Larry Florin, who is in the commanding
19 officer's office, is the mayor's project manager for
20 Treasure Island.

90

1 CO-CHAIR NELSON: So Larry Florin would be
2 the party to contact.

3 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So he is the counterpart
4 to Ken Parsons of the Navy.

5 MR. VAN WYE: Jim, you and I were talking
6 during the recess. There is a master lease agreement
7 that's currently being vented in Washington for
8 approval between the city and the Navy. Do we have
9 any idea when that is going to happen?

10 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: No, and, honestly, as we
11 discussed, since it's not on the environmental end of
12 things, I'm not directly involved.

13 But, in short, just for everyone's benefit,
14 there would be a master lease agreement that would
15 make it easier and quicker to do subleases rather than
16 having to negotiate a separate lease each and every
17 time.

18 MR. ALDRICH: Does the reuse plan still
19 carry any validity?

20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Absolutely, because the

91

1 reuse plan is at the core of the environmental impact
2 statement, environmental impact report, the draft of
3 which should be released in about, I hesitate to cite
4 a date, but it's probably within about 60 days.

5 I'm currently reviewing the internal 100
6 percent draft, so after the comments on the 100
7 percent are incorporated, the next step would be for
8 it to be released to the public and for there to be a
9 public scoping meeting on it.

10 But the reuse plan put together by the
11 Citizens Reuse Committee, which Dan is a part, is what
12 the EIS/EIR is based on.

13 MR. ONGERTH: Will we receive those two
14 documents, the EIS/EIR?

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I think if desired.

16 We kind of brought this up from time to
17 time. There is some question as to where the EIS/EIR
18 really fits into the whole RAB and cleanup process.

19 The cleanup is certainly discussed in the
20 EIS/EIR, and I'm reviewing that portion of it, as well

92

1 as Martha Walters from the city, but the EIS/EIR
 2 process is kind of separate from the cleanup.
 3 MR. WONG: Isn't it a public document?
 4 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Yes, they are public
 5 documents insofar as I guess you can obtain one,
 6 because they always make them available, at least for
 7 viewing.
 8 Whether or not we make that as part of our
 9 RAB process is another question, and whether or not we
 10 want to devote our time to it at these meetings is
 11 another question, but I'm open to that.
 12 MR. ONGERTH: It's hard for me to imagine
 13 that there would not be material in there that would
 14 be of great concern to this group.
 15 MS. CASSA: There is usually a chapter on
 16 hazardous waste.
 17 Generally, when I get an EIS/EIR for reuse
 18 and disposal, I spend a lot of time reviewing the
 19 chapter on hazardous waste and cleanup.
 20 MR. ONGERTH: There are subtleties all

1 through that process that I, for one, would want the
 2 opportunity to go through it, to make my own
 3 evaluation.
 4 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Brad?
 5 MR. WONG: What I'm saying, those documents
 6 are open to the public when they come out. We can
 7 just request them and get them.
 8 And so I think it's up to ourselves, if we
 9 want to review those, as they relate to the RAB here,
 10 and they have to take comments in those documents.
 11 MR. ONGERTH: Brad, my guess is that we
 12 would be told: Yes, you can see those at the library.
 13 MR. WONG: No. I think they have to make
 14 them available, because I think it's a different set
 15 of laws.
 16 MS. CASSA: Well, I've seen the EIS/EIR for
 17 FISCO and for the hospital, and I've seen a
 18 distribution list. It is enormous, it is positively
 19 enormous.
 20 MS. SMITH: For the full set?

1 MS. CASSA: Yes. It's a two-inch document.
 2 MR. WONG: Could I make a recommendation to
 3 move this along, that we have Jim put in a request
 4 that members of the RAB be put on the distribution
 5 list when that document comes out, and then report
 6 back?
 7 Let's do it that way, and we could decide if
 8 we want to address this as a Board or not.
 9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And you may very well
 10 already be on there.
 11 In fact, there is actually a list of RAB
 12 members in the draft EIS/EIR.
 13 CO-CHAIR NELSON: And I would also like to
 14 take the opportunity to point out that we have
 15 requested a presentation before the RAB of the draft
 16 EIS/EIR. It's tentatively scheduled for December.
 17 And so I think your recommendation is good,
 18 Brad, and if Jim will follow up.
 19 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. And, lastly, on
 20 reuse issues, just so you understand the procedures at

1 the front gate, as part of the cooperative agreement,
 2 the city staff is managing the front gate and not the
 3 Navy.
 4 And so the city guidance is to limit access
 5 to the island for the safety of the property. So for
 6 all of our RAB functions, we will be able to get you
 7 on the base, but I will have to go through extra
 8 procedures of having access lists at the front gate.
 9 You will be dealing with a non-Navy person instead of
 10 the Navy.
 11 And so we are still going through this
 12 transition process and it may be awkward at first.
 13 MR. VAN WYE: Jim, why don't you just put
 14 down a list of all the members of the RAB at the front
 15 gate, and if somebody wants to come in and take a look
 16 at something, they just say, "I'm on the RAB list,"
 17 period, end of discussion?
 18 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: That may work. I'm not
 19 sure if they want a list for each and every event.
 20 MR. ALLMAN: Can we get ID cards?

1 MR. HEHN: We got a copy of the early
2 transfer under CERCLA, draft guidance and procedures
3 from Mary Rose. This document was dated August 4,
4 '97.

5 I wonder if you might be able to give us any
6 update as to what the status of the document is?

7 MS. CASSA: It's a draft. It hasn't changed
8 much since about February.

9 There is some discussion over how far down
10 the governor can delegate his role, but it hasn't been
11 resolved.

12 There is no perceptible activity taking
13 place following that document. It's real similar to
14 something that you might have seen that might have
15 come out in February.

16 MR. HEHN: Do they have any kind of time
17 frame as to when they might get a final guidance
18 document?

19 MS. CASSA: Not that I'm aware of.

20 MR. HEHN: Thank you.

97

1 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Well, I guess Jim's giving
2 me the floor, and one of the items that is a
3 follow-up, the program updates, is review of action
4 items.

5 One action item, I think we would like to
6 resolve tonight, is the approving or not approving the
7 February, March and April minutes.

8 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I will summarize.

9 Unlike Mary Rose, I didn't do my homework.
10 I got into the February minutes, and I got into the
11 March minutes, which turned out to be a pretty
12 complicated discussion, a really complicated
13 discussion. So I probably had to change about 25
14 percent of the -- about two-thirds of the way through
15 the March minutes, I had to change about 25 percent of
16 it, so what I want to do is, I have the February done.
17 I want to send that out along with the March this
18 week, and then I will tackle the April.

19 But what I think I learned out of it was,
20 that was earlier, and we probably didn't rely as much

98

1 on the transcript earlier on. We are relying on it a
2 lot more, and, consequently, that's paid off a lot
3 more in the last four to five months.

4 But that was a particularly complex meeting,
5 and the transcript turned out to be really vital.

6 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you.

7 We have an item here that we finally have
8 some material to discuss, and that's the membership
9 drive.

10 And maybe, Jim, you can give an update on
11 that.

12 I have prevailed on Dan McDonald, who was
13 chair at our last membership drive subcommittee, to
14 help us with this recruitment effort.

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, we have five
16 applications in hand.

17 At the request of Richard, we sent out
18 applications to all of the museum volunteers, and
19 Richard has indicated that there were one or more who
20 were interested. So we may get one or more additional

99

1 applications from that.

2 We requested that they send them in by fax
3 or mail by the end of this week.

4 And then John had also taken some
5 applications to the university, and, John, I guess you
6 indicated that there may be one or two applicants.

7 MR. ALLMAN: So by the end of the week we
8 are looking at, because tonight was one point.

9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, I think it's
10 flexible, based on when the subcommittee wants to
11 review the applicants.

12 MR. ALLMAN: So that's a RAB imposed
13 deadline then.

14 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I think so.

15 Dan?

16 MR. MC DONALD: We plan to meet -- the
17 committee will consist of myself, Clinton Loftman, Tom
18 Thompson and Chris Shirley -- we plan to meet sometime
19 between November 5th and November 11th. Those are the
20 dates. Chris is out of town until the 4th. We would

100

1 like to either meet once to go over the applications,
2 or at least schedule a conference call among us.
3 At some point, it depends on the scope of
4 work, we will make our recommendations to the full RAB
5 for the November 18th meeting.

6 MS. VEDAGIRI: Is this only for TI or
7 Hunter's Point as well?

8 MR. MC DONALD: Just for TI.

9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So the recommendations
10 will be made at the November meeting. I think this
11 was the way it worked last time, by the end of,
12 essentially by the end of the November meeting night.

13 MR. MC DONALD: I believe we asked for the
14 concurrence of the RAB of the slate that we
15 recommended, in the last round of the membership
16 drive, and I believe at the conclusion of that, there
17 was a vote by the RAB community members to accept that
18 slate, and then those members were named officially
19 and notifications were sent out by Jim.

20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So we would need to

101

1 many active RAB members there are, and tonight we have
2 had a good turnout, 13 or 14.

3 The guideline had been established to top
4 out at 20.

5 MR. ALDRICH: So about six.

6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Dan?

7 MR. MC DONALD: We made a recommendation
8 last time to accept a slate of new members that
9 exceeded such, that the count of the new members and
10 the existing members exceeded the recommended level of
11 the RAB, which was 20 community members, with the
12 theory that there would be some attrition from that
13 new group, and that we would end up with about the
14 right amount.

15 We have gone now through two rounds of
16 membership drives, and that's been the case in both,
17 where we have accepted applications from some number,
18 some percentage of which have accepted and come to at
19 least one or more meetings.

20 The attrition has been usually 25 percent

103

1 include that on the November meeting agenda, and by
2 the end of the meeting the decision will be final.

3 MR. MC DONALD: That's my understanding.

4 MR. ALLMAN: Could I ask: So then would you
5 say that a good -- I have one person that's still on
6 vacation that wanted to fill one out, but she left
7 before I got mine in the mail. So November 5th would
8 be a good final working date?

9 MR. MC DONALD: Well, the application would
10 be received by Jim's office and then forwarded to us.

11 MR. ALLMAN: Does it need to be, though?
12 Can it just be faxed to you if the person's schedule
13 doesn't agree?

14 MR. MC DONALD: I think if you could get it
15 to Jim by the 3rd of November, I think that would be
16 appropriate.

17 MR. ALLMAN: Okay.

18 MR. ALDRICH: Is there an upper limit to the
19 number that can be on the slate for approval?

20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Well, it depends on how

102

1 even upon acceptance and 50 percent within a year,
2 that's why we overshot.

3 So my sense would be that we would look for
4 somewhere between eight and ten.

5 MS. SMITH: 12.

6 MR. MC DONALD: Yes.

7 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, it looks like the
8 trend is, you will probably get approximately that
9 many applications.

10 MR. MC DONALD: Well, we had over 50
11 applications last drive and over 60 applications the
12 previous drive.

13 So the winnowing out process was much more
14 intense.

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So I will continue to
16 collect any applications.

17 I may get some more from Richard's museum
18 volunteers and John.

19 MS. CASSA: Can I just ask a process
20 question: Did you contact the people that weren't

104

1 accepted for the last 50 to see if they are still
2 interested?
3 MR. MC DONALD: I think we had done that
4 from the first group to the second, and we found that
5 so many people had moved, so many people did not
6 respond, that the effort to do so was considered
7 largely ineffective. It was deemed it was a much more
8 straightforward process to put it out in the paper
9 again, to go through the same, on the theory that if
10 someone saw it this time around who had responded the
11 previous time, they would find us rather than us
12 trying to finding them.

13 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Thank you, Dan, for taking
14 that on for us.

15 MR. MC DONALD: I doubt I will be at the
16 next meeting because of business travel, but I think
17 the committee will make its recommendations
18 nevertheless.

19 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I guess, related to the
20 membership, I think the way to put it is that as of

105

1 February of next year, I will have served as co-chair
2 for two years, and it's time also to think about
3 electing a new co-chair.

4 I think, Paul, did you have an announcement
5 to make along similar lines?

6 MR. HEHN: I think that probably because of
7 some upcoming operation that I'm going to have, and
8 also I would like to stay in the role of technical
9 subcommittee chair, I think that I would be willing to
10 step down as the alternative community co-chair. If
11 there are two other RAB members who would be
12 interested in taking on those roles, it would be
13 great.

14 It may even be two potential members who
15 might share the community co-chair role, just to sort
16 of even out the amount of tasks that get involved with
17 that, maybe somebody might take it on for a month at a
18 time and alternate, or two months at a time and
19 alternate. So there might be some options to think
20 about, maybe two people pairing that particular role.

106

1 But I will not be scanning as an alternate
2 community co-chair again on the upcoming election.

3 CO-CHAIR NELSON: So I guess considering
4 that, and if you would like to serve, certainly we
5 would like to know that, so that when the new members
6 are installed, we can have our election.

7 MR. MC DONALD: What months do you propose
8 to convene an election?

9 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I think February.

10 MR. MC DONALD: Okay.

11 MR. HEHN: Do you want to have the election
12 in February or do you want to have the installation in
13 February?

14 CO-CHAIR NELSON: The installation in
15 January. I'm trying to think --

16 MR. HEHN: Or January, or something like
17 that.

18 CO-CHAIR NELSON: My thinking is, December
19 is an active month, aside from the RAB work.

20 The new members will really be installed in

107

1 January, and maybe in February, a backup to a level
2 where elections might be a little more workable than
3 January.

4 In terms of having elections, it's open to
5 discussion, certainly.

6 Okay. I think that's it under
7 organizational business, unless there are some items
8 to bring up among the RAB, any announcements or news.

9 Well, I think I can think of one
10 announcement, and that is that Richard Hansen was
11 elected to ask the Treasure Island Development Task
12 Force for time on the agenda in the November meeting,
13 so that the RAB technical committee can make a
14 presentation with regard to our comments on the draft
15 final RI, so we will be planning for that.

16 MR. VAN WYE: Who is going to make the
17 presentation?

18 MR. HANSEN: It was mentioned to me that
19 they agreed that the presentation would be number one
20 on the agenda.

108

1 So that the meetings are at 1:00 at the
 2 Ferry Building, so if you got there at 1:00.
 3 MS. SMITH: What day?
 4 MR. VAN WYE: Wednesday the 19th.
 5 MS. SMITH: Of November?
 6 MR. HANSEN: Of November. They are really
 7 quite exciting meetings.
 8 MS. SMITH: But they accepted us.
 9 MR. HANSEN: They accept you.
 10 But one tip: The meetings are in a big,
 11 formal conference room. You can sneak in the back
 12 door and sneak out the back door, because the meetings
 13 can be interminable; but being first on the agenda is
 14 a good deal.
 15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And I think everyone is
 16 on the mailing list for the authority agendas.
 17 MR. ALLMAN: I want to point out to some
 18 people here tonight, next month we are planning to
 19 do -- I guess we will get to the proposed agenda
 20 items -- the day before the task force meeting is the

109

1 draft final RI report discussion, which I assume is
 2 the RAB's presentation, which will essentially be a
 3 dress rehearsal for the task force meeting, most
 4 likely. It will probably be the same thing twice. We
 5 don't have to duplicate work.
 6 And then we are also, we are throwing around
 7 the idea of doing some other stuff with it.
 8 So keep that in mind next month, if you want
 9 to hear some things or be involved in the discussion
 10 next month, let us know, as we are coordinating it.
 11 MR. VAN WYE: Further to the last meeting of
 12 the Treasure Island Redevelopment Authority, I was at
 13 that meeting, and just as an information item, the
 14 authority approved an RFP request for proposals for
 15 Marina development, and that should hopefully be on
 16 the street within about another week from today, and
 17 there will be a 90-day period where it will be out and
 18 then they will have bids and a negotiation process.
 19 So it looks like this little corner of the
 20 island will be the first test development here.

110

1 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Great.
 2 MR. VAN WYE: So we are very pleased.
 3 MR. ALLMAN: So that's the Redevelopment
 4 Authority, the task force?
 5 MR. VAN WYE: I think their official name is
 6 the Treasure Island Redevelopment Authority. It's the
 7 AB 699 group.
 8 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: The state laws take
 9 effect on the 1st of January.
 10 So I guess they are technically operating as
 11 a task force, but they will assume their authoritative
 12 powers in January.
 13 MR. VAN WYE: They are doing it now.
 14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, they are being
 15 consulted.
 16 CO-CHAIR NELSON: You're next.
 17 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Upcoming reports.
 18 Of course there is the draft final RI, and I
 19 guess, if additional time is needed, we would provide
 20 it, but I will leave it to the RAB community members

111

1 to decide, but it sounds like you wanted to stick to
 2 the original schedule.
 3 MR. HEHN: Can we talk about that?
 4 MR. ALLMAN: There is no reason to get a
 5 presentation on the deadline.
 6 MR. HEHN: Yes. I think we still want to do
 7 our presentation, but I think that we still want to
 8 hold the comment period open for the next 30 days as
 9 been offered by the Navy, so that we can get our
 10 comments back from the Navy on our original, our
 11 responses back from our original comments. So if we
 12 need to add additional comments to that, if we need
 13 to, or if any other people would like to do so, after
 14 the time when we actually make a presentation to the
 15 RAB, so I think that we would still like to have that
 16 extension, I believe.
 17 MR. ALLMAN: Yes, because I would rather
 18 focus on the presentation as a presentation, and the
 19 written responses separate, because some things are
 20 easier to present a slide on but maybe not verbalize

112

1 on paper.

2 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Good point. I didn't
3 understand earlier that there was a possibility of an
4 extension.

5 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, the Navy has an
6 option to ask for the regulators, and we discussed
7 this at the last meeting, I think it was at the last
8 meeting, but there seemed to be an agreement that if
9 the RAB needed additional time, we could provide it.

10 CO-CHAIR NELSON: So do I hear a motion that
11 we request that additional 30 days?

12 MR. HANSEN: That's so stated on the second
13 page of your agenda.

14 MS. SMITH: No, it's optional.

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, I wanted it
16 optional because I wasn't sure if the community
17 members would take it or not.

18 I think the regulators are still providing
19 their comments.

20 MS. CASSA: I have a letter from the Navy

113

1 that says that they requested to extend it to December
2 17.

3 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So if the regulator
4 comments are also going to be coming in at that time
5 also.

6 MR. ALLMAN: Could I ask one question
7 related to Paul's comment?

8 You said that the consultants are working on
9 the written responses for all the 27 odd pages of the
10 comments. So is that expected to be within the next
11 month maybe?

12 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Well, it was the next
13 item. Navy's request on the RI report available prior
14 to 18 November of '97.

15 MR. ALLMAN: Okay. So we will need that
16 extra month and retract some of our comments.
17 Otherwise, there is going to be a lot of duplicity.

18 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Duplication, I'm sure is
19 what you meant. Have it so reflected in the minutes.

20 MR. ALLMAN: I should watch what I say.

114

1 Thanks for correcting me.

2 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Next item was the Navy's
3 response to comments on the draft zone FOSL.

4 We are making them available and it sounds
5 like the RAB, the community members would like to
6 further discuss it at the interim meeting or possibly
7 the next general meeting.

8 And then the draft Corrective Action Plan
9 will be available in the next couple of weeks, and we
10 will have a firm date for that. Because that's a
11 primary document, we will have a 60-day comment
12 period.

13 And the draft EIS/EIR, I don't have a firm
14 date on that, but I do expect it to be released within
15 the next 60 days. I think the very latest, January,
16 but it could arrive in November or December.

17 MS. SMITH: It's difficult if it's 30 days
18 and it comes in November. You have Thanksgiving and
19 you have Christmas. It wreaks havoc with holidays.

20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And then the Site 12

115

1 additional tech memo, it will probably be after the
2 first of the year, you could actually be writing a
3 technical memo, because we will be validating the data
4 in December.

5 MR. GALANG: December, yes.

6 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And the Site 24 report
7 is out already.

8 MR. GALANG: It's already out.

9 MS. SMITH: Site 12 or 24?

10 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: It was just a technical
11 memorandum so that it will be incorporated into the
12 final RI.

13 CO-CHAIR NELSON: If we wanted to comment on
14 that, would it be appropriate?

15 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: I think if you wanted to
16 comment on that, and include that with the draft final
17 RI comments, that would be fine.

18 MS. SMITH: Do we have a copy of that
19 document, 24?

20 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Do we?

116

1 I think you probably got it because I think
 2 you're on the list.
 3 MS. SMITH: I usually am. Let me check and
 4 see if I'm on it.
 5 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I just had one item for
 6 open questions and discussion.
 7 It had been suggested that perhaps in 1998
 8 we move our RAB meetings to Wednesday nights. Any
 9 reaction?
 10 MR. ALDRICH: The third Wednesday?
 11 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Just Wednesday nights.
 12 First or third or second or fourth.
 13 MS. SMITH: First or third or second or
 14 fourth?
 15 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Right, first or third.
 16 MR. ALLMAN: Technical sub.
 17 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Interim would be the first
 18 Monday. The regular RAB meeting, the second meeting.
 19 MR. ALLMAN: Monday or Wednesday?
 20 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Wednesday had been

117

1 suggested.
 2 MR. ALLMAN: Does anybody have any conflicts
 3 with other RAB meetings, since Martha and Chris aren't
 4 here?
 5 MS. LUPTON: The Hunzer's Point RAB meeting
 6 is the fourth of every month.
 7 MR. ALLMAN: We avoid the fourth Wednesday.
 8 If we reverse the technical and the main
 9 meeting and have the main meeting early, it's also
 10 possible to get the main meeting in before the task
 11 force meeting, which is one of the conveniences, but
 12 not a big deal.
 13 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Is there any interest in
 14 resolving the issue or should we bring it up again at
 15 the next meeting?
 16 MS. SMITH: We should stay off of the task
 17 force meeting.
 18 MR. VAN WYE: Let me suggest something.
 19 Everybody consider it during the next month
 20 and take a look at your other obligations.

118

1 MR. ALLMAN: Maybe put that on the agenda
 2 for next month?
 3 MS. CASSA: Can you explain what this
 4 presentation is?
 5 MR. ALLMAN: It's apparently -- I wasn't
 6 here at the end of last year -- apparently we did one
 7 last year. Paul and Pat did a presentation.
 8 MR. HEHN: Dog and pony show.
 9 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: That's right. The
 10 community members of the RAB at the end of the comment
 11 period on the draft RI made a presentation at the
 12 meeting to the body at large.
 13 MR. ALLMAN: It's our 15 minutes of fame
 14 each year.
 15 MS. SMITH: And we will do it again.
 16 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. Agenda items for
 17 next month:
 18 The draft RI report presentation by the
 19 community members.
 20 If we have the CAP out, which we should, we

119

1 will have a presentation on that.
 2 As well as the petroleum issues on Yerba
 3 Buena Island.
 4 And membership and discussion of meeting
 5 dates.
 6 And then the funding. Actually, that will
 7 require at least 15 minutes.
 8 MR. VAN WYE: Okay.
 9 MR. ALDRICH: If we get as many as a dozen
 10 new members, we might want to talk about the meeting
 11 date with our new members.
 12 MS. SMITH: Just force them.
 13 MR. ALDRICH: Sorry.
 14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So our next regular
 15 meeting date is Tuesday, the 18th of November. It
 16 will probably still be up here.
 17 They are going to be removing the museum
 18 partitions down on the first floor, maybe in the next
 19 month, and that could possibly be our new meeting
 20 location, since the city wants to open that space up

120

1 for public events.
2 So it's a big open space, and it may be more
3 spacious than up here. But it will be in Building 1,
4 either up here or down there.
5 And then the December meeting is the 16th.
6 We will probably still be here in Building 1.
7 And the next interim meeting is on the 4th
8 of November. Do we want to have that here or
9 elsewhere?
10 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Any feeling if we should
11 have it at PG&E in San Francisco on the 24th floor
12 conference room?
13 MR. ALLMAN: I like it. No windows, though.
14 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: So the Tuesday 4
15 November meeting would be at PG&E.
16 And I think we moved that BCT meeting to
17 Monday the 3rd, and Martha was going to host that at
18 the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency.
19 MS. SMITH: We don't send anything to that,
20 right? She doesn't go any more to RPM/BCT meetings.

121

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Paul has been attending
2 the last couple of meetings of DTSC and Christine had
3 been attending.
4 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Usha?
5 MS. VEDAGIRI: For those of us that were
6 planning to send in the letters regarding funding for
7 Treasure Island, I'm strongly encouraging everybody to
8 complete doing it, for those who haven't, because I
9 got some responses back from the governor's office,
10 and from Nancy Pelosi's office, and I think one from
11 Feinstein's office.
12 I also understand that DTSC is sort of
13 working on responses, and I think one of the things
14 that counts is how many letters they get. So if we
15 can get it all done, I think that will help.
16 CO-CHAIR NELSON: John?
17 MR. ALLMAN: Yes. I would like to make a
18 motion on basically just to officiate -- well, it
19 depends on whether we decide to wait until we bring on
20 the new people to consider a different night or not,

122

1 but we may want to, and I could phrase it as a
2 motion -- have Jim send out, because it involves
3 regulators and contractor schedules and whatnot, maybe
4 just a sheet included in the mail that there has been
5 an interest to change the meeting night.
6 MR. VAN WYE: Is there some reason why there
7 is a proposal to change the meeting night?
8 CO-CHAIR NELSON: Well, there is some RAB
9 members that will have conflicts in 1998, Tuesday and
10 Thursday meetings.
11 MR. ALLMAN: So is that something you could
12 just put in?
13 MS. SMITH: It's basically Wednesday. It's
14 basically a choice of Wednesday.
15 I mean, Tuesday and Thursday are bad for me,
16 and they have been since the beginning.
17 MR. ALLMAN: I'm just saying, if we are
18 going to change the night, we are going to propose the
19 night change, that people involved may not be at the
20 meeting when we decide to change.

123

1 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: In the notice for
2 interim meeting, I will include a note that there has
3 been a consideration to change the meetings to
4 Wednesday.
5 MR. ALLMAN: Right. I'm just saying that
6 for the people who might not be here regularly.
7 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. And also the
8 December BCT meeting is also on Monday, the 8th of
9 December.
10 MR. GALANG: December 8th.
11 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: And then, of course, the
12 next task force meeting is Wednesday the 19th.
13 MR. VAN WYE: Who is making the presentation
14 of the Task Force on Wednesday the 19th?
15 CO-CHAIR NELSON: I think that will be
16 subject to the interim meeting.
17 Paul and I were planning on making that
18 presentation.
19 MR. ALLMAN: Ideally, it would be good to
20 have, if we decide to do this for the people that are

124

1 going to be doing the presentation for the November
2 18th meeting, but some of that may depend on people's
3 schedules, whether they can go during the business day
4 to the task force meeting, so there may be some people
5 doubling up on information.

6 We are looking at splitting up topics
7 between people as one option. We are still working on
8 the details. We have a meeting in October -- weren't
9 we talking about getting together on a Saturday,
10 November 8th?

11 CO-CHAIR NELSON: November 4th and 8th.

12 MR. ALLMAN: November 4th and 8th, yes.

13 CO-CHAIR SULLIVAN: Okay. Well, thank you
14 very much, and thanks for the great turnout tonight.

15 (The meeting adjourned at 10:10 p.m.)

16 ---o0o---

17

18

19

20

RAB Meeting No. 38 - Transcript of Proceedings - October 21, 1997

<p>- \$ -</p> <p>\$10 78:15 \$100,000 84:4 \$25,000 84:3</p>	<p>- 3 -</p> <p>3 16:3,10 17:15 23:4 46:16 30 90:5 112:8 113:11 115:17 30th 4:4 80:13 81:20 86:10 38 1:8 3rd 102:15 121:17</p>	<p>Absolutely 56:14 91:20 accept 101:17 103:8 109:9 acceptable 37:19 acceptance 104:1 accepted 103:17,18 105:1 109:8 access 96:4,8 according 7:17 73:7 account 34:14 66:4 accuracy 19:19 accurate 19:5 acknowledged 73:8 action 13:16 26:1,4 29:16 45:4 58:5 79:9 98:3,5 115:8 actions 58:10 active 82:15 103:1 107:19 activities 32:20 activity 27:19 86:12 97:12 acts 88:20 actual 54:2,17 actually 18:7 23:17 24:12 35:16 37:5 41:12 43:20 44:3 57:4,5 58:15 60:12 75:15,18 78:10 90:15 95:11 112:14 116:2 120:6 add 82:16 83:11 112:12 addenda 64:9 addition 17:19 26:2 additional 27:5,15 28:1,4, 13,18 29:1 30:12 43:11, 12 62:2 99:20 111:19 112:12 113:9,11 116:1 address 22:5 23:11 24:2 37:6 43:2 55:18 64:5 72:12 95:8 addressed 68:17 addresses 24:8 adjacent 62:18 adjourned 125:15 adjustment 80:7 adjustments 78:13 79:1 admin 66:17 administers 86:20 administration 10:7,7 86:14 administrative 24:13 68:4 admit 81:14 Admittedly 14:2 adults 36:5 ADVISORY 1:3 4:2 7:18 83:13 affect 85:18 affects 65:8 after 16:17 28:10 41:14 48:4 66:12 72:10 77:15 92:6 112:13 116:1 again 15:8 47:2 51:20 58:5 65:17 76:17 82:12 105:9 107:2 118:14 119:15 against 30:7 35:9 agencies 17:2 47:20 61:19 62:4 AGENCY 2:15 121:18 agenda 10:20 11:5,8 12:1,</p>	<p>5,8,10,10 42:2 48:9,18 79:8 102:1 108:12,20 109:13,19 113:13 119:1, 16 agendas 109:16 ago 5:5 6:19 7:15 10:2 44:15 agree 44:11 66:2,2 102:13 agreed 26:13 42:6 108:19 agreement 50:9 80:12,16 81:8,9 88:6 90:1,3,5 91:6,14 96:1 113:8 ahead 31:2 49:2 51:7 84:13 air 70:19 Alameda 5:15,17 9:7 ALDRICH 3:3 6:20,20 20:8 67:12 91:18 102:18 103:5 117:10 120:9,13 ALICE 3:7 7:4 all 6:5 9:3,5 14:14 17:7 18:4 19:8,20 26:18 31:2, 7 32:6 38:13 40:6 41:11 48:14 50:12 51:13 52:16 53:9,10,11 54:11 57:15 66:9 67:14,17 68:1 72:17 74:3 77:2 86:13 87:9 88:9 89:6 90:2 93:20 96:6,14 99:18 114:9 122:15 ALLMAN 3:4 7:16,16 12:14,16 13:1,4 15:1 18:20 19:7,10,14 40:14 41:9,11 96:20 100:7,12 102:4,11,17 109:17 111:3 112:4,17 114:6,15,20 117:16,19 118:2,7 119:1, 5,13 121:13 122:17 123:11,17 124:5,19 125:12 allow 21:7 35:6 38:8 83:13 allowable 36:3 84:16,17 allowed 32:3,10 37:1,2 allows 28:12 almost 52:12 along 6:4 49:11 54:7 57:11 60:11 66:9 71:17 75:13 78:19 95:3 98:17 106:5 already 41:14 49:8 64:13, 14 95:10 116:7,8 Alternate 3:6 106:18,19 107:1 alternative 8:8 106:10 alternatives 46:4 47:16 always 93:6 ambient 73:5 74:16 AMELIA 2:6 ammunition 34:1 among 67:9 101:2 108:8 amount 31:5 44:16 78:14 80:1 88:18 103:14 106:16 amounts 26:10 analysis 39:9 46:3 47:9 54:18 85:19</p>
<p>- ' -</p> <p>'93 8:14 '94 8:14,15 72:18 '95 72:9 '96 39:15 41:7 45:18 71:15 '97 13:12 15:9 39:16,18 40:4 72:6,10,14 97:4 114:14 '98 78:9,15 79:3,4</p>	<p>- 4 -</p> <p>4 22:11,15 24:2 25:16 26:17 39:17 47:1 63:18 64:12 65:18 69:2,6 70:2, 3,8,12,13 71:6 78:7 97:3 121:14 40 22:15 90:5 400 36:10 463 32:2,10,13 36:4 4th 100:20 121:7 125:11, 12</p>	<p>- 5 -</p> <p>5 16:13 47:4 71:7 50 104:1,10 105:1 5th 60:11 100:19 102:7</p>	<p>- 6 -</p> <p>6 25:16 26:8,15,20 29:10, 17 47:7 60:5 62:15 68:15 70:15 60 92:4 104:11 115:15 60-day 115:11 699 16:6 111:7</p>
<p>- 1 -</p> <p>1 1:6 23:4 30:1 32:14 69:8 86:14 121:3,6 100 92:5,6 105 13:1 108 13:1 10:10 125:15 11th 100:19 12 25:15 27:3,6 28:7,13,19 30:11 34:3 37:4 41:14 43:18 50:20 62:2,18 65:18 79:8 83:3 104:5 115:20 116:9 13 103:2 14 32:15 103:2 15 119:13 120:7 15,000 32:15 16th 11:15 12:17 121:5 17 114:2 18 114:14 18th 42:17 101:5 120:15 125:2 19 13:12 1940s 34:7 1960s 33:18 1992 40:16 1995 75:8 1996 41:2,4 1997 1:4 73:16 75:7 86:10 1998 117:7 123:9 19th 109:4 124:12,14 1:00 109:1,2 1st 111:9</p>	<p>- 7 -</p> <p>7 47:10,11 58:6 73:16 79:6 7139 1:20 7:00 1:5</p>	<p>- 8 -</p> <p>8 47:15 8:00 41:14 8:50 77:13 8th 124:8,10 125:10,11,12</p>	<p>- 9 -</p> <p>9 58:6 82:16 90-day 110:17 9:15 77:15</p>
<p>- 2 -</p> <p>2 15:19 23:4 69:8 20 29:4,6 50:19 90:5 103:4,11 21 1:4 216 32:4,7,10 33:4 36:4 225 29:8,9 24 64:18 116:6,9,19 24th 121:11 25 98:13,15 103:20 27 64:18 114:9 2ND 1:6</p>	<p>- A -</p> <p>AB 111:7 able 18:18 20:11 49:2 56:7 63:16 69:19 83:2 96:6 97:5 above 32:13 33:4</p>	<p>- 9 -</p> <p>9 58:6 82:16 90-day 110:17 9:15 77:15</p>	<p>- A -</p> <p>AB 111:7 able 18:18 20:11 49:2 56:7 63:16 69:19 83:2 96:6 97:5 above 32:13 33:4</p>

analyticals 60:14
 announcement 5:12 18:18
 21:9 106:4 108:10
 announcements 21:5 108:8
 anomaly 33:8
 another 18:8,20 44:1 51:5
 58:12 63:2 79:12 93:9,11
 110:16
 answer 17:16 37:10 52:1
 60:13
 answerable 87:19
 answers 52:3
 anticipate 6:12
 anxious 57:17
 any 13:11 14:5 17:12
 20:1,2,19 21:8 25:2,8,9
 27:17,18 30:10 48:7
 49:19 57:17 58:9 59:9
 72:18 77:9 78:10 79:4
 80:4 83:8,16 90:16 91:9,
 19 97:5,16 104:16 108:8
 112:13 117:8 118:2,13
 121:10,20
 anybody 9:10,13 69:18
 77:7 118:2
 Anyone 10:3 17:12 22:20
 23:1
 Anything 9:17 17:9,16
 28:4 58:8 84:12 121:19
 anywhere 70:16
 apparently 71:12 84:10
 119:5,6
 appear 28:8 29:15 44:13
 appeared 44:14
 appears 50:2 78:7
 appended 62:19
 appendices 47:18 48:14
 50:6,12 54:4 66:11 69:7
 70:4,8
 Appendix 71:3
 Applause 9:8
 applicants 9:11 100:6,11
 application 84:2 102:9
 applications 99:16,18
 100:1,5 101:1 103:17
 104:9,11,11,16
 applied 84:4
 apply 61:16
 appreciate 9:6 65:10
 appreciated 53:3
 approach 35:1
 appropriate 19:4 45:14
 47:9 72:15 82:5 102:16
 116:14
 approval 10:20 13:8 91:8
 102:19
 approve 11:16 20:6,8
 approved 15:10 20:10 46:5
 48:4 110:14
 approving 98:6,6
 approximately 32:2 104:8
 April 13:17 72:6 73:16,16
 76:13,18 77:1 98:7,18
 aquatic 30:5
 architecture 7:4
 AREA 1:6 22:15 24:3
 28:20 29:7 33:13,14 34:3

76:2 78:20
 areas 27:11,17 37:19 38:7
 46:2 47:5 51:4 65:19
 aren't 118:3
 around 4:13 7:8,11 20:4
 31:11 35:15 78:3 105:10
 110:6
 arrangement 80:19 81:11
 arrive 115:16
 aside 20:15 107:19
 ask 48:1 49:3 50:11 63:13
 102:4 104:19 108:11
 113:6 114:6
 asked 26:12 73:12 101:13
 asking 64:11 69:20 70:6
 80:20
 Assembly 16:6
 Assessment 21:15,16 22:4
 30:15 31:15 40:17
 assets 82:3
 assist 83:14
 assistance 83:12
 associated 50:16 53:12
 56:9
 Associates 2:12,13
 assume 110:1 111:11
 assumption 19:10 55:17
 attachment 11:5
 attend 80:12
 attending 122:1,3
 attributed 75:17
 attrition 103:12,20
 August 8:14 13:7,8,12,12
 15:9 39:7,12,13,14,15,16,
 18 97:3
 authoritative 111:11
 authority 109:16 110:12,14
 111:4,6
 automobile 29:6
 available 28:7 49:6 52:15
 53:20 60:15 93:6 94:14
 114:13 115:4,9
 avoid 118:7
 aware 97:19
 away 74:10
 awkward 96:12

- B -

back 6:12 11:2,11,16,17
 12:5 21:10,18 31:19 34:7
 38:4,8 42:9 43:10 72:2,
 17 76:3 82:12 85:3 95:6
 109:11,12 112:10,11
 122:9
 background 9:18,19 46:1,
 13 68:14 74:16
 backgrounds 46:16
 backup 108:1
 backwards 35:18 36:1
 bad 5:13,13,13,18 123:15
 BALBONI 1:20
 ball 61:13
 BARRY 2:20
 base 4:4 81:7,18 86:2,7,14
 87:7,8,18 88:7,14,17
 89:2,6 96:7

based 27:9 36:1,9 51:16
 88:12 92:12 100:10
 baseline 71:18 72:10
 baselines 71:13
 bases 67:1
 basic 37:1
 basically 27:16,20 28:17
 35:5,18 41:5 64:7,11,20
 67:14 69:11 71:9 80:17
 86:9 87:14 89:11 122:18
 123:13,14
 basis 47:3
 battery 34:13
 Bay 76:2 78:20
 Bayview 10:11
 BCT 63:9 73:1 83:7,10
 121:16 124:8
 BEC 2:4
 becomes 24:18
 before 41:16 68:17 77:19
 81:13 95:15 102:7
 109:20 118:10
 beginning 7:1,9,11 8:5,7,13
 20:15 123:16
 behalf 89:11
 behind 64:1
 believe 41:2,4 48:19
 101:13,16 112:16
 belongs 89:7
 beneficial 63:19
 benefit 91:13
 Berkeley 7:12,20
 best 48:2
 better 69:5 79:15
 between 26:9 32:10 59:10,
 11,16 75:19 79:9 80:10,
 18 81:11 90:9 91:8
 100:19 104:4 125:7
 beyond 21:12
 bids 110:18
 big 12:18 25:4 33:13
 109:10 118:12 121:2
 bill 16:4,6
 bills 16:6
 binders 23:6
 bit 21:11 26:9 45:4
 blood 35:20 36:2
 blue 32:6
 blur 61:7
 BOARD 1:3 4:2 7:18 8:2
 10:9,12 79:19 82:9,11
 95:8
 Boards 83:13
 body 119:12
 boring 50:1
 boss 87:7
 both 26:19 28:14 44:10
 62:11,12,13 66:17 82:10,
 14 103:16
 bound 67:2
 box 67:14
 BRAC 5:10 22:10
 BRAD 3:17 7:7 8:17
 35:11 94:4,11 95:18
 break 10:18 41:16 77:11,
 13
 brief 44:19,19 79:7

briefing 78:18
 briefly 45:6
 bring 54:9 61:11 63:2
 82:13 108:8 118:14
 122:19
 bringing 63:4
 brought 62:14 67:5 92:16
 BROWN 2:19 12:11 32:9
 33:4
 Bruno 83:19,19
 budget 78:13
 Buena 17:20 23:14 74:16
 87:1 120:3
 BUILDING 1:6 29:8,9
 31:13 109:2 121:3,6
 built 10:10 33:19
 bulk 45:19
 BEC 2:4
 bullet 16:17
 bunch 71:18
 Bunker 34:3
 bunkers 34:2
 burden 34:11
 buried 68:18 69:3,5
 business 14:1 105:16 108:7
 125:3
 butyl 21:12

- C -

Cal-EPA 72:3
 calculate 36:1,2
 calculating 31:20
 calculation 31:19 35:19
 36:11 39:9
 calculations 38:17 40:9
 calibration 57:12
 California 21:11 71:20
 79:18 81:9
 call 101:2
 called 34:3 80:11 86:19
 came 60:12 72:2 79:11
 85:16
 can't 55:12 72:8
 cannot 36:18
 CAP 44:20 45:4,17,19
 46:9,20 47:19 48:3,13
 49:7 50:14,15 51:9 56:20
 58:5,7,15,16,16 59:1
 60:7,8 119:20
 CAPS 59:9
 captain 86:17
 car 34:13
 cards 96:20
 care 88:14
 Career 85:14,16
 Caretaker 86:19,20
 carry 91:19
 carryover 15:2
 Casa 18:2
 case 33:9 63:7 74:15
 88:15 103:16
 cases 55:6 65:1 74:5,11
 CASSA 2:16 5:8,8 8:20
 15:17,20 16:12,17 17:11
 21:4,7 22:3,6 40:1 59:19
 62:5 69:20 70:5 72:12
 73:9,18 74:19 76:17 77:5

82:19 85:1,7,10,13 90:11
 93:15 94:16 95:1 97:7,19
 104:19 113:20 119:3
 catalogued 67:13
 catch 64:2
 cause 30:16
 caveat 44:6
 Center 21:14 22:3
 CERCLA 23:8 24:15
 25:18 45:9,13,16 49:5
 56:20 58:7,18 59:1 60:2
 67:20 68:3,5 97:2
 certain 56:1
 certainly 22:20 57:20 61:4
 62:1 92:19 107:4 108:5
 certificate 54:17
 cetera 82:9
 chair 8:9 99:13 106:9
 chance 75:1
 change 18:19 20:12 30:14
 44:7 51:18 67:7 78:10
 98:13,15 123:5,7,18,19,20
 124:3
 changed 51:16 97:7
 changes 20:12 72:9
 chapter 93:15,19
 characteristics 46:17
 characterization 39:20 40:1
 charge 76:10 86:17,18
 87:14,17
 check 13:2 16:15 71:4
 117:3
 checker 17:5
 Chein 36:20 72:4,14
 chemicals 23:7 26:14 29:18
 chemist 7:19
 child 35:20
 children 35:14,15,17 36:5
 37:4
 chip 33:11
 choice 123:14
 chosen 76:1
 Chris 100:18,20 118:3
 Christine 122:2
 Christmas 115:19
 chronologically 67:13
 circulating 85:18
 cite 36:18 92:3
 Citizens 92:11
 City 7:12 10:1 18:2 28:8,9
 44:10 88:6,10,10,11,13,19
 89:2,3,8,18 90:10,11 91:8
 93:1 96:2,4 120:20
 civilian 87:12,20
 civilians 88:2,3
 clarification 53:8
 clarified 57:5 58:5 74:9
 clarify 4:8 57:9 86:6
 clean 38:7
 cleanup 5:7,10 20:18 22:10
 51:10 78:19,20 81:5 82:3
 83:15 86:13 87:10,15
 92:18,19 93:2,19
 cleared 41:19
 climate 46:15
 CLINTON 3:8 100:17
 close 50:19 54:9

closed 4:4,5 86:9
 closeout 65:8
 closure 79:7 86:2
 CLUB 3:15 6:9,11
 CO 90:16
 CO's 90:17
 Co-Chair 2:4 3:6,11 4:1
 5:1,2,3,3 8:8,12,15,16,16
 9:9 10:3,14,16,19 11:7,
 12,14,17 12:7,15,20 13:2,
 5,13,18,20 14:2,5,16
 15:4,8,12,14 16:9,16,19
 17:1,9,12 18:7,9,13,16
 19:15 20:1,5,9,11 21:2,6
 22:1,7,9,17,19 23:5,9,16
 24:1,11 25:6 29:9 33:2,
 15 34:15 35:16 36:13
 37:5,10 38:2,11,16,19
 39:6,11,14,16 40:2 41:5,
 10 42:5,8,12,18,19 43:5,
 14,17 44:11,17,18 48:8,
 15,18 49:1,15 50:18
 51:20 52:1,7,18,20 53:7,
 8,19 54:5,8 55:1 56:11,
 15 57:1,3,9 58:14 59:17,
 20 60:20 61:1,4 62:11
 64:6 66:14 67:11,17 68:8
 69:10,15 70:9,11,18 71:5,
 8 72:20 77:3,7,14,19
 79:14 80:5,9 81:2,14
 82:20 83:4 84:9,20 85:5
 86:3 87:4,12 88:1 89:6,
 14 90:4,15 91:1,3,10,20
 92:15 93:4 94:4 95:9,13,
 19 96:18 98:1,8 99:6,15
 100:9,14 101:9,20 102:20
 103:6 104:7,15 105:13,19
 106:1,3,10,15 107:2,3,9,
 14,18 109:15 111:1,8,14,
 16,17 113:2,5,10,15
 114:3,12,18 115:2,20
 116:6,10,13,15,20 117:5,
 11,15,17,20 118:13
 119:9,16 120:14 121:10,
 14 122:1,4,16 123:8
 124:1,7,11,15 125:11,13
 co-located 60:9
 Coalition 6:10
 coded 32:5
 coincide 35:14
 collect 28:13 68:10 104:16
 collected 30:11,12,13 52:13
 collection 27:3 88:9
 color 22:14,17 32:5,9
 combination 65:20
 combining 43:15
 come 23:3 43:10 61:20
 67:4 68:16 76:3 80:2,3
 94:6 96:15 97:15 103:18
 comes 54:2 95:5 115:18
 comfortable 50:8
 coming 7:18 28:18 47:10
 59:9 83:12 114:4
 commander 86:18 87:4,16
 commanding 86:16 90:18
 comment 20:14 24:2
 25:14,14,18,19 26:4 27:1,

2,4 29:3,3,4,20 30:1,19,
 20 43:12 64:9 65:3,9
 73:2 76:5 78:2 85:1
 112:8 114:7 115:11
 116:13,16 119:10
 comment-by-comment
 64:20
 commenting 85:3,12
 comments 11:7 13:11,13
 14:6,9 15:9,18 17:13
 20:3,17 22:12 24:6,6,7,20
 25:7,7,9 42:11 48:2
 51:1,13 55:7 61:14,15
 62:7,9,16 63:11,18 64:9,
 15,19 65:1,14,18 66:3,15
 67:2,15 68:15 70:7 72:2,
 2 73:1,9,11,19,20 74:1,5
 75:1,2,17 77:4 86:2 92:6
 94:10 108:14 112:10,11,
 12 113:19 114:4,10,16
 115:3 116:17
 commissioner 7:12
 Committee 92:11 100:17
 105:17 108:13
 Commodore 6:8
 COMMUNITY 3:2,6,11
 4:14 5:3 6:18,20 7:2,8,
 14 8:2,4,7,8,16 66:15
 67:2,9 101:17 103:11
 106:10,15 107:2 111:20
 113:16 115:5 119:10,19
 company 8:10
 Compensation 10:5
 compiled 40:9 59:6,15
 complain 71:11
 complete 13:3,17 122:8
 completed 5:7
 completion 64:16
 complex 23:18 99:4
 complicated 98:12,12
 complicates 68:4
 compounds 56:1
 concentration 73:5
 concentrations 30:13 45:11
 73:4
 concern 46:2 47:6 54:10
 67:9 82:10 93:14
 concerned 25:18 29:4 63:3,
 8 66:7
 concerning 13:11 24:2
 concerns 25:14 27:2 30:2,
 20 61:12,19 65:12
 conclusion 101:16
 concurrence 101:14
 conditions 47:13
 conducted 31:8
 CONFERENCE 1:6 101:2
 109:11 121:12
 confident 14:12
 confirm 28:1,5 57:19
 conflicts 42:20 118:2 123:9
 congratulations 9:3
 connect 49:2
 consensus 53:1 56:12
 74:14
 consequently 31:12 34:9
 45:15 99:2

conservative 35:1
 consider 43:15 118:19
 122:20
 considerable 27:4
 consideration 62:4 124:3
 considered 76:18 105:6
 considering 107:3
 consist 100:17
 consistent 14:20
 construction 9:20
 consultant 8:9 10:5
 consultants 114:8
 consulted 111:15
 consulting 8:10
 contact 87:9 90:12 91:2
 104:20
 contained 34:6 70:15
 contains 45:19 48:13
 contaminants 25:19 26:3,5,
 8,19 29:11,12,15 34:10
 51:4
 contamination 65:19
 contents 69:11 70:1
 contingent 28:18
 continue 6:3 26:13 29:18
 104:15
 Continued 3:1
 continuing 26:18
 contractor 89:12 123:3
 Control 5:9 71:11 72:3
 79:16,18,19
 convene 107:8
 conveniences 118:11
 conventional 16:6
 conversation 73:7
 conversations 28:9
 conversion 87:7,8
 converting 53:5
 cooperative 88:6 90:4 96:1
 coordinating 110:10
 copies 11:1,2 22:15 90:2
 copy 12:12,19 23:2 90:13
 97:1 116:18
 core 92:1
 corner 110:19
 correct 54:3 58:14 73:2
 84:20
 correcting 115:1
 correction 19:2
 corrections 15:18
 corrective 26:1,4 29:16
 45:4 58:5 115:8
 correctly 16:15 65:19 86:8
 cost 47:14
 could 6:1 35:2 37:2,3 42:6
 53:4 59:16 65:13 71:19
 72:16,18 74:11 77:11
 84:4,12 90:2,12 95:2,7
 102:4,14 113:9 114:6
 115:16 116:2 120:19
 123:1,11
 couldn't 89:11
 count 103:9
 counterpart 91:3
 counts 122:14
 couple 5:6 15:17 16:13
 21:4 44:14 74:5 78:17

79:1 86:3,5 115:9 122:2
 course 111:18 124:11
 cover 41:15 45:13
 covered 83:9
 covers 45:8 46:14
 criteria 47:13 84:7
 criticized 75:16
 CSR 1:20
 curious 71:8
 current 67:6
 currently 6:9 8:7 9:20
 10:10 18:3 53:15 91:7
 92:5
 curve 64:1
 cut 31:16
 cutoff 35:13

- D -

DALE 3:13 7:10 13:15
 36:13 68:8 79:14
 Dale's 83:4
 Dan 6:17 92:11 99:12
 100:15 103:6 105:13
 DANIEL 3:9
 DARLENE 2:19 20:11
 data 22:14 27:3,16,18
 28:5,13,14 29:1 30:10,11,
 12,17 31:2,6,7 32:6,6
 35:3 38:13,14 40:9,20
 41:3,20 43:15,15,18 44:2,
 3,4,6,6,9,12 48:9,10,11,
 14,16 49:4,7,7,11,14,17,
 19 50:2,4,12,16 52:10,13,
 19 53:1,11,19 55:2,4,10,
 20 56:2,5,8,13 57:10,11,
 18,20 58:4 60:1 62:15,
 17,17 116:3
 date 30:3,4,13 35:2 39:6
 40:8,13,15 66:14,19
 89:17 92:4 102:8 115:10,
 14 120:11,15
 dated 97:3
 dates 39:1,5 100:20 120:5
 day 13:9,10 68:18 74:2
 109:3,20 125:3
 day-to-day 87:17
 days 6:19 92:4 112:8
 113:11 115:15,17
 de 18:2
 deadline 100:13 112:5
 deal 48:13 58:6 109:14
 118:12
 dealing 68:3 96:9
 debris 34:5,10
 December 44:4 72:2 95:16
 107:18 114:1 115:16
 116:4,5 121:5 124:8,9,10
 decide 95:7 112:1 122:19
 123:20 124:20
 decision 34:16 73:3 74:12
 102:2
 deemed 105:7
 defense 81:16
 Defense-State 80:11
 deferred 13:7
 define 8:14 47:5

delegate 97:10
 delineated 65:20
 delivery 89:4
 dense 31:5
 Department 5:9 71:11 72:3
 79:16,17,18
 depend 125:2
 depending 25:11,13
 depends 101:3 102:20
 122:19
 describe 48:10 89:12
 design 48:5
 designed 45:13
 desired 92:15
 detail 47:12
 detailed 36:11 47:9 48:5
 83:20 84:10
 details 77:9 83:16 125:8
 detected 26:11,20
 determine 47:8 82:5
 determined 89:17
 determining 75:20
 developed 61:16
 developer 10:1 56:4
 development 9:20 108:11
 110:15,20
 devote 93:10
 did 7:17 19:1 27:12 39:9,
 20 49:3 62:6,7,9 71:11
 80:7 83:1 104:20 105:5
 106:4 119:6,7
 didn't 11:1 14:2 18:14
 23:11,14 27:13 35:10
 74:7 83:3 98:9,20 113:2
 different 36:19 40:10,10
 59:2,4,8,9,9 69:7 71:18
 75:20 94:14 122:20
 difficult 35:9 38:4 115:17
 digest 75:1
 digging 35:15
 direction 35:4 65:4 74:13,
 15 78:8
 directly 90:7 91:12
 disagree 66:3
 discovered 34:4 45:12
 discrete 60:3
 discuss 42:16 43:6,8 61:4
 77:10 99:8 115:6
 discussed 63:9 76:16,20
 79:7 82:7 91:11 92:19
 113:6
 discusses 46:17
 discussing 19:9,20 23:6
 discussion 10:20 15:20
 21:8 26:9 38:12 43:16
 48:19 49:16 61:2 62:17
 64:12 69:14 72:18 76:15
 78:5 83:20 96:17 97:9
 98:12,13 108:5 110:1,9
 117:6 120:4
 discussions 25:13 68:6
 dispersed 33:5,6
 disposal 34:5,7 89:5 93:18
 distribution 89:10 94:18
 95:4
 District 10:11
 divided 46:9

document 45:5 46:5 47:17
 51:18 53:6 56:6 62:8
 65:9 66:16 67:2,3,16
 68:11 69:5,18 71:2 72:5,
 8,15 73:10 76:7 79:5
 85:2,12,15,17 89:20 90:6,
 9 93:3 95:1,5 97:3,6,13,
 18 115:11 116:19
 documentation 39:8 76:4
 documenting 61:18
 documents 12:2 40:11
 49:18 50:3,5 56:3 58:16
 59:2,3,11 67:18 68:1,19
 86:13 92:14 93:5 94:5,10
 DOD 81:11
 doesn't 20:2 28:8 44:13
 80:2,3 102:13 121:20
 dog 53:5 119:8
 doing 8:10 14:17 31:19
 75:12 76:10 88:20 110:7
 111:13 122:8 125:1
 dollar 78:14
 don't 4:17 5:18 9:9,13
 12:3,3 17:16 18:10,11
 19:3 23:3 25:2 27:17
 28:3,4 34:19 36:8 50:8,
 11 52:4,14 57:10,14
 59:12 64:3 67:8 68:16
 77:20 79:4 83:8,16 85:11
 90:5,7 96:13 110:5
 115:13 121:19
 DONALD 3:9 6:17 100:16
 101:8,13 102:3,9,14
 103:7 104:6,10 105:3,15
 107:7,10
 done 17:11 31:10,15 32:5
 39:11 41:1,2,7 47:2
 64:14 75:5 98:16 105:3
 122:15
 door 109:12,12
 doubling 125:5
 doubt 105:15
 down 4:5 27:2 29:7 39:3
 54:19 96:14 97:9 106:10
 120:18 121:4
 downgraded 80:1
 dozen 120:9
 draft 12:12 20:13 22:11
 24:5 25:1 28:17 31:5
 38:20 39:7,12,17,17 40:5
 44:20 49:9 51:1 53:2,10,
 12,13 57:5 61:2,9 65:9
 73:10 78:2 79:10 85:2,17
 92:2,6 95:12,15 97:2,7
 108:14 110:1 111:18
 115:3,8,13 116:16
 119:11,18
 drawings 56:15
 dress 110:3
 drip 31:11
 drive 99:9,13 101:16
 104:11,12
 driven 30:4,4 35:16 36:4
 driver 37:13,17
 drives 103:16
 dropped 32:4 49:20
 DSMOA 80:11,16

DTSC 2:16 5:15,17 15:5
 16:1 73:10 82:9,10
 122:2,12
 DTSC's 12:12
 due 42:17 72:2
 dumped 34:13
 duplicate 110:5
 Duplication 114:18
 duplicity 114:17
 DUQUE 2:6
 during 33:14,20 34:1 45:9
 91:6 118:19 125:3

- E -

E-mail 85:13
 each 20:16 23:5 46:4,19
 47:6,11,16 91:16 96:19
 119:14
 earlier 43:8 62:15 67:1
 82:20 98:20 99:1 113:3
 early 6:19 45:9,18 97:1
 118:9
 easier 27:2 38:7 91:15
 112:20
 easily 41:19
 easy 62:18 67:15
 EBS 2:6 24:12
 eco 51:8
 ecological 47:1
 ecology 46:14
 ecotoxicity 51:9
 ecotoxicological 16:14 17:3
 Ed 49:1
 EDWARD 2:11 45:2
 EFA 83:19 87:8 88:3
 effect 60:8 111:9
 effectiveness 47:14
 efficiently 46:7
 effort 9:4 99:14 105:6
 efforts 9:3,5
 eight 46:9 104:4
 EIS/EIR 92:12,14,17,20
 93:1,17 94:16 95:12,16
 115:13
 EIS/EIRs 50:7
 either 43:3 58:8 68:15
 89:16 101:1 121:4
 elaborate 77:3
 elected 108:11
 electing 106:3
 election 107:2,6,8,11
 elections 108:2,4
 else 9:17 10:3 17:9,12
 23:1 77:7
 elsewhere 121:9
 empty 88:14
 enable 46:6
 enclosure 11:18,18
 encouraging 122:7
 end 23:18 33:16 35:19
 43:19 58:15 75:4 78:1
 87:16 91:11 96:17 100:3
 7 101:11,12 102:2
 103:13 119:6,10
 ends 43:20
 engineer 45:2

engineering 48:5 86:12
 enjoyed 6:2
 enormous 94:18,19
 enough 22:19 23:2
 enter 80:13,17 81:8 82:1
 89:18
 entered 88:5
 entering 80:10
 enterprise 76:3
 entire 22:14 27:17 32:13
 entity 56:4
 envelope 12:11
ENVIRONMENTAL 1:3
 2:8 7:12,19,19 8:10
 21:15 22:4 91:11 92:1,2
 envisioned 24:15
 EPA 71:20
 equitable 80:19 81:10
 equivalent 88:18
 ERNIE 2:5 81:20
 errors 57:12,12
 escapes 85:6
 especially 50:13
 essential 84:6
 Essentially 52:12 59:15
 60:13 101:12 110:2
 established 103:3
 estate 10:1
 ether 21:12
 evaluate 84:7
 evaluation 30:2,3 49:5
 83:15 94:3
 even 18:10 26:15 27:18
 28:11 33:4 36:9 37:17,18
 55:19 59:14 104:1
 106:14,16
 evening 45:1
 event 51:17 96:19
 events 18:1 121:1
 every 31:13 91:16 96:19
 118:6
 everybody 6:2 85:15
 118:19 122:7
 everyone 45:1 109:15
 everyone's 91:13
 exact 89:17
 exactly 36:8 52:3 60:13
 63:18 70:10 84:9,15
 examines 47:11
 example 41:18 50:19 62:14
 73:3 74:19 88:15,16
 exceeded 73:4 103:9,10
 except 35:6 64:20
 exciting 109:7
 Excuse 40:14 41:9
 execution 78:9,16 79:3
 executive 46:10 70:6
 existing 4:13 103:10
 exists 86:11
 expect 28:4 30:14 47:19
 115:14
 expected 114:10
 expert 7:20
 explain 4:7 119:3
 explained 75:11 76:12,13,
 15
 explanation 75:19

extend 114:1
 extension 112:16 113:4
 extensive 89:20
 extra 11:1,2 96:7 114:16
 extract 52:18 54:12

- F -

fact 25:20 27:3 29:5 33:6
 50:20 75:16 78:17 95:11
 Fair 33:14,17
 fairly 26:11 60:3 83:7
 fame 119:13
 far 30:10 63:3,8 54:1 66:7
 76:13 97:9
 fax 100:2
 faxed 102:12
 February 13:17 70:17
 72:14 73:6 76:15 77:2
 97:8,15 98:7,10,16 106:1
 107:9,12,13 108:1
 federal 50:9 81:3 83:11,14
 85:8,17 89:7
 feel 14:12 27:20 37:18
 65:15
 feeling 121:10
 Feinstein's 122:11
 Ferry 109:2
 few 4:6 9:10 14:6 22:13
 73:20 88:4 90:2
 fewer 73:4
 FFSRA 85:9
 field 27:5 43:20 86:12
 fighting 25:16 60:6
 figure 10:17 68:11 72:8
 fill 27:17 74:17 102:6
 final 40:16 48:3,3 53:2,10,
 12,13 61:9 65:9 66:12
 78:12,12 79:12 85:19
 97:17 102:2,8 108:15
 110:1 111:18 116:12,16
 finalize 25:12
 finalized 23:17
 finally 46:3 99:7
 find 17:7 28:4 62:9 63:15
 67:15 69:19 70:14 72:16,
 18 105:11
 finding 105:12
 findings 61:8
 Fine 15:7 40:12 66:3,5,7
 116:17
 finish 14:3
 fire 25:16 60:5 88:8
 firm 78:15 115:10,13
 firms 79:3
 first 4:3 9:16 10:19 11:9
 13:5 14:14,18 16:7 22:11
 25:14 28:11 29:4 31:8
 40:13 46:10 74:20 80:15
 96:12 105:4 109:13
 110:20 116:2 117:12,13,
 15,17 120:18
 FISCO 94:17
 fits 92:18
 five 20:15 99:3,15
 flexibility 44:15
 flexible 100:10

FLOOR 1:6 61:10 98:2
 120:18 121:11
 Florin 16:4 17:17,19
 90:14,18 91:1
 focus 112:18
 follow 95:18
 follow-up 98:3
 following 97:13
 footnoted 57:13
 footnotes 55:2
 Force 108:12 109:20 110:3
 111:4,11 118:11,17
 120:12 124:12,14 125:4
 forget 77:20,20 85:10
 forgot 57:7
 form 53:16 54:14,15 55:11
 64:10,11
 forma 75:14,19
 formal 18:18 109:11
 format 55:18 78:6
 former 29:6
 formerly 50:19
 forward 61:11
 forwarded 102:10
 FOSL 22:11 23:6,18 24:2,
 12 25:12 28:17 30:6
 31:5,16 38:20 39:7,17
 63:18 64:12 65:18 78:7
 115:3
 FOSLs 68:6
 found 21:14 52:6 53:15
 68:15 70:16 72:14 78:18
 105:4
 four 12:18 14:10 32:12
 84:3 99:3
 fourth 117:12,14 118:6,7
 frame 39:14 97:17
 Francisco 6:9 10:2 88:7
 121:11,18
 frequently 75:17
 front 31:3 69:4 96:1,2,8,
 14
 FS 45:20
 fuel 58:9,20 60:10
 fuel-related 58:10
 full 4:3 94:20 101:4
 full-time 55:15
 fully 89:2
 functions 45:19 55:14 88:8
 96:6
 funding 63:14 65:6 79:4,
 16 80:2 81:1,4 82:5 84:2
 120:6 122:6
 funds 83:14
 further 18:17 25:9 30:10
 60:19 77:10 78:5 79:1
 89:19 110:11 115:6
 future 67:8 85:19
 FY 78:9,15

- G -

GALANG 2:5 79:13
 116:5,8 124:10
 garbage 34:7 88:9
 gardening 31:17 32:1,3,8,
 10 34:18,19,20 35:5,9,13,

14
 gate 96:1,2,8,15
 gee 73:13
 general 20:16 36:10,11
 46:12 60:1 74:14 78:9
 115:7
 generalized 81:16
 generally 55:7 93:17
 generate 21:8
 generated 38:15,18,19
 66:13
 generating 38:13
 geology 8:10 46:14,18
 gets 71:3
 getting 6:12 67:19 75:4
 125:9
 Gilbert 17:6,8
 Gina 80:2,4
 GIS 59:19 60:14,16
 give 39:1 48:10 63:16 97:5
 99:10
 given 31:6 34:17 44:12
 55:15 68:18 75:6
 giving 98:1
 go 4:13 6:4 14:10 20:3
 25:6 37:8 38:4 42:2
 47:20 51:7 57:17 65:11,
 14 67:12 69:8 74:12,13
 75:13 77:16,17 89:13
 94:2 96:7 101:1 105:9
 121:20 125:3
 goals 71:13
 goes 10:12 49:10 57:5
 63:20
 gone 31:1 85:13 89:1
 103:15
 good 5:13,16 9:6 41:12
 43:14 45:1 54:16 55:20
 77:17 80:5 83:5 88:15
 95:17 102:5,8 103:2
 109:14 113:2 124:19
 got 12:10,16 13:9 14:3
 23:8 34:13 58:7 71:18
 72:6 97:1 98:10,10 102:7
 109:2 117:1 122:9
 gotten 18:17 70:11
 government 4:15 50:9 81:3
 89:8
 governor 97:10
 governor's 122:9
 GPI 2:18
 grab 69:18
 great 48:13 93:14 106:13
 111:1 125:14
 greater 47:12
 grid 27:16
 GROSS 3:19 9:15,15,19
 Groundwater 21:10 29:19
 30:5,7 35:7
 group 7:14 18:3,3,5,8,10
 93:14 103:13 105:4
 111:7
 grouped 45:15 65:1
 grouping 57:14 60:9
 guess 4:17 6:15 12:18
 54:5,8 55:1 61:3,10,13
 63:1,12 67:8 77:15 93:5

94:11 98:1 100:5 105:19
 107:3 109:19 111:10,19
 guests 4:11 9:10
 guidance 12:13 36:10
 83:11 84:10 96:4 97:2,17
 guideline 103:3
 guidelines 31:11 84:16
 GUTIERREZ 2:20
 GUTIERREZ-PALMENBE
 RG 2:18

- H -

hadn't 31:4
 half 6:16 7:5 76:9
 hand 99:16
 handful 57:19
 handle 59:19 88:7
 handouts 48:15,20
 HANSEN 3:5,19 89:13
 108:10,18 109:6,9 113:12
 happen 71:5 91:9
 happens 54:17 66:12 73:18
 hard 8:13 93:12
 HARLAN 3:15 6:6,7
 hasn't 78:10 97:7,10
 haven't 14:9 53:15 70:11
 78:11,15 122:8
 having 10:10 23:18 38:6
 39:2,4 53:1 91:16 96:8
 108:4
 havoc 115:19
 hazardous 93:16,19
 he's 86:18 87:19
 head 54:19 73:11
 heading 65:5
 health 7:19 10:7 30:14
 hear 8:18 15:10 110:9
 113:10
 hearing 50:15 52:20
 HEHN 3:6 8:6,6 9:2 22:5
 43:10 44:5 52:9 54:16,20
 56:18 57:7 58:2 59:5,14
 60:12 63:1 65:10 82:7
 83:3 85:11 97:1,16,20
 106:6 107:11,16 112:3,6
 119:8
 held 73:15
 help 4:8 40:2 99:14
 122:15
 helpful 53:2 55:5 59:15
 60:17 69:2,9,17 70:3
 helps 81:5
 HENRY 3:12 8:4 19:7
 Hereby 53:5
 hesitate 92:3
 high 15:20
 higher 33:1,2,3 36:6
 historic 46:18
 historical 27:10,12,18
 32:20
 history 46:15
 HO 2:11 45:2 48:13,17
 51:11,15 52:16 54:19
 55:6,17 57:2 59:12
 hobby 29:6
 HOE 45:1

hold 112:8
 holidays 115:19
 home 10:10
 Homeless 6:10
 homework 14:3 98:9
 honestly 90:7 91:10
 hope 6:3 45:5 79:2 86:8
 hopefully 5:5 81:10 110:15
 hospital 94:17
 host 121:17
 House 17:20 63:5
 housing 18:4 23:14,14
 26:18 28:7 31:11 33:12,
 18 36:10,16 37:2,3,11,12
 41:7 44:13
 how 23:3 35:13 36:1 43:6
 55:4 65:7,8 68:11 72:8
 82:2 83:20 84:1 89:13,20
 97:9 102:20 122:14
 However 26:12 27:11
 29:11,17 49:3 51:17
 HUD 31:10 36:7 41:6
 huge 25:5 90:3
 human 30:14 47:1
 humans 30:7
 Hunter's 10:12 88:16
 101:7 118:5
 hydrogeology 8:11 46:18
 hypothesize 33:10

- I -

I've 7:8,10 8:12 94:16,17
 ID 96:20
 idea 91:9 110:7
 Ideally 124:19
 identification 46:2
 identified 26:8 29:12 31:16
 65:20
 identify 16:16 27:12
 II 27:9,13 41:6
 Illness 82:19
 imagine 93:12
 imminent 44:14
 immunoassay 66:1
 immunoassays 50:1
 impact 92:1,2
 implementability 47:14
 important 41:15 50:3 83:6
 imposed 100:12
 impression 28:3
 in-depth 48:10
 INC 2:8,18
 include 42:6,6 51:12 62:16
 64:18 67:20 68:6 102:1
 116:16 124:2
 included 11:4 12:4,12 23:6
 53:13 66:11 123:4
 including 45:20 79:15 89:9
 incomplete 62:12
 inconsistent 14:17
 incorporate 48:3
 incorporated 55:7 60:6
 62:10 92:7 116:11
 indeed 75:9
 indicate 4:14 33:7
 indicated 56:19 80:1 99:19

100:6
 indicating 25:1,17 29:7
 40:19 66:13
 indices 69:1
 indiscriminate 37:1
 individual 23:5 46:16
 78:14 81:18
 ineffective 105:7
 information 19:17 24:16,18
 51:1 52:10 54:14 66:17
 69:19 70:15 71:19 72:10
 110:13 125:5
 initial 40:15
 initially 35:10
 insofar 93:5
 installation 107:12,14
 installed 107:6,20
 instances 62:13
 Instead 86:16 88:20 96:9
 intense 104:14
 intent 67:20
 interaction 59:10,16
 interactions 59:6,7
 interest 6:13 10:9 21:13
 118:13 123:5
 interested 10:11 18:4
 21:17,19 55:19 99:20
 105:2 106:12
 interesting 6:3 21:15 77:8
 interestingly 24:12
 interim 25:10 42:7,9 43:3,
 6 61:6 76:4 77:4 78:5
 115:6 117:17 121:7
 124:2,16
 interminable 109:13
 internal 92:5
 interrelationships 60:15
 into 4:5 11:8 18:17 21:3
 22:10 24:9 27:5 29:15
 36:2 43:19 45:16 46:9
 50:15 53:6 55:8 58:18
 60:6,16 62:10 66:4 67:3,
 12,18 80:10,14,17 81:9
 82:1 83:14 88:5 89:18
 90:17 92:18 98:10,10
 116:11
 introduce 4:14 9:13
 introducing 10:16
 introduction 46:11
 investigated 27:8
 investigation 27:9 31:9
 41:1,8 45:9,10 53:11
 61:2,9 69:14
 investigations 46:1,19 47:5
 58:10
 involved 85:4 90:7 91:12
 106:16 110:9 123:19
 involves 123:2
 IR 25:15,15,16 26:8,14
 29:4,5 34:3 50:19 57:2
 58:17 60:2,4,5 62:2,15
 ISLAND 1:2,7 5:11,19 6:8
 17:20 33:16 41:1 45:3
 46:12 47:13 78:19 79:7
 80:15 81:9 82:15 83:1
 86:10,19 87:1,2 89:16
 90:20 96:5 108:11

110:12,20 111:6 120:3
 122:7
 isn't 18:7 45:13,14 93:3
 issuance 39:6
 issue 23:11 32:7,11 37:11
 41:18 54:3 63:5,6 65:6
 66:4 72:19 74:9 77:8
 79:4 82:13 83:6 118:14
 issued 39:17,18 78:11,15
 85:15
 issues 4:6 26:17 28:1
 29:10 44:9 63:4,14 65:15
 74:3 76:19 79:8 86:6
 87:9 95:20 120:2
 issuing 40:5
 item 10:19 11:9,11 13:5,16
 20:14 22:11 44:18 61:1
 78:1 98:5 99:7 110:13
 114:13 115:2 117:5
 items 22:13 24:4 79:9
 98:2,4 108:7 109:20
 119:16

- J -

JAMES 2:4 3:3 82:20
 January 107:15,16 108:1,3
 111:9,12 115:15
 Jeez 76:10
 Jennifer 15:5
 JIM 3:19 5:1 6:20 10:4
 12:14 21:4 23:3 32:19
 67:11 70:5 81:12 85:1
 91:5 95:3,18 96:13 99:1
 101:19 102:15 123:2
 Jim's 4:19 98:1 102:10
 jobs 55:16
 JOHN 3:4 7:16 19:15
 41:10 87:5 100:4,5
 104:18 122:16
 joined 7:13,16
 July 40:4
 junior 88:2
 just 11:4,8,10 12:4 14:13
 20:12 33:7 35:12 36:8
 37:1,7 39:4 41:11 44:19,
 19 49:9 53:8 54:9 57:9
 61:3 65:6,17 67:13,19
 69:11 71:8 72:7 76:5,6
 77:19 79:11 91:13 94:7
 95:20 96:13,16 101:8
 102:12 104:19 106:15
 110:13 116:10 117:5,11
 120:12 122:18 123:4,12,
 17 124:5

- K -

Kao 36:20 72:4 73:9 74:6,
 12
 KAREN 3:10 8:1
 keep 50:14 110:8
 Ken 87:13,14 91:4
 kicked 6:11
 kind 4:8 19:1 33:7 50:4,7
 55:20 63:17,18 68:4
 92:16 93:2 97:16

KNAPP 2:10 16:18 53:16
 54:1 70:19 71:3
 know 5:18 9:10,14 17:16
 18:5,10,11 33:10 34:6
 36:14 50:8 52:14 59:12
 64:3 66:4,6,20 67:4,8
 73:12 77:20 80:4 84:2,6
 90:5,7 107:5 110:10
 knowing 55:3,20
 knowledge 32:19 81:15
 known 88:6

- L -

LA 3:7 7:4 17:15 18:2,11
 lab 54:2 55:7 57:10,18
 laboratory 53:19 54:11,17
 66:1
 land 17:17 74:17
 Landis 87:5,16
 landscaping 88:9
 language 28:17
 Lanphar 5:14
 LaPierre 7:4
 large 12:11 50:10 119:12
 largely 61:14 62:6 105:7
 larger 51:3
 Larry 90:14,18 91:1
 last 6:1,15 7:3 11:19,20
 12:17 13:6,16 14:6,10
 15:19 16:18,20 17:19
 20:3 28:10 29:20 30:20
 61:6 78:1,11,17 99:3,13
 101:11,15 103:8 104:11
 105:1 110:11 113:7,7
 119:6,7 122:2
 lastly 95:19
 late 13:6 44:4
 later 23:1 27:6 28:11
 35:2,10 38:4 76:9
 latest 115:15
 latitude 78:13
 law 68:3
 laws 94:15 111:8
 lead 22:14 23:11 24:2
 30:20 31:2,7,16,20 32:17,
 20 34:14 35:20 36:2
 38:13,14 39:9 41:3 43:15
 48:18 63:5
 leads 34:15
 leaning 35:4
 learned 98:19
 lease 18:2 91:6,14,16
 leased 18:1
 leasing 17:17 37:19 44:13
 79:8
 least 28:3 43:5 44:1 62:17
 66:5,8 68:1,17 71:1 86:7
 93:6 101:2 103:19 120:7
 leave 21:18 111:20
 leaving 8:18
 left 102:6
 legislature 16:4
 less 32:7
 letter 113:20
 letters 82:8 122:6,14
 level 15:20 26:11 31:20

32:4 35:20 36:3,7 38:13,
 14 74:16 81:7,18 82:4,5
 84:2 85:8 103:10 108:1
 levels 29:14 32:13 33:1,2,4
 37:18 76:1
 library 94:12
 lieutenant 86:17 87:4,16
 light 68:18
 like 9:12 14:13 20:2,20
 21:5,16 23:1 25:12 29:17
 34:12 35:14 36:14 44:15
 46:14,18 49:7,13 50:4,14
 55:10 56:13 60:4 61:11
 62:3 63:1,12 65:15,17
 73:20 74:3 75:6 77:8
 78:4 82:11,13 83:17
 84:11 85:14 95:13 98:5
 101:1 104:7 106:8 107:4,
 5,16 110:19 112:1,13,15
 115:5,5 121:13 122:17
 likely 110:4
 limit 96:4 102:18
 limited 33:5,6 81:15
 line 16:9,16,18,19 17:1,19
 19:16 60:10 71:17
 lines 31:11 58:9,20 106:5
 list 11:4 40:18 94:18 95:5,
 11 96:14,16,19 109:16
 117:2
 listed 26:15 30:1 41:20
 listing 12:1
 lists 96:8
 Literally 37:3
 little 21:10,11 45:3 77:15
 108:2 110:19
 located 25:17 29:6
 location 120:20
 locations 56:16
 LOFTMAN 3:8 100:17
 logs 50:1
 long 38:3 52:10 63:20
 65:11,14 71:16 89:13
 long-term 37:12
 longer 5:14 86:11
 look 41:17,19 44:8,12 56:8
 59:7,16 60:18 63:14,15
 73:20 96:15 104:3
 118:20
 looked 60:18 74:3
 looking 50:7 54:10 58:4
 66:9 100:8 125:6
 looks 44:15 75:5 104:7
 110:19
 lost 59:10 77:6
 lot 6:3 21:12 33:17 38:12
 52:5 55:2 60:1 61:15
 68:13,15 73:11 75:4
 93:18 99:2,2 114:17
 low 26:11 29:15
 lower 30:12
 luck 9:6
 LUPTON 2:9 118:5
 Lynn 22:13
 LYNNE 2:12

- M -

made 19:2 34:16 50:9
 71:12 74:6 101:10 103:7
 119:11
 mail 11:2,13 12:11 100:3
 102:7 123:4
 mailed 12:6,8 13:6 37:9
 mailing 109:16
 main 118:8,9,10
 maintenance 88:9
 major 74:3,4 75:2 83:8
 make 5:12,20 6:4 9:4
 18:18 20:12,17 21:5
 28:17 38:3 44:19 49:6
 53:9 57:5 78:13 80:7
 83:17 86:1 91:15 93:6,8
 94:2,13 95:2 101:4
 105:17 106:5 108:13,16
 112:14 122:17
 makes 38:6 75:11,13
 making 65:15 82:10 115:4
 124:13,17
 males 14:15
 MANAGEMENT 2:8 67:6
 manager 5:15,17,19 87:7,8
 90:19
 managing 87:17 96:2
 many 11:3 12:3 14:9
 31:14 57:12 103:1 104:9
 105:5,5 120:9 122:14
 map 22:14 23:10,10 24:1
 25:2 31:3 33:3 40:18
 41:19 52:12,18 59:7,14
 maps 22:13 23:6
 March 13:17 15:4 85:3
 98:7,11,15,17
 Marina 6:13 110:15
 Martha 80:3 93:1 118:3
 121:17
 MARY 2:16 5:8,16 8:18
 9:3 17:9 18:20 60:12
 76:11 97:3 98:9
 master 91:6,14
 Master's 10:6
 material 93:13 99:8
 materials 34:5 67:12,14
 mathematical 35:18
 maximum 36:2
 may 4:8,9 14:16 25:5
 27:18 33:7,9 34:9 37:16,
 19 44:6,11 57:15,19
 66:2,2 73:2 78:20 85:13
 95:9 96:12,18 99:20
 100:6 104:17 106:14
 121:2 123:1,19 125:2,4
 maybe 4:9,17 41:17 42:2
 43:1 88:15 90:5 99:10
 106:17,20 108:1 112:20
 114:11 119:1 120:18
 123:3
 mayor 18:17
 mayor's 90:19
 MC 3:9 6:17 100:16
 101:8,13 102:3,9,14
 103:7 104:6,10 105:3,15

107:7,10
 McDonald 6:17 99:12
 me 34:12 37:6,9,10 39:1
 40:2,14 41:9 56:3 73:2
 75:11 85:6 93:12 98:2
 108:18 115:1 117:3
 118:18 123:15
 mean 37:16 42:14 50:7
 62:7 69:7 71:14 86:4
 123:15
 meaningless 52:14 55:11
 means 4:7
 meant 114:19
 meet 43:8 100:16,18 101:1
 MEETING 1:3,8 4:2,3 6:1
 9:16 11:19 12:9 13:7,8,
 10,12,19 14:6,10,11 15:5,
 10,14 17:13 20:16 24:17
 25:10 37:8 42:7,9,11
 43:2,3,4,6,8 49:4 61:6
 63:10 67:16 73:8,15 74:9
 76:4,14,18,18,19 77:1,1,4
 78:5 79:6,8,11,20 80:12
 81:19 82:12 83:2,7,10,18
 92:9 99:4 101:5,10,12
 102:1,2 105:16 108:12
 109:20 110:3,11,13
 113:7,8 115:6,7 117:18,
 18 118:5,9,9,10,11,15,17
 119:12 120:4,10,15,19
 121:5,7,15,16 123:5,7,20
 124:2,8,12,16 125:2,4,8,
 15
 meetings 61:7 72:18 93:10
 103:19 109:1,7,10,12
 117:8 118:3 121:20
 122:2 123:10 124:3
 member 4:15,15 5:10
 6:15,18 7:1,3,5,8 8:2,5,7
 9:16
 MEMBERS 3:2 4:11,13
 7:14,15 9:12 20:16,19
 22:20 43:1 52:8 61:11
 67:10 95:4,12 96:14
 101:17,18 103:1,8,9,10,11
 106:11,14 107:5,20
 111:20 113:17 115:5
 119:10,19 120:10,11
 123:9
 membership 99:8,13
 101:15 103:16 105:20
 120:4
 memo 116:1,3
 memorandum 70:17 80:12
 116:11
 MENDELOW 3:10 8:1,1
 86:1
 mention 18:14 71:1
 mentioned 108:18
 met 6:2 74:2
 methodologies 75:20
 methyl 21:11
 MICHAEL 3:19 9:15
 micro 82:4
 mid 44:1 64:16
 middle 17:2
 might 15:1 34:5 35:2

43:14 44:8 57:18 67:7
 97:5,14,14,17 106:15,17,
 19 108:2 120:10 124:6
 military 88:4
 million 32:17 78:15
 mind 110:8
 minds 65:16
 mine 13:3 102:7
 minor 15:17
 minutes 4:7 12:9 13:6,8,
 12,17 14:7,10,11 15:10,
 15 17:13 19:5,19 20:3,15
 24:18 36:15,18 37:8
 41:16 73:7,17 76:14 77:2
 79:20 86:4,5 98:7,10,11,
 15 114:19 119:13 120:7
 missing 12:17
 misunderstanding 74:7
 modeling 36:9
 modified 20:10
 modify 28:16
 moment 9:12 36:17
 Monday 117:18,19 121:17
 124:8
 monitor 26:13,18 29:18
 monitoring 26:14
 month 11:3 12:17 13:16
 27:7 43:19 44:1 45:5
 47:19 78:11 80:13 81:20
 84:12 106:17 107:19
 109:18 110:8,10 114:11,
 16 118:6,19 119:2,17
 120:19
 month's 11:19 12:1,10
 13:6 83:18
 months 14:6,10 44:15 99:3
 106:18 107:7
 more 14:7 18:18 19:16,16
 31:12 35:2,8 36:11 38:3
 44:15 46:6 47:9 68:13
 77:9 80:4 82:4 83:16,20
 90:16 99:2,3,19,20
 103:19 104:13,17 105:7
 108:2 121:2,20
 morning 64:8
 most 13:9 47:9 49:18 88:3
 110:3
 motion 15:10 20:5 113:10
 122:18 123:2
 mountains 57:18
 Move 20:8 21:3 22:9 38:7,
 8 42:1 95:3 117:8
 moved 10:1 11:6 15:11
 20:7 29:15 45:16 50:15
 58:7 90:16,17 105:5
 121:16
 moving 56:20 59:8
 MTBE 21:13
 much 9:5 28:11 39:3 53:3
 60:20 69:5,17 82:2 83:20
 97:8 98:20 104:13 105:7
 125:14
 multithousand 32:17 33:11
 multithousands 32:14 33:9
 municipal 88:8
 museum 99:18 104:17
 120:17

must 71:15 72:13
 myself 55:2 77:9 100:17

- N -

name 4:18,19 5:8 6:7,17
 10:4 14:14,19 18:10,14
 22:1 45:1 111:5
 named 101:18
 Nancy 122:10
 National 21:14 22:3
 NAVAL 1:2 4:4 5:6 86:9,
 10 87:11
 NAVY 2:3,4 5:2,2,3 24:5
 25:12 26:9 27:5 28:16
 34:16 46:6 48:4 61:20
 62:3,6 63:6,10 66:15
 73:10 74:2,20 75:3,17
 76:6 77:18 79:10 80:1,10
 84:7 86:16,20 87:8 88:5,
 11,13,16,20 89:1,7,11,12,
 18 90:10 91:4,8 96:3,10
 112:9,10 113:5,20
 Navy's 28:3 45:2 75:15
 87:16 114:13 115:2
 NCEA 22:3
 necessarily 68:6
 necessity 44:13
 need 17:7 37:20 38:8
 42:13,14 43:1,7 44:5
 52:12 53:18 54:14 55:14
 69:7,18 73:14 77:9
 101:20 102:11 112:12,12
 114:15
 needed 19:16 68:17 111:19
 113:9
 needs 16:14 55:13 58:4
 60:18 82:3
 neglected 78:3
 negotiate 91:16
 negotiated 81:17
 negotiating 18:3
 negotiation 80:17 82:2,2
 88:19 89:19 110:18
 negotiations 18:17 80:10,14
 NELSON 3:11 8:12,12,16
 10:3,14,16 13:13,20 15:8,
 12,14 16:16,19 20:5,9
 22:1,7 42:8,18 43:5,14
 44:17 48:8,15,18 49:15
 50:18 51:20 52:7,20
 53:7,19 55:1 56:11,15
 57:9 60:20 61:4 62:11
 67:11 68:8 69:15 70:11
 71:5,8 72:20 77:3,7 91:1
 94:4 95:13 98:1 99:6
 100:14 102:20 105:13,19
 107:3,9,14,18 111:1,16
 113:2,10 114:18 116:13
 117:5,11,15,17,20 118:13
 121:10 122:4,16 123:8
 124:15 125:11
 never 23:17 24:15 33:10
 58:20 60:2
 nevertheless 105:18
 new 4:11 7:17 11:11
 43:15,18 51:19 103:8,9,

13 106:3 107:5,20
 120:10,11,19 122:20
 news 5:13,13,13,13,16,18
 108:8
 next 20:14 25:10 29:20
 41:16 42:2,7,8 43:19
 44:18 45:5 47:19 61:1
 79:1,13 83:18 84:11 92:7
 105:16 106:1 109:18
 110:8,10 111:16 112:8
 114:10,12 115:2,7,9,15
 118:15,19 119:2,17
 120:14,18 121:7 124:12
 nice 50:16 71:1
 NICOLE 2:13
 night 101:12 122:20 123:5,
 7,18,19
 nights 117:8,11
 Nimitz 17:20 23:13,17
 63:5
 nine 57:2
 NO 1:8,20 5:14 11:7,14,17
 13:13 15:9 18:7,13,19
 21:1 23:9 28:1,20 32:7
 37:5 39:8 40:8,8 42:10
 45:10 48:15,17 51:7
 53:14 65:6 69:1 71:7
 74:14 77:10 79:17 81:2,7
 86:11 87:12 91:10 94:13
 97:12 112:4 113:14
 121:13
 nobody 82:17
 Nods 54:19
 non-CERCLA 26:7 68:1,7
 non-Navy 96:9
 none 21:2
 nonpetroleum 26:5,14,19
 29:12,14,18
 nonprocreative 36:16 37:3
 38:10
 normally 43:9 50:6,11
 north 33:16
 not 14:5 16:5 17:16 21:7,
 18 24:10,13,14 25:5
 27:12,18 32:8 34:16,17,
 18 35:5,13 36:8,20 37:2,
 4,15 39:3 48:19 49:18,19
 50:2,8 51:15 53:14,14,20
 54:3,7,15 55:11,11,19,20
 56:2,6,7 57:17 58:8
 61:14 62:6,7,9,19 63:15
 64:4 66:2,19 67:2,7
 69:4,11,17 70:15,18 72:4,
 15,18 73:17 74:18 76:1,
 10 77:1 79:17 80:4
 81:13 84:13,16 88:1,15
 89:17 90:6,7,16 91:11,12
 93:8,9,13 95:8 96:2,18
 97:19 98:6 105:5 107:1
 112:20 113:17 118:12
 122:20 123:19 124:6
 note 25:20 26:2,4 124:2
 noted 15:6,20
 nothing 19:18 49:9 76:14
 notice 56:2 124:1
 notifications 101:19
 November 42:17 43:1 44:1

64:16 100:19,19 101:5,
 10,12 102:1,7,15 108:12
 109:5,6 114:14 115:16,18
 120:15 121:8,15 125:1,
 10,11,12
 now 6:5 15:14 19:9 20:14
 28:8 33:20 35:4 47:19
 63:13 64:1 65:5 66:10
 70:10 75:10,12 77:20
 79:4 81:8 83:11 87:3
 103:15 111:13
 number 33:3 34:17 36:9
 102:19 103:17 108:19
 numbering 67:19
 numbers 36:5 39:2,3
 51:10,15,16,17,19 52:6
 54:12

- O -

ooo 1:1,9 125:16
 obligations 118:20
 obtain 93:5
 obviously 59:8
 occupancy 28:17 30:18
 occupants 30:9 35:6
 occur 28:8,10
 occurred 45:18
 occurs 60:10
 OCTOBER 1:4 4:1 71:15
 72:1 79:6 86:15 125:8
 odd 114:9
 off 12:19 19:16 35:2 99:2
 118:16
 offer 9:2
 offered 112:9
 Office 86:19,20 90:16,17,
 19 102:10 122:9,10,11
 officer 86:16,17,18 87:11,
 17
 officer's 90:19
 officers 88:2
 official 111:5
 officially 26:15 51:15
 101:18
 officiate 122:18
 often 55:3
 Oh 11:14 72:5 75:10
 76:11
 oils 34:9
 Okay 13:4 14:4,16 16:12
 20:1 21:6 22:9 23:20
 44:17,18 56:11 57:7 61:1
 70:5,9 71:4 74:19 77:14,
 16,19 83:4 85:10 95:19
 102:17 107:10 108:6
 114:15 119:16 120:8
 124:7 125:13
 old 25:16 34:3,12 60:5
 omitted 51:2
 once 18:16 34:13 43:11
 60:16 101:1
 one 5:12 11:1 12:17 15:2
 25:20 29:13 31:12,17,18
 32:14 34:14 35:19 37:11
 50:20 56:19 57:4 59:7,
 13,14 62:2,16 63:9,12

64:14 66:11 68:20 74:12,
13 76:1,2,8 80:15 81:13
83:5 93:5 94:1 98:2,5
99:19,20 100:6,8 102:5,6
103:19 108:9,19 109:10
114:6 117:5 118:11
119:6 122:10,13 125:7
ones 47:8
ONGERTH 3:12 8:4,4
9:18 15:11 19:3,8,12,18
81:12 84:6,18 87:11,19
89:4 92:13 93:12,20
94:11
ongoing 65:13
only 11:11 19:4 23:8 24:6
26:16 32:12,14,16 36:15
37:13,16 45:14 58:6,16,
17 64:14 72:13,15 88:4
101:6
open 33:18,20 42:12,19
43:3 61:10 93:11 94:6
108:4 112:8 117:6
120:20 121:2
operate 88:7
operating 18:4 88:17 89:2,
8 90:1 111:10
operation 106:7
operations 46:15,18 87:18
opportunity 4:12 94:2
95:14
option 113:6 125:7
optional 113:14,16
options 47:7 106:19
order 23:10
organization 22:2 86:11
organizational 14:1 108:7
orientation 83:1
original 112:2,10,11
originally 24:14 28:6 31:4
33:16,16 34:2 44:14 45:8
67:19
other 14:6 20:2,3 24:4
27:11 30:10 34:6,10 35:3
39:4 45:11 49:10 52:7
54:14 57:7,12 58:2,9,19
66:20 67:1 70:14 71:9
73:12 74:11,12,15 78:19
83:8,17 106:11 110:7
112:13 118:3,20
Otherwise 52:13 114:17
our 4:1,3 6:11 7:17 10:19
22:11 26:6,6 28:9 31:9
40:8,15 41:16 42:11,16
55:17 61:1,8,18 62:11
64:15 65:16 67:5,20 82:9
93:8,10 96:6 99:13 101:4
107:6 108:14 112:7,9,10,
10,11 114:16 117:8
119:13 120:11,14,19
ourselves 4:14 44:10 88:14
94:8
out 6:11 7:18 10:17 11:4
12:2,6 14:8 22:13 27:5
35:15 38:7 40:2 45:16
57:6 58:17 62:1,2 63:15
68:11 72:8 78:6,18 79:11
80:18 83:12 84:12 85:16

90:16 94:6 95:5,14 97:15
98:11,17,19 99:5,17
100:20 101:19 102:6
103:4 104:13 105:8
106:16 109:12,17 110:17
116:7,8 119:20 123:2
outcome 25:11,13
outside 58:11
over 5:5 39:20 42:2 50:14
61:3 79:9 80:11 86:14,
14,20 97:9 101:1 104:10,
11
overall 87:15
overheads 25:2
oversee 82:3
overshot 104:2
oversight 81:5
overwhelming 55:12
own 94:2
ownership 89:15

- P -

P.M 1:5 77:13 125:15
packet 11:18
page 11:11,16,17 12:5,5
14:11,11 15:19 16:3,10,
13 17:15 113:13
pages 12:18 13:1 21:19
64:19 90:2,6 114:9
paid 99:2
paint 33:11
paired 57:3
pairing 106:20
paper 4:9 105:8 113:1
paragraph 15:19 16:3,7,8,
10,18,20
parking 33:13,17
Parsons 87:13,14 91:4
part 6:9 14:3 24:11,13,14,
16,17,18 31:15 34:10
45:2,9 52:16 54:3 58:20
60:2 66:16,16 75:10
83:10 88:11,12 89:3
92:11 93:8 96:1
partial 17:4
participants 82:14,15
particular 10:9 19:16
59:13 67:16,16 106:20
particularly 99:4
parties 80:18
partitions 120:18
parts 25:20 32:17 34:1
37:11
party 91:2
pass 22:12 78:3
Pat 8:12 24:7 42:15 62:5
119:7
Pat's 24:8 63:17
pathway 30:8
PATRICIA 3:11
PAUL 3:6 8:6 56:17 62:20
78:6 106:4 119:7 122:1
124:17
Paul's 114:7
pay 84:18
paying 88:19

PEIRCE 2:13
Pelosi's 122:10
people 6:3 13:9 21:17
36:16 38:7 52:6 104:20
105:5,5 106:20 109:18
112:13 122:20 123:19
124:6,20 125:4,7
people's 125:2
per 32:17 84:3
percent 73:4 92:6,7 98:14,
15 103:20 104:1
percentage 103:18
perceptible 97:12
perception 75:6
perform 55:14
perhaps 28:11 77:10 117:7
period 20:14 33:20 39:19
65:9 84:3 96:17 110:17
112:8 115:12 119:11
permission 72:6,9 75:6
permitted 72:4
person 76:10 90:11 96:9
102:5
person's 102:12
petroleum 26:3,16,19 29:11
34:6 45:11,13 58:18,19
65:19 120:2
PG&E 121:11,15
Phase 27:9,9,13 41:6,6
phases 45:10
phrase 123:1
physical 10:6,8 46:17
picking 81:1
picture 51:3 58:12
piece 11:13
pieces 89:16
PIERRE 3:7 7:4 17:15
18:11
place 39:10 80:3 97:13
places 16:14 64:16 67:1
Plan 26:4 29:16 45:4 58:6
59:12 78:10,16 79:3
89:10 91:18 92:1,10
100:16,18 115:8
planning 64:3 108:15
109:18 122:6 124:17
please 4:20 19:7
pleased 111:2
plotted 31:2,4 60:14
Point 10:12 15:2 19:19
34:16 40:9 43:12,14 44:9
49:12 60:17 62:12 73:14
74:15 79:20 87:9 88:16
89:15 95:14 100:8 101:3,
7 109:17 113:2 118:5
pointed 78:6
points 31:16 32:7,11,12
34:17 52:19
police 88:8
policy 67:6
pony 53:6 119:8
poor 17:5
population 37:14 38:6
populations 38:10
portion 92:20
positively 79:2 94:18
possibility 113:3

possible 53:4 118:10
possibly 115:6 120:19
poster 7:18
potable 89:4,9
potential 30:9 31:20 32:11
106:14
potentially 65:7
powers 111:12
PRC 2:8 61:19 73:16
75:16,18
precedence 66:14,19
precedent 66:20
predominant 29:11
preferred 74:13
preliminary 40:16 44:8,12
61:8
prepare 80:13 82:1
prepared 23:10 78:2
preparing 26:3
presence 25:15,18
present 20:17 26:1 28:14
76:9 83:20 84:11 112:20
presentation 83:17 95:15
108:14,17,19 110:2
112:5,7,14,18,18 119:4,7,
11,18 120:1 124:13,18
125:1
presented 54:13 55:10,13
presenting 45:20
presents 47:1,15
pretty 14:8,12 31:5 33:5
41:15 83:5 84:10 98:11
prevailed 99:12
previous 46:1,19 47:5
104:12 105:11
previously 82:7,9
primarily 88:17
primary 37:13,17 115:11
principally 6:13
printed 21:19
prior 29:1 30:17 38:12
61:16 71:13,16 114:13
private 8:9 76:3
pro 75:14,19 85:14,16
probably 17:15 23:18
33:11 35:4 40:4 44:3
75:15 78:12 90:5 92:4
98:13,20 104:8 106:6
110:4 116:1 117:1
120:16 121:6
problem 49:17 50:3 56:3,
10 57:15 71:10 72:7
74:14
problems 39:2,4 52:5 56:8
68:20
procedures 95:20 96:8
97:2
proceed 11:8 48:5 75:7
76:7
proceeding 59:2
PROCEEDINGS 1:12
process 9:4 20:18 22:10
24:13,14 27:3,20 30:2
47:7 65:13 72:7 75:11,12
83:15 84:5 87:15 90:8
92:18 93:2,9 94:1 96:12
104:13,19 105:8 110:18

produce 41:13 62:8
 produced 45:17 71:14
 72:8,11
 producer 86:13
 producing 64:4 71:13
 products 34:6 45:11
 program 4:6 29:16 31:9,10
 45:16,17 50:15 58:7,13,
 18 59:1 62:1,16 78:19
 83:12 84:1 98:3
 programs 31:8 60:2 78:20
 progress 6:4 75:8
 prohibiting 32:1
 prohibition 30:6 31:17
 project 5:14,17,19 78:9
 90:19
 projected 64:15
 projects 78:14
 promise 21:7
 promptly 46:7
 proper 16:11,12
 property 17:18 56:5,9 87:1
 89:7,19 96:5
 proposal 28:16 84:8 123:7
 proposals 110:14
 propose 42:5 107:7 123:18
 proposed 109:19
 prospective 4:11 9:11,11,16
 provide 27:16 49:14 81:6
 111:19 113:9
 providing 81:4 113:18
 proximity 25:15 29:5
 public 10:6 20:14,17,19
 46:8 90:6 92:8,9 93:3,4
 94:6 121:1
PUBLIC/GUESTS 3:18
 publish 59:13
 put 9:4,9,13 35:9 42:2
 58:18 60:16 64:9 67:18
 82:12 92:10 95:3,4 96:13
 105:8,20 119:1 123:12
 puts 84:1
 putting 38:2
 PWC 41:3

- Q -

QA/QC 57:11 58:1
 quality 57:20 79:19
 quantified 55:4
 question 18:20 24:8 35:12
 48:8 49:3 51:5 52:2,4,5
 54:6,9,16 57:8 58:2 62:5
 65:7 67:4,5,8 80:6 81:3
 83:5 92:17 93:9,11
 104:20 114:6
 questions 42:3 48:7 56:18
 73:12 75:2 117:6
 quick 35:12 44:19
 quicker 91:15
 quickly 21:5
 quite 26:9 109:7

- R -

RAB 4:3,11,13 5:2,4 6:1,
 14,18 7:5,9,14 8:13 9:11

22:20 24:6,7 25:7,10,14
 27:4 28:15 31:1 43:1
 47:20 49:6 61:10,14
 63:3,10 65:12 68:12 82:8
 83:18 84:1,5 85:3,12
 90:12 92:18 93:9 94:9
 95:4,11,15 96:6,14,16
 100:12 101:4,14,17
 103:1,11 106:11 107:19
 108:8,13 111:20 112:15
 113:9 115:5 117:8,18
 118:3,5 119:10 123:8
 RAB's 62:7 110:2
 RABs 85:3
 raised 63:6
 rate 57:17 77:9
 rather 11:5 14:14 71:19
 91:15 105:11 112:17
 raw 56:5
 reaction 75:9 117:9
 read 4:9 37:7 62:8
 ready 77:15,16
 real 10:1 21:15 49:17
 97:13
 really 4:7 6:2 25:19 45:14
 63:13 68:5 69:2,9,17
 70:2 78:11 92:18 98:12
 99:5 107:20 109:6
 reason 23:9 34:2 82:17
 112:4 123:6
 reasons 35:3
 receipt 29:1
 receive 92:13
 received 24:7 41:11 51:13
 64:19 73:10 102:10
 recent 28:9
 recently 4:9
 receptors 30:5
 recess 91:6
 recognize 26:7 42:20
 recommend 36:15
 recommendation 46:4 95:2,
 17 103:7
 recommendations 49:10
 101:4,9 105:17
 recommended 47:15 101:15
 103:10
 record 24:13 66:17 68:4
 records 27:19
 recruitment 99:14
 red 32:12 33:4
 Redevelopment 110:12
 111:3,6 121:18
 reevaluate 30:17 35:3
 refer 16:6
 reference 16:11 73:1
 referred 14:13,15,19 87:6
 referring 11:20
 refers 15:19 68:5
 reflect 51:18
 reflected 114:19
 regard 108:14
 regarding 122:6
 Region 82:16
 Regional 79:19 82:9,11
 regular 43:4 89:2 117:18
 120:14

regularly 124:6
 regulator 25:7 76:9 114:3
 regulators 25:1 26:10,12
 28:15 63:4 74:2 75:10
 79:10 113:6,18 123:3
REGULATORY 2:15
 61:19 62:3 73:19 75:1
 rehearsal 110:3
 reimburse 81:4
 reimbursement 88:12
 reimbursing 88:11
 relate 94:9
 related 63:4 67:15 87:9
 105:19 114:7
 relationship 85:2
 relatively 79:6
 release 46:7
 released 49:9 70:17 71:15
 72:1 92:3,8 115:14
 rely 51:9 98:20
 relying 99:1
 remained 33:17
 remedial 31:9 40:20 41:8
 46:3,4 47:8,11,15 53:10,
 11 61:2,9 69:14
 remediate 37:20 38:4 46:6
 remember 85:11
 remembered 58:2
 removal 58:10
 remove 30:8
 removed 62:15
 removing 120:17
 rented 28:7
 report 11:4 42:9 48:12
 51:14 52:17,19 53:11
 61:2,9,16,17 62:19 68:16,
 16 69:14 71:14,14 72:1,
 10 92:2 95:5 110:1
 114:13 116:6 119:18
REPORTED 1:20
 reports 11:4 111:17
 repository 24:10,17,19
 66:17,18 67:13,18 68:2
 represent 32:7
 representative 82:11 83:19
 representatives 82:14
 represents 31:7 32:6,9,13
 58:16 60:9
 request 31:1 40:16 57:19
 94:7 95:3 99:17 110:14
 113:11 114:13
 requested 95:15 100:2
 114:1
 require 120:7
 research 68:14
 reservoirs 89:9
 reside 54:18,20
 residents 28:20
 resolution 65:16
 resolve 73:14 98:6
 resolved 74:1,6 75:3,4
 97:11
 resolves 44:9
 resolving 65:12 118:14
 Resources 21:10
 respect 26:17 47:12 51:3
 respond 43:6 62:7 105:6

responded 61:14 63:7
 75:18 105:10
 response 21:1 22:12 24:5,5,
 25:8 26:6,6 62:8 63:10
 16,17 64:4,20 65:4 66:
 75:15,15 78:2,7 115:3
 responses 25:1,10 42:16
 43:7 51:12 65:18 66:11
 112:11,19 114:9 122:9,13
 responsibility 17:4
 rest 10:17 64:15 88:10
RESTORATION 1:3 4:2
 7:17 83:13
 restrict 34:18,19
 Restricting 34:20 35:5
 restriction 35:1,8,9
 result 27:4 80:2
 results 28:18 43:11 46:19
 47:4 57:13 66:1
 retained 54:18
 retract 114:16
 reuse 4:5 86:6 87:10,15
 91:18 92:1,10,11 93:17
 95:20
 reverse 118:8
 revert 14:20
 review 12:2,3 48:2 53:1
 55:15 61:8 62:11 84:5
 85:15 94:9 98:3 100:11
 reviewing 14:7 55:20 65:17
 92:5,20 93:18
 revised 51:18
 reworking 75:5
RFP 110:14
RI 45:20 46:14 51:1,2,2
 53:2,2,12,13 63:8 66:12
 78:2 108:15 110:1
 111:18 114:13 116:12,17
 119:11,18
RICHARD 2:10 3:5 99:17,
 19 108:10
 Richard's 104:17
 right 6:5 13:9 17:1 18:15
 25:3 40:19 42:18 44:16
 69:14,15 78:8 84:15 89:6
 103:14 117:15 119:9
 121:20 124:5
RIR 42:11 49:19 68:20
 69:4 70:16
 risk 21:16 30:2,3,14 31:15,
 19 38:16 40:16 47:1
 51:8
ROD 68:12
RODRIGUEZ 3:19 10:4,4,
 15
 role 97:10 106:8,15,20
 roles 106:12
 rolling 61:13
ROOM 1:6 109:11 121:12
ROSE 2:16 5:8,16 8:19
 17:10 18:20 60:12 76:11
 97:3 98:9
 Rose's 9:3
 round 101:15
 rounds 103:15
RPM 2:5
RPM/BCT 36:15 68:16

72:17 73:7 79:6,20
121:20
run 57:11

- S -

Sacramento 82:1
safe 31:20 35:19
safety 7:20 96:5
said 19:4,6,12 62:6 72:4,5,
14 114:8
same 43:16 51:10,10 53:9
60:10 64:11 105:9 110:4
sample 27:13 32:16
samples 31:13 32:6,9 40:6
49:20 50:1 57:14
sampling 27:6,15 28:19
29:2 31:8,10 41:6 43:11
70:19
San 6:9 10:1 83:19,19
88:7 121:11,18
satisfactory 52:4
Saturday 125:9
saw 105:10
saying 61:13 70:10 74:6
77:2 81:12 94:5 123:17
124:5
scanning 107:1
scant 55:11
schedule 64:15 65:8 78:11
101:2 102:12 112:2
Scheduled 17:2 27:6 95:16
schedules 59:3 123:3 125:3
scheduling 42:20 63:15
school 25:17 60:6
scientist 16:2 19:1,4,12,13,
14
scope 101:3
scoping 92:9
scratching 73:11
screening 46:2 47:2,4,7
51:8
second 7:14 12:5 15:13
16:3,7,9,9 24:4 27:1,2
30:19 31:9 42:4 43:7
46:12 105:4 113:12
117:12,13,18
secret 90:9
section 30:1,19 46:16,17
47:1,4,7,10,11,15
sections 46:9
see 13:3 14:20 33:3 41:20
44:3,9 50:4,16 54:1
55:10 56:8,13 57:10 59:3
60:18 79:11 94:12 105:1
117:4
seem 61:7
seemed 21:17 33:7 113:8
seems 50:14 76:6
seen 55:3 94:16,17 97:14
semi-institutional 6:14
semi-public 6:15
send 84:12 98:17 100:2
121:19 122:6 123:2
senior 87:12 88:2
sense 55:9 57:14 75:11,13
104:3

sent 99:17 101:19
separate 11:5 12:1,4,8
30:1 41:7 58:11,16 59:1,
11 91:16 93:2 112:19
September 4:4 11:15 12:17
13:7 15:14 17:13 20:3
86:10
sequence 59:4
serve 107:4
served 106:1
services 10:7
set 20:15 66:20,20 67:20
81:7 94:14,20
seven 45:8 56:19
several 4:11 60:5 67:4
sewage 89:5,10
Shall 15:8
share 106:15
sheet 12:1,4 21:10 123:4
sheets 12:18 53:19 54:11,
13 57:10,18
shift 71:12
SHIRLEY 3:19 100:18
shop 29:6
short 77:13 83:7 91:13
should 9:2 16:1 19:13
37:4 42:1 51:2 92:3
110:15 114:20 118:14,16
119:20 121:10
show 52:13 53:6 73:20
74:4 119:8
showing 22:14 56:15
significant 45:10 63:6
similar 23:19 29:3,10
46:13 65:1 78:6 97:13
106:5
simply 74:7
since 4:3,10,17 5:4 6:19
7:1,8,11 8:7,13 12:2
29:14 62:17 78:10 91:11
97:8 118:3 120:20
123:16
single 87:9
sit 27:1
site 21:16,20 22:5 23:11
25:15,17,20 26:1,8,15,16,
20 27:3,6,10,11,17,19
28:2,7,13,19 29:4,5,6,10,
17 30:3,9,11 31:2,6,13,18
32:1,13,16 33:5,15 34:2,
11,18 35:6 37:4,18 38:5
43:18 45:20 46:4,16,17
47:16 50:20 56:15 60:4,5
62:2,15,18 65:18 68:14
70:15 73:4 79:8 82:2
83:3 86:19 115:20 116:6,
9
site-by-site 47:2
sites 27:8,14 45:8,12,14,15
46:6,7,20 47:6 48:6
49:5,20 50:15,17,18,19
52:11 53:12 56:19 57:2
58:6,17,17,19 59:8,10,16
60:1,3,8 68:7
six 103:5
size 25:4 31:6
slash 58:6

slate 101:14,18 102:19
103:8
slide 112:20
slippery 39:2
smaller 58:19 60:3
SMITH 3:13 7:10,10
11:10,13,15 12:6 13:16,
19 14:4,13,14 15:5,7,13
16:7 23:3,8,13,20 24:9
36:14 37:7 38:1,9,14,18
39:1,8,13,15,19 40:6,12
42:10,14 49:17 51:7
53:14,18 54:7 55:19
56:14 68:9 69:13,16
70:2,7,13,20 71:4,7,9
72:13 73:6,17 74:18
75:14 76:20 79:15 80:7,
20 85:9 94:20 104:5
109:3,5,8 113:14 115:17
116:9,18 117:3,13 118:16
119:15 120:12 121:19
123:13
Smiths 15:3
smooth 5:20
sneak 109:11,12
So 4:4,10 5:19 6:5 9:5
11:6 13:6,8,11 14:5,12
15:6,11 18:11,18 20:7,11
22:9,19 24:4,14 27:15
28:12,16,20 31:3,12,14,19
32:5,16 33:6 34:9,15
36:4 38:6 39:3,13 40:6
42:1 43:3 44:3 45:5
48:15 49:13 50:4,8 51:2,
12,16 55:11,11,14 56:7
57:12 58:10,12,16 59:1,3,
15 60:8,17 61:15 63:20
65:4 66:7 68:5 69:17,20
73:15 74:2,9 75:5 78:2,
15,20 79:3,11 80:20
81:7,8 82:4,12 83:13
86:20 87:14 88:11,18
91:1,3 92:6 94:8 95:17,
20 96:4,5,11 98:13,16
99:20 100:7,12,14 101:9,
20 102:4,7 103:5 104:3,
13,15 105:5,5,6 106:19
107:3,5 108:13,15 109:1,
2 110:8,19 111:2,3,10
112:9,11,13,15 113:10,12
114:3,10,15,19 116:11
120:14 121:2,14 122:14
123:11 125:4
socioeconomically 37:15
soil 32:18 36:3
some 4:8 17:17,18 21:19
29:12 34:5 44:6,9,12
49:20 50:4,6 52:11 54:14
56:4,4 57:19 59:6 60:8
62:1 64:18,19 65:1,12,15,
15 74:5 75:2 76:19 79:1,
20 81:1 84:10,15 85:7,17
86:1 89:15 90:2 92:17
97:9 99:8 100:4 101:3
103:12,17,18 104:17
106:7,19 108:7 109:17
110:7,9 112:19 114:16

122:9 123:6,8 125:2,4
Somebody 4:19 14:19
37:16 38:3,8 40:2 71:18
80:3 90:12 96:15 106:17
someone 105:10
someplace 17:7
something 23:19 32:15
36:19 42:16 63:5 69:16
75:7 77:5 85:7,16 90:1
96:16 97:14 107:16
118:18 123:11
Sometime 79:13 100:18
sometimes 57:16
somewhat 62:12 81:15
somewhere 69:3,4 71:17
104:4
song 17:7
soon 53:4 79:3
sorry 8:18,20 11:10,12
61:17 69:10 72:20 76:20
120:13
sort 58:12 59:7,10 65:13
106:15 122:12
sound 20:2
sounds 34:12 77:8 78:4
112:1 115:4
sources 40:10,18 41:20
space 33:18,20 120:20
121:2
spacious 121:3
speak 20:20 83:14
speaking 55:1
special 18:1 23:10
specialists 56:7
specific 82:2
specifically 35:17 68:5
spell 16:15 17:5
spend 93:18
spent 14:7 61:18 88:13
spikes 56:1
splitting 125:6
spot 9:10,14 34:14
squeak 68:12
SRINIVASAN 2:12 22:16,
18 25:4 40:4,8,15
STACEY 2:9
staff 88:3 96:2
standards 71:20
start 4:17 27:6 35:19 69:6
77:15 81:1
started 5:4 70:13
State 79:18 80:11,17,20
81:4,6,9,11 82:3,6,8
111:8
stated 17:20 113:12
statement 81:16 92:2
STATION 1:2 4:5 5:6
86:9,10
status 63:13 66:6 68:15
86:7 97:6
stay 83:2 106:8 118:16
step 92:7 106:10
STEPHEN 1:20
stick 112:1
still 37:19 49:8 64:1,8,16
67:9 78:12 82:13 88:17
89:7 91:18 96:11 102:5

105:1 112:6,7,15 113:18
 120:16 121:6 125:7
 stoppers 74:1,4
 straightforward 22:7 105:8
 strategies 71:12
 Street 60:11 88:8 110:16
 strictly 37:15 81:3
 strongly 122:7
 structure 72:9 73:18
 student 7:5 36:16 37:2,11
 study 41:3 51:10
 stuff 23:8 41:11 50:8
 110:7
 sub 117:16
 subcommittee 8:9 49:7,13,
 15 52:8 56:12 99:13
 100:10 106:9
 subject 26:9 78:4 124:16
 subleases 91:15
 submission 48:3
 submit 45:5 47:19
 submitted 73:19
 Substance 71:11 72:3
 79:16,17
 Substances 5:9
 subtleties 93:20
 success 63:2
 successes 62:1
 successor 8:17
 suddenly 85:6
 suggest 41:17 42:8 118:18
 suggested 117:7 118:1
 suggestion 36:20
 SULLIVAN 2:4 4:1 5:1,1
 8:15 9:9 10:19 11:7,12,
 14,17 12:7,15,20 13:2,5,
 18 14:2,5,16 15:4 16:9
 17:1,6,9,12 18:7,9,13,16
 19:15 20:1,11 21:2,6
 22:9,17,19 23:5,9,16
 24:1,11 25:6 29:9 33:2,
 15 34:15 35:16 36:13
 37:5,10 38:2,11,16,19
 39:6,11,14,16 40:2 41:5,
 10 42:5,12,19 43:17
 44:11,18 49:1 52:1,18
 53:8 54:5,8 57:1,3 58:14
 59:17,20 61:1 64:6 66:14
 67:17 69:10 70:9,18
 77:14,19 79:14 80:5,9
 81:2,14 82:20 83:4 84:9,
 20 85:5 86:3 87:4,12
 88:1 89:6,14 90:4,15
 91:3,10,20 92:15 93:4
 95:9,19 96:18 98:8 99:15
 100:9 101:9,20 103:6
 104:7,15 109:15 111:8,
 14,17 113:5,15 114:3,12
 115:2,20 116:6,10,15,20
 119:9,16 120:14 121:14
 122:1 124:1,7,11 125:13
 summarization 46:1
 summarize 86:4 98:8
 summarized 48:14
 summarizing 48:16
 summary 44:20 46:10
 48:9,11 54:2 69:12 70:6

supplemental 67:14
 support 81:6
 supposed 19:20 41:15
 42:15,16
 supposition 28:5
 sure 16:5 33:10 36:8 53:9
 57:5 70:18 75:11 82:10
 84:13 90:6 96:19 113:16
 114:18
 suspicions 57:19
 system 67:19 89:9

- T -

table 4:13 11:2 21:19
 69:11,20 70:7
 tables 53:17 55:8
 tabular 53:16 54:13,15
 55:18
 tabularized 55:3 57:13
 tackle 98:18
 tag 4:18,19
 take 4:6,12 9:12 17:4 25:9
 35:2 39:9 42:10 44:16
 64:2 66:4 74:11,14 77:11
 94:10 95:14 96:15
 106:17 111:8 113:17
 118:20
 taken 40:7 43:18 58:17
 64:8 66:15 77:13 86:13
 88:18 100:4
 taking 27:5 88:14 97:12
 105:13 106:12
 talk 17:2 19:1,15 44:5
 45:3,6 61:8 63:14 112:3
 120:10
 talked 38:9 71:17 72:5
 74:4
 talking 17:17 22:12 54:1
 69:11,13,16 74:18 90:1
 91:5 125:9
 talks 16:4 21:11
 tap 83:13
 target 71:13
 Task 108:11 109:20 110:3
 111:4,11 118:10,16
 124:12,14 125:4
 tasks 59:9 106:16
 Team 5:10 45:3
 tech 116:1
 technical 8:8 49:6,13,15
 52:7 56:12 70:16 81:6
 83:12 106:8 108:13
 116:3,10 117:16 118:8
 technically 111:10
 technologies 46:3 47:8,12
 tell 8:20 76:14
 ten 73:3 104:4
 tentatively 95:16
 term 37:14 38:3
 terms 61:20 108:4
 tertiary 21:12
 test 110:20
 testing 17:3 51:9 62:2
 text 47:16 62:9,10 74:8
 than 11:5 14:14 28:11
 30:13 32:7 45:11 71:19

83:16,17 91:15 105:11
 108:2 121:3
 Thank 9:1,5 10:15,16
 15:12 16:19 20:9 60:20
 62:3 97:20 99:6 105:13
 125:13
 Thanks 22:8 36:12 65:10
 115:1 125:14
 Thanksgiving 115:18
 that's 6:5 7:18 18:13,15
 19:3,19,19 22:7 23:16
 25:12 30:17 31:3,13 35:3
 36:10 40:12 42:16 47:2
 48:11,14 52:16 54:13,14
 58:12,14 60:14,17 65:4
 66:3,5,5,6,7,8 67:6,9
 71:9 74:19 75:5 76:4
 80:5 81:5 84:11 90:15
 91:7 99:2,8 100:12
 102:3,5 103:16 104:2
 108:6 111:3 113:12
 115:10 119:9
 That's 77:2
 them 14:7 22:20 23:19
 42:1 53:18 59:13 74:4
 80:2 81:4 83:14 93:6
 94:7,7,14 100:2 105:12
 115:4 120:12
 then 11:11 13:14 14:19
 16:3,13 18:17,18 19:12
 20:12 24:20 25:7,9,11
 26:1 29:20 30:10,16,19
 32:3,9,12 33:17 35:20
 36:7,14 41:6 42:9,20
 43:7 44:1 48:4 68:11
 72:4 76:8,11 88:5 95:5
 98:18 100:4,13 101:18
 102:4,10 110:6,18 115:8,
 20 120:6 121:5 124:11
 theory 103:12 105:9
 therapist 10:6
 therapy 10:8
 therefore 27:13 28:12 30:8
 38:2
 these 12:17 23:4 24:20
 25:13 26:14 27:13 29:18
 32:11 37:18 40:6 45:15
 49:18 60:1 61:7 65:15
 66:10,12 74:1,3 76:19
 78:1 86:13 93:10
 thing 53:10 54:2 60:10
 63:18 70:14 71:10 72:14
 110:4
 things 4:8 6:3 21:16 23:4
 35:14 39:4 46:14 57:4
 63:9,12 68:4 85:14 87:16
 88:10 91:12 110:9
 112:19 122:13
 think 9:2 13:9 14:7,18
 19:3 22:13,15 27:1 36:8
 38:20 42:1 43:5,20
 50:13,20 52:4,20 55:17
 56:11 58:4 59:17 61:6,
 15,18 63:2,7,8,17,20
 64:1,10 65:11,14 66:8
 68:10 75:14 76:17 77:14
 79:11 80:18 81:16 83:8

85:19 92:15 94:8,13,14
 95:17 98:5,19 100:9,14
 101:10 102:14,15 105:3,
 16,20 106:2,4,6,9,19
 107:9,15 108:6,9,9
 109:15 111:5 112:6,7,11
 113:7,18 115:15 116:15
 117:1,1 121:16 122:10,
 13,15 124:15
 thinking 53:9 107:18
 third 16:17,18,19 17:1
 29:3 117:10,12,13,15
 THOMAS 3:14
 THOMPSON 3:14 7:13,13
 32:19 100:18
 though 26:15 27:18 37:18,
 18 102:11 121:13
 thought 4:10,12 70:5
 73:13,16 75:3 77:5
 three 5:5 14:10 37:17
 40:10,10 41:16 42:2
 through 14:11 25:6 33:5
 41:17 55:12 57:18 72:17,
 18 74:4 76:19 85:13
 94:1,2 96:7,11 98:14
 103:15 105:9
 throughout 76:2
 throwing 110:6
 Thursday 123:10,15
 TI 3:15 46:15 85:15
 101:6,8
 tied 52:11
 TIHDI 6:10 18:6
 time 9:4 14:7,18 28:12
 34:13 38:4 39:14,19
 41:19 43:13 44:16 48:1
 49:2 59:6 61:18 71:16
 74:20 75:9 84:13 91:17
 92:16,17 93:10,18 97:16
 101:11 103:8 105:10,11
 106:2,18,18 108:12
 111:19 112:14 113:9
 114:4
 times 67:1,5
 timing 65:7
 tip 109:10
 TOC 69:1,3,3,6 70:3,7
 today 30:4 34:8 82:17
 110:16
 together 45:16 60:10 61:7
 65:2 84:1 92:10 125:9
 told 94:12
 Tom 5:14 7:13,17 100:17
 tonight 4:12 20:20 41:12
 48:16 64:7 79:9 98:6
 100:8 103:1 109:18
 125:14
 tonight's 10:20
 took 74:3 86:14
 top 12:4,5 103:3
 topics 41:15 83:8 125:6
 total 78:14 84:4
 totaled 31:14
 totally 36:4 71:13
 tour 83:1
 towards 65:11
 town 100:20

Toxic 5:9 71:11 72:3
 79:16,17
 toxicity 75:20
 toxicologist 16:1 19:11
 TPH 51:10
 track 39:3
 trade 10:5
 TRANSCRIPT 1:12 12:16
 14:12 99:1,5
 transfer 12:12,12 89:16,19
 97:2
 transient 37:14,15 38:6
 transition 5:20 89:1 96:12
 translation 59:11
 travel 105:16
 traveling 49:18,19 50:2,5
 54:7 56:2,6
 TREASURE 1:2,7 5:11,19
 6:8 41:1 45:3 46:12
 47:13 78:19 79:7 80:15
 81:9 82:15 86:10,19 87:1
 90:20 108:11 110:12
 111:6 122:7
 treatment 89:10
 trend 104:8
 tried 14:18
 true 23:16
 try 15:8 61:20
 trying 18:2 19:5 39:3
 68:14 70:14 105:12
 107:15
 Tuesday 120:15 121:14
 123:9,15
 turn 61:3 87:6 88:5
 turned 14:8 98:11 99:5
 turnout 103:2 125:14
 twice 110:4
 two 4:16 6:15,19 7:3,5,15
 8:3 13:9 15:2 24:4 25:20
 28:10 31:8,16 37:11,16
 41:15 50:14 56:18 57:3
 75:12 80:18 92:13 100:6
 103:15 106:2,11,14,18,20
 two-inch 95:1
 two-thirds 98:14
 type 41:12 88:8

- U -

U.C 7:20
 U.S 2:3
 unchanged 49:11
 unclear 28:6 72:20
 uncommon 88:1
 under 4:6 13:20 31:10
 45:17 86:6 97:2 108:6
 underground 58:9
 understand 38:1 51:3
 59:18 70:9 74:7 86:7,8
 95:20 113:3 122:12
 understanding 76:8 81:17
 102:3
 understood 73:13
 Undetermined 89:14
 undraft 20:13
 unidentified 18:9
 unique 65:3,4

university 100:5
 unless 74:1 108:7
 Unlike 98:9
 unrestricted 35:6
 until 5:6 13:8 28:9,10
 33:18 43:1,18 44:4
 100:20 122:19
 up 10:19 23:18 25:17
 31:4,14,16 33:18 38:7
 41:13,19 42:10 43:1
 47:10 54:19 58:15 60:12
 62:14 63:2,4 64:2 67:4,
 5,20 75:4 79:4 81:1
 82:13 84:2,3 92:16 94:8
 95:18 103:13 108:8
 118:14 120:16,20 121:3,4
 125:5,6
 upcoming 106:7 107:2
 111:17
 update 97:6 99:10
 updates 4:6 78:9 98:3
 upon 34:13 104:1
 upper 102:18
 Uribe 2:12,13
 us 12:6 28:12 30:16 34:15
 38:7 48:10,18 53:4
 63:16,19 64:16 68:17
 69:17 80:4 97:5 99:14
 101:2 102:10 105:11,11,
 14 109:8 110:10 122:5
 usage 37:1,1
 use 14:19 16:15 30:7 35:6,
 7 40:20 41:3 46:8 56:5
 74:16
 used 34:1,4 36:15 47:5,13
 50:7 76:2 84:7
 useful 53:6 55:10,13 57:16
 usefulness 57:10
 uses 27:10,12 36:7
 USHA 3:16 7:2 50:11
 122:4
 using 37:14 65:20
 UST 29:16 60:1
 USTs 58:8,20 60:4,5,6,9
 usually 93:15 103:20 117:3
 utility 89:8
 utilized 55:4

- V -

vacation 102:6
 validate 28:14
 validated 44:4,6 49:8
 52:10
 validating 116:3
 validation 44:2
 validity 91:19
 valuable 49:4 52:9
 value 32:1 33:11
 VAN 3:15 4:19 6:7,7 11:6
 15:11 17:6 18:6,8,15
 20:7 29:8 33:13 34:12
 53:5 55:9 77:12,17 87:3
 89:20 90:9,14 91:5 96:13
 108:16 109:4 110:11
 111:2,5,13 118:18 120:8
 123:6 124:13

various 23:6 73:12
 VEDAGIRI 3:16 7:2,2
 50:13 51:5,8,12 52:3
 66:10 82:16 101:6 122:5
 vented 91:7
 verbalize 112:20
 verbatim 14:12
 versus 37:12
 very 9:5 10:11 12:3 14:9
 21:17 41:12 46:13 53:2,3
 55:3,4 57:16 60:20 63:19
 95:9 111:2 115:15
 125:14
 Vice 6:8
 viewing 93:7
 Vista 18:2
 vital 99:5
 VOCs 70:17,20
 volume 59:13 69:2,6,8,8
 70:2,3,8,11,13 71:6
 voluminous 54:12
 volunteers 99:18 104:18
 vote 101:17

- W -

wade 55:12
 wait 51:6 122:19
 Walters 93:1
 want 4:15 9:9,13 25:5
 43:8,14 44:8 49:3 57:18
 93:10 94:1,9 95:8 96:19
 98:16,17 107:11,12
 109:17 110:8 112:6,7
 120:10 121:8 123:1
 wanted 20:17 25:8 65:8
 86:6 90:12 102:6 112:1
 113:15 116:13,15
 wanting 37:13
 wants 56:5 69:18 96:15
 100:10 120:20
 war 34:1
 Washington 78:20 91:7
 wasn't 24:14 33:13 34:7
 66:20 76:13,20 81:17
 83:2,10 85:14 113:16
 119:5
 waste 93:16,19
 watch 41:14 114:20
 Water 79:18,19 89:4,9
 way 14:3 16:5,6 37:6
 44:12 55:13 59:5 74:8,12
 75:3 76:8,11 95:7 98:14
 101:11 105:20
 ways 63:20 65:11,14
 We're 80:9
 Web 21:16,20 22:5
 Wednesday 109:4 117:8,10,
 11,19,20 118:7 123:13,14
 124:4,12,14
 week 61:7 79:12,13 98:18
 100:3,7 110:16
 weeks 28:10 78:17 79:2
 115:9
 weird 76:6
 Welcome 4:1 10:14
 well 5:5 7:9,11 10:8,12
 14:8 15:1 22:20 24:11,17
 25:19 33:2 37:5 38:11,
 16,20 39:1,6,11 42:5
 43:13,17 46:19 47:20
 52:15 54:5,8 56:11
 59:17,20 63:5 67:17 72:5
 73:13 74:6 76:17 77:3
 80:5 81:2 88:1 90:15
 92:20 94:16 95:9 98:1
 99:15 100:9 101:7 102:9,
 20 104:7,10 108:9
 111:14 113:5,15 114:12
 120:2 122:18 123:8
 125:13
 went 57:11,14 72:17 74:10
 79:9 83:3
 weren't 11:3 49:1,2 75:3
 88:13 104:20 125:8
 West 83:19 86:12 87:8
 88:3
 what 4:7,10 10:11 11:20
 14:18 18:5 19:1,5 22:1
 23:13 25:8 30:13 31:3
 32:5 34:7,15 35:19 36:2,
 9 37:7,8 39:19 40:13,13
 42:14 45:6,7 46:13 49:8
 50:9 54:9,10,13,16 55:9
 57:7,14 60:14 63:1,13
 64:7,10 66:8,12 68:10
 69:6 70:10 71:15,19
 73:1,18 74:15 80:18 81:5
 84:7,11,18,19 86:6 92:11
 94:5 97:6 98:16,19 107:7
 109:3 114:19,20 119:3
 What's 9:18 22:5 45:6
 48:11 80:11 84:16,16
 86:18 87:6 88:6
 whatever 14:20
 whatnot 123:3
 when 7:17 12:16 28:6
 33:18 35:2 38:14,18 39:9
 46:5 59:14 62:6,8 63:14
 73:10 74:20 75:17 83:1
 89:1 90:16 91:9 93:17
 94:6 95:5 97:17 100:10
 107:5 112:14 123:20
 where 16:14 27:12,17
 32:10 38:8 52:13,14
 54:18,20 55:6 56:1,8
 57:15 60:4,17 66:4,5
 69:7 72:14 74:11 80:16
 88:16 92:17 103:17
 108:2 110:17
 wherever 65:3
 whether 4:14 32:8 34:17,
 18 63:15 64:3 67:7 93:8,
 9 122:19 125:3
 which 6:9 12:18 24:6
 25:16,17 26:3 27:6 29:20
 30:20 32:12 34:2,5,10,16
 36:8 39:20 44:18 45:17
 46:13 47:8,9 48:2 54:11
 64:7,10 70:15 88:15
 89:15 92:3,11 98:11
 103:11,18 110:1,2 118:11
 119:20
 While 22:12 64:2

who 5:18 9:14 10:17
 18:11 20:17,19 23:1
 37:16 87:3,7 90:18
 99:12,19 105:10 106:11,
 14 108:16 122:8 124:6,
 13
 whole 11:18 46:15 58:11,
 12 71:18 89:16 92:18
 why 18:13 26:3 30:17
 31:13 36:14 75:5 82:17
 96:13 104:2 123:6
 will 4:6,17 5:5,20 6:1
 10:17 11:8 13:2 14:20
 17:4 18:17 20:11 21:3,7
 22:9,12,20 23:18 24:11
 25:6 26:13,18 27:1,16
 28:5,8 43:12,18 44:19
 46:5 47:20 48:1,2 49:14
 51:18 56:4,7 58:8 59:1,
 3,19,20 61:16 64:2,18
 66:10 68:10,12 71:4 76:3
 77:20 79:4,11,15 80:12,
 16,16 81:10,14,19,20
 83:5,11,18 84:18 86:4,4
 89:1,2,13,16,18 92:13
 95:18 96:6,7,9 98:8,18
 100:17 101:4,10 102:2
 104:8,15 105:15,17 106:1
 107:1,20 108:15 109:19
 110:2,4,17,17,18,20
 111:11,20 114:15 115:9,
 10,11 116:1,3,11 119:15
 120:1,6,16 121:3,6
 122:15 123:9 124:2,15
 willing 106:9
 windows 121:13
 winnowing 104:13
 within 78:13,14 79:12 92:4
 104:1 110:16 114:10
 115:14
 without 31:18 35:8 42:15
 won't 28:10 43:17 84:19
 wonder 97:5
 WONG 3:17 7:7,7 8:17
 35:12 36:12 42:4 93:3
 94:5,13 95:2
 word 4:16 16:14
 wording 49:10
 work 5:9 9:4,6 43:20
 71:19 76:19 79:8 80:18
 88:2,19,20 96:18 101:4
 107:19 110:5
 workable 108:2
 worked 71:15 101:11
 Workers' 10:5
 working 5:20 6:2 9:20
 36:19 49:8 61:19 64:8,
 13,14 76:18 79:5 102:8
 114:8 122:13 125:7
 works 82:12 84:1 87:6
 world 50:10
 World's 33:14
 worry 68:9
 would 4:10,12 9:12 14:13
 20:20 21:5 23:1 25:12
 28:7,7,20 30:6,8,11,16
 32:7,11,20 34:7,14,20

35:5,8 36:1,3,5,14,20
 37:2 38:3 41:17,18 42:5,
 8 48:18,19 49:4,7,13
 50:4,16,20 52:9 53:2,3
 54:6,9,10,10 55:9,18
 56:12 58:15 59:7,15
 60:17 61:11 62:3,18
 63:1,12 64:19 65:10,11,
 14 67:8,14 69:2,5,8,17,18
 70:2 71:1,6 74:13 75:4,4
 78:4 82:11,13 83:13,17
 84:6,7,11,14 88:10,13
 89:15 91:1,14,14 92:7
 93:13,13 94:1,12 95:13
 98:5 100:20 101:20
 102:4,7,9,15 103:12,13
 104:3,3 105:11 106:8,9,
 11,12 107:4,5 108:19
 111:19 112:13,15,17
 113:17 115:5 116:14,17
 117:17 121:15 122:17
 124:19
 wouldn't 30:14 44:3 68:6,9
 71:5
 wreaks 115:19
 wrestled 29:13
 write 37:9
 writing 116:2
 written 16:5,15 24:6 63:16
 66:10 74:8 82:8 112:19
 114:9
 wrong 73:3
 wrote 73:9
 WWW.EPA.GOV/NCEA
 22:6
 WYE 3:15 4:19 6:7,7 11:6
 16:11 17:6 18:6,8,15
 20:7 29:8 33:13 34:12
 53:5 55:9 77:12,17 87:3
 89:20 90:9,14 91:5 96:13
 108:16 109:4 110:11
 111:2,5,13 118:18 120:8
 123:6 124:13

- Y -

YACHT 3:15 6:8
 year 10:2 28:11 37:16
 76:7,9 84:3 104:1 106:1
 116:2 119:6,7,14
 years 5:5,6 6:16,19 7:3,6,
 15 8:3 50:14 75:12 84:3
 106:2
 Yerba 17:20 23:14 74:16
 87:1 120:2
 Yes 12:7,15,20 13:18,20
 15:4,16 17:14 22:16,18
 35:11 36:13 37:10 40:4
 41:10 48:13 49:1 52:16
 53:7 56:18 57:1 58:14
 62:12 64:6 70:20 72:13
 77:12 86:3 93:4 94:12
 95:1 104:6 112:6,17
 116:5 122:17 125:12
 yet 34:16 49:9
 yourselves 9:13 10:17

- Z -

Zone 22:11,14 24:2 25:15,
 16 26:17 39:17 63:18
 64:12 65:17 78:7 115:3